# draft-ietf-tls-exported-authenticator-10

IETF 106 – CFRG – Singapore

# **Point Raised by SECDIR**

Allowing SNI in the CertificateRequest is changing TLS 1.3 by allowing the SNI extension (requires UPDATES RFC 8446)

 Recall: the client-initiated exported authenticator flow starts with an authenticator request (containing a CertificaterRequest-like structure) generated on the client

extensions: The extensions that are allowed in this structure include the extensions defined for CertificateRequest messages defined in Section 4.2. of [TLS13] and the server\_name [RFC6066] extension, which is allowed for client-generated authenticator requests.

# **Proposals**

- a) Change the document to UPDATE RFC 8446
- b) Ask for a new extension point for SNI sent in a client-generated authenticator request.
- c) Treat the CertificateRequest-like structure in client-generated exported authenticator requests like a *ClientHello* when generated by the client. Specifically, allow CH-extensions when client-generated and allow CR-extensions when server-generated.

#### extensions:

- -: The extensions that are allowed in this structure include the extensions
- -defined for CertificateRequest messages defined in Section 4.2. of {{!TLS13}} -and the server\_name {{!RFC6066}} extension, which is allowed for
- -client-generated authenticator requests.
- +: In the case of server-generated authenticator requests, the set of extensions +allowed in this structure are those defined in the TLS ExtensionType
- +Values IANA registry containing CR in the TLS 1.3 column. For client-generated
- +authenticator requests, the set of extensions allowed are those containing CH
- +in the TLS 1.3 column.

# draft-ietf-tls-exported-authenticator-10

IETF 106 – CFRG – Singapore