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Characteristics of the YANG module I-D

• The YANG module draft as it is written defines a Data Model and corresponding API for remote attestation.

• The YANG module is TPM-specific. This is okay, but if the WG can provide another API next to the TPM Interface, it would enable a more tech-agnostic YANG module.

• The YANG module includes an English description (Information Model) for every statement in the statement hierarchy.

• YANG modules already come with well-defined encodings (XML, JSON, CBOR).
Feedback from the Call for Adoption

• There is desire to convey token encodings: Essentially to carry EAT/JWT/CWT.
• How about another RPC?

```
+---x entity-attestation-token-request
  +---w input
  |   +---w entity-attestation-token-request-challenge
  |       +---w nonce-value   binary
+--ro output
  +--ro entity-attestation-token-challenge-response
  +--ro eat         binary
```
Proposals for Call for Adoption

• Call of Adoption feedback is basically about inclusion features and not about disagreeing with the choice of YANG

• Remaining proposals can be placed as issues in the github issue tracker (please use pull requests!)

• Based on adoption feedback, the original title should stay.