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**Brief Intro**

- **Goal:** achieve agreed “Intent” related terminology and classification for NMRG, as well as guide how the term is used by other groups in IRTF/IETF, even other SDOs.

- **Focus:** user intents, intents definition and classification

- **Scope:**
  - relevant for any system or node that expects interaction with human user in the intent driven network
  - Intent driven approach is applicable to both autonomic and traditional networking, including controllers, network management systems, autonomic systems and autonomic nodes

- **Proposed classification based on:**
  - Solutions, Users and their Purpose
  - Lifecycle Management Requirements
  - Network Scope
  - Technical (with technical feedback) or not

- **Examples were listed for each class above**

---

#### Intent Classification

Intents need to be technology independent & easily transferrable; a robust system of classification will make it easier to transfer Intents, as well as easier to catalogue, search & retrieve suitable Intents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User Intent</th>
<th>Autonomic Network</th>
<th>SDN Network</th>
<th>Hybrid Autonomic/Automated Network</th>
<th>Other future network (e.g. Quantum)</th>
<th>Any legacy network (?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
History & Update

Comments received:

- Adding solid use cases. - Brian  // addressed by adding use cases in section 3.2
- Provide a framework for further intent evolution. - Diego //addressed by new draft: draft-sun-nmrg-intent-framework-00
- Suggest to use parameters /classification table to do classification – Laurent
- Classify based on granularity is not accurate, may change granularity to abstraction of service description – Ericsson
- Terminology is important for discussing intent, as it’s new area. - Benoit
- Mapping un-professional language to professional, such as define good network for 720 video, may mean no jitter, smooth, etc.
- User to specify what is the priority, e.g. , some might want instant, some want quality - Alex

-02 version updates:

- Section 3.2: Added detailed use cases
- Section 4.2: Removed Granularity part and changed to feedback
- Section 5: Added Intent Classification Table Examples for Carrier, DC and Enterprise
- Section 7 : Added explanation about AI related content
-01 version ToC
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Main Updates
Main Updates (1)

• Added use cases after the table in section 3.2:
  
  • For carrier networks scenario, for example, if the end users wants to watch high-definition video, then the user intent for video must be converted to 1080p configuration.

  • For DC networks scenario, administrators have their own clear network intent such as load balancing. For all traffic flows that need NFV service chaining, restrict the maximum load of any VNF node/container below 50% and the maximum load of any network link below 70%.

  • For Enterprise Networks scenario, enterprise administrators express their intent from an external client (application service provider). For example, when hosting a video conference, multiple remote access is required. The intent expressed to the network operator: For any user of this application, the arrival time of hologram objects of all the remote tele-presenters should be synchronised within 50ms to reach the destination viewer for each conversation session.
Main Updates (2)
Change Section 4.2 to:
• 4.2 Feedback

• Intent can be classified by whether it is necessary to feedback the network information to the intended proponent after the intent is executed.

• For ordinary users, they don't care how the intent is executed and they do not care about the details of the network. As a result, they don't need to know the configuration information of the underlying network. They only focus on whether the intent execution result achieves the goal, and the execution effect such as the quality of completion and the length of execution.

• For administrators, such as network administrators, they perform intents, such as allocating network resources, selecting transmission paths, handling network failures, etc. They require multiple feedback indicators for network resource conditions, congestion conditions, fault conditions, etc. after execution.
Main Updates (3)-1

Added explanation text in section 6:

• AI technology has played an important role in the different stages of the intent network implementation.
  • Help identify and prevent security threats: Classification algorithms can attempt to identify malware or other undesirable web content or usage;

• Intentional translation: use AI algorithm to assist the translation module, split translation into the requirements contained in the semantics of the intention; automatic delivery and execution strategy; Automate tasks and appropriate network changes based on the existing network infrastructure configuration according to the policy model;

• Adaptive adjustment: perceive the quality of the user experience and perform predictive analysis to proactively optimize performance, such as excessive access time;
Main Updates (3)-2

Added explanation text in section 6:

• For instance, in the intent classification, the machine learning algorithm can be utilized to extract the intent feature values and classify the intent according to the intent feature distribution. For example, using artificial intelligence clustering algorithm, a large number of intents proposed by different users are used as training data to extract multiple feature dimensions, such as vocabulary information intended to be used, related feature parameters, context proposed by the intent, and the like. Cluster analysis is performed in the same form as the coordinate system, and multiple categories are classified according to the characteristics of the sample point distribution. For the input intent later, the category of the intent is judged based on the similarity with all categories.

  • For specific classification intents, such as safety or fault information, conditions can be preset in advance, and once a common error message occurs, it will automatically alarm.

  • For the network resource information, set the corresponding threshold information. When there is a certain number of link users or the network traffic is too large, the adjustment intention is started.

  • For users with higher priority, the resources can be configured preferentially.
## Classification Table

### Proposed (Carrier Example)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intent User</th>
<th>Intent Type</th>
<th>Intent Type Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>Customer Self-service With SLA &amp; Trouble Ticket Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roller</td>
<td>Roller</td>
<td>Roller Surface Monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>Service Operator Requests Commission Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider</td>
<td>Provider</td>
<td>Network Service Provider</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.1. Intent Classification Table Example (Carrier Solution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Service Provider Customer Service Interface</th>
<th>Network Service Provider Service Delivery Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Service Provider Customer Service Interface</td>
<td>Network Service Provider Service Delivery Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2. Intent Classification Table Example (Data Center Solutions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Center Solutions Customer Service Interface</th>
<th>Data Center Solutions Service Delivery Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.3. Intent Classification Table Example (Enterprise Solution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enterprise Solutions Customer Service Interface</th>
<th>Enterprise Solutions Service Delivery Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
<td>Operate/quickly (short-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Support/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
<td>Service/efficiently (long-term)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion and Next Steps

• The current version addressed most of comments received online and offline.

• We want to ask for adoption as an RG draft

• Next steps:
  • Start using collaboration tool (agree based on preferences from all contributors and new contributors) – currently added to google drive
  • Add a section briefly describing Intent work status in other SDOs, such as 3GPP, ETSI, MEF...
  • Work with community to derive unified intent definition that encompasses all intent types for all solutions and intent users.
Thank You