

draft-ietf-suit-manifest-02

Brendan Moran

Hannes Tschofenig

Henk Birkholz

A little bit of history

- draft-moran-suit-manifest-05 approved for adoption at IETF#105
- draft-moran-suit-manifest-04 incorrectly submitted as draft-ietf-suit-manifest-00 (sorry).
- Draft-ietf-suit-manifest-01 matched draft-moran-suit-manifest-05
- Draft-ietf-suit-manifest-02 submitted for this IETF meeting, as announced on the list:
<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/suit/GIDLicNmQWE9kIllpieIfWfJQ5c>

Summary of changes: -01 to -02

- Added interpreter behavior
 - Abstract machine
- Added templates for constructing manifests
- Added text field enums

Interpreter Behavior (1/2)

- Interpreter setup phase
 - What to do before invoking the interpreter
- Required checks
 - Checks that the interpreter must perform
 - Commands that must appear in the manifest
- Lays out how an interpreter should behave
 - Two different interpreters should interpret the same manifest the same way
 - Provides the basis to enable consistent behavior
- Defines the interpreter abstract machine
 - First steps towards a rigorous definition of interpreter behavior
 - Will enable fine-grained test vectors for interpreters
 - Will enable interpreter validation suites

Interpreter Behaviour (2/2)

- Serialized Processing Interpreter
 - Processes the manifest in its entirety once for each listed component
- Parallel Processing Interpreter
 - Processes some commands out-of-order or in parallel
 - How the interpreter handles data dependencies
- How dependencies are handled

Creating Manifests

- Including source material in the text section
- Templates
 - Required template: compatibility check
- Use-case templates
 - Execute-In-Place (XIP) secure boot
 - Firmware download
 - Load from external storage (decompression optional)
 - Dependency handling

Text Fields

- Defined list of text fields
 1. manifest-description
 2. update-description
 3. vendor-name
 4. model-name
 5. vendor-domain
 6. model-info
 7. component-description
 8. json-source
 9. yaml-source
 10. version-dependencies

Next Steps: -03

- Draft is pretty good state already.
- Github repo contains further (mostly editorial) PRs:
<https://github.com/suit-wg/manifest-spec/>
- Could we come up with a better name than “SUIT manifest”?
- Technical proposals for -03:
 - Run-Sequence vs. Try-Each
 - Examples: Should they move?
 - Map-Test-Execute
 - For-Each
- Discussed in subsequent slides.

Run-Sequence vs. Try-Each

- Run-Sequence
 - Ambiguous state of soft-failure
 - No else-clause on soft-failure
- Try-Each
 - Explicit soft-failure
 - Else-Clause provided
- Should we deprecate run-sequence?

Examples

- Example section is very big
- Options:
 - Prune some info (JSON representation)
 - Move to appendix
 - Move to another document with more extensive use-case information

Map-Test-Execute (1/3)

- Common patterns:
 - For each component (for each component, do <commands>)
 - Set component parameters (digest, size)
 - Choose parameter set based on system properties
 - Prioritized Parameter List
- Possible catch-all approach:

```
[
  map      # List of component ID : {parameter set} pairs
  test     # Command sequence that can soft fail
           # (goes to next map pair)
  execute  # Command sequence that does not soft fail
           # (error causes termination)
]
```

Map-Test-Execute (2/3)

- Possible encoding

```
Map_Test_Execute = [  
  mte-parameter-list: MTE_Parameter_list,  
  mte-test-sequence: bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence  
  ? mte-exec-sequence: bstr .cbor SUIT_Command_Sequence  
]
```

```
MTE_Parameter_list = [ + (  
  mte-component: uint,  
  mte-parameters: {+ SUIT_Parameters}  
)]
```

Map-Test-Execute (3/3)

- Costs:
 - Need a set of temporary parameters to prevent side-effects
- Benefits:
 - Smaller encoding of repeated patterns with different parameters
- Should this be:
 - An extension?
 - Optional?
 - Required in certain circumstances?

For-Each-Component

- Easier to understand
- Less flexible than Map-Test-Execute
- Implements only:
 - For each component in <list> do <command-sequence>
- Expands “component-id = True”

Roadmap

- Stable document by the hackathon in Feb.2020.
- Code and tools developed as input for that event and refined during the event.
- Working group last call in March 2020 (for IETF#107)