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Purpose of the documents

- Identify some of the network problems associated to the network to Cloud DC and among the Cloud DCs
- Analysis IETF existing tools available and the gap
- Other problems are out of the scope
Cloud to Cloud interconnection addition

Traditional Connections to Cloud

1. IPSec from the Branch
   - Dependent on local ISP quality
   - No SLA, Reliability or HA
   - RTT impact on packet loss
   - No WAN optimization

2. MPLS / Private Link
   - Rent space & cage
   - Time to provision
   - DIY box including management, deployment and trouble shooting

Net2Cloud

- Direct Branch to AWS VPCs in a full mesh topology
- DCs to AWS VPCs in a full mesh topology
- Branch to Branch connectivity
Problem Statement Update Since IETF 105

• Add a section on network characteristics of interconnecting multiple hybrid Cloud DCs.

• Add a section on Network to Cloud key characteristics:
  ➢ Network path augmentation
  ➢ Application based policies, which move with the applications.
  ➢ Application ID based forwarding, instead of Destination Address based forwarding
Key requirement for Multi-cloud

- Authorization,

- how to indicate which VPC, which Vnet, and their mapping

- consistent APIs or abstractions
  - Is it possible for IETF to provide a set of YANG models as shim layer between different cloud?

- how does one Cloud DC get notified of NAT or DNS used by other Cloud DCs.

- Which DCs are connected
  - Is it necessary to have a protocol to auto discovery all the Cloud DCs being used?
Key problems associated with Network to (and between) Cloud DCs

- Problems associated with Multiple Cloud DC Interconnection (newly added)
  - Different Cloud providers have different access method.
    - Today you have to hairpin the traffic to customer GWs
    - Different Cloud providers have different APIs for calling security functions, the NAT, etc.
- Multiple types of connections to workloads in a Cloud DCs
  - it is not visible to App in Cloud DC what type of network access is used.
- IPsec P2P doesn’t scale well with Multipoint mesh connection & poor performance.
- Network to vCPE in Cloud DCs can have portion of connection unknown
- Problems of MPLS based VPN extending to Hybrid Cloud DC
  - PE might not have direct connections to Cloud DCs
  - Most Cloud DCs don’t expose their internal network. Difficult to extend MPLS VPN into Cloud DCs
  - Most Cloud Operators use Ipsec VPN to connect to their clients
Gap analysis update since IETF 105

Tunnel-Encap

- Application Based Forwarding may require same Source IP having different forwarding topology
- Tunnel-Encap doesn’t address the WAN ports properties
- To encode the IPsec information in the Tunnel Encap, it requires a lot of information (source & destination pairs)
  - Need to have WAN port-based IPsec because the Loopback address and client routes are not routable in the WAN.
    - Remote nodes need to ping the WAN port to discovery failure.
Next Step

- Need more people to review and provide comments.
- Remove some text to make the key purpose of the documents more clear.