

# draft-ietf-suit-information- model-04

Brendan Moran

Hannes Tschofenig

# Status

- Working Group Last Call started on August 12<sup>th</sup> by Russ

<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/suit/GmzvPrvaxVKN3nyNZKfSwSweBHw>

- August is vacation time....
- Dave Thaler provided extensive review, see [https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/suit/9awZNOvRd8MduaX3\\_6CuvHoxm80](https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/suit/9awZNOvRd8MduaX3_6CuvHoxm80)
- His review lead to -04.

# Justifying E2E security model

- E2E Security was required in the architecture, but not justified.
- Added Threats
- Added Security Requirements

# E2E Security Model: Threats

- MITM: Intercept of data between update service and device
- Key Exposure: Extraction of signing keys from signing service
- Manifest Modification: Malware, TLS-unwrapping, phishing, XSS attacks between author and signing service

# E2E Security: Requirements

- Reporting: Secure channel for status reports from devices
- Key Protection: Proper storage of signing keys
- Manifest Check: Service-based validation of manifest prior to distribution
- Manifest Trusted: Trusted environment for constructing manifests

# More Reviews

- Still some reviews coming in
- Several proposed changes in github:
  - Change MANDATORY to REQUIRED
  - Add example of UUIDs for white-labelling
  - Explicitly disallow channel security for update authentication
    - Similar lightweight authentication can be done with COSE\_MAC
    - MAC keys are subject to REQ.SEC.KEY.PROTECTION
  - Define maximum size of integrated payload

# Next Steps

- Asked further reviewers for confirmation that the document is complete.
- Document complete from the point of view of the authors.