## Registration Policy for TCP Header Flags draft-kuehlewind-tcpm-flags-registry-00

Mirja Kühlewind - tcpm - IETF-106 Singapore - Nov 22, 2019

## Background

- RFC2780 specifies the registration policy for reserved TCP header flags as Standards Action.
- RFC3168 created an IANA registry for these header flags and registered bit 8 (CWR) and 9 (ECE).
- [RFC3540] assigned bit 7 to the experimental ECN Nonce extension
- [RFC8311] recently declared RFC3540 as historic and changed the assignment to reserved
- RFC8311 does not provide any recommendation of the use of bit 7 and the TCP flags registry.

## draft-kuehlewind-tcpm-flags-registry-00

- Draft changes the registration policy of the registration to IETF Review
  - New TCP mechanisms that could use the remaining reserved flags will likely be first specified as experimental
  - Not noting any of those experiments in the registry would undermine the purpose of having a registry.
- However, care must be taken!
  - Any experimental extension SHOULD discussion the scope of the experiment and potential failure cases
  - Deployment should evaluated some years after the assignment to an experimental extension to de-assign or permanently assign

## Other options

- Only change registration policy for bit 7 (or one bit at a time)
- Publish more extension as PS -> AccECN as PS
- Temporary assignment (see RFC2780)
- Don't change assignment (keep at reserved) / only add a comment?