

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 14 January 2021

A. Keränen
Ericsson
C. Bormann
Universität Bremen TZI
13 July 2020

SenML Data Value Content-Format Indication
draft-ietf-core-senml-data-ct-02

Abstract

The Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML) media type supports multiple types of values, from numbers to text strings and arbitrary binary data values. In order to simplify processing of the data values this document proposes to specify a new SenML field for indicating the Content-Format of the data.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 14 January 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Terminology	3
3. SenML Content-Format ("ct") Field	3
4. SenML Base Content-Format ("bct") Field	4
5. Examples	4
6. Security Considerations	4
7. IANA Considerations	5
8. References	5
8.1. Normative References	5
8.2. Informative References	6
Acknowledgements	6
Authors' Addresses	6

1. Introduction

The Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML) media type [RFC8428] can be used to send various different kinds of data. In the example given in Figure 1, a temperature value, an indication whether a lock is open, and a data value (with SenML field "vd") read from an NFC reader is sent in a single SenML pack.

```
[
  {"bn":"urn:dev:ow:10e2073a01080063:", "n":"temp", "u":"Cel", "v":7.1},
  {"n":"open", "vb":false},
  {"n":"nfc-reader", "vd":"aGkgCg"}
]
```

Figure 1: SenML pack with unidentified binary data

The receiver is expected to know how to interpret the data in the "vd" field based on the context, e.g., name of the data source and out-of-band knowledge of the application. However, this context may not always be easily available to entities processing the SenML pack. To facilitate automatic interpretation it is useful to be able to indicate an Internet media type and content-coding right in the SenML Record. The CoAP Content-Format (Section 12.3 in [RFC7252]) provides just this information; enclosing a Content-Format number (in this case number 60 as defined for content-type application/cbor in [RFC7049]) in the Record is illustrated in Figure 2. All registered CoAP Content-Formats are listed in the Content-Formats subregistry of the CoRE Parameters registry [IANA.core-parameters].

```
{"n":"nfc-reader", "vd":"gmNmb28YKg", "ct":"60"}
```

Figure 2: SenML Record with binary data identified as CBOR

In this example SenML Record the data value contains a string "foo" and a number 42 encoded in a CBOR [RFC7049] array. Since the example above uses the JSON format of SenML, the data value containing the binary CBOR value is base64-encoded. The data value after base64 decoding is shown with CBOR diagnostic notation in Figure 3.

```
82          # array(2)
  63        # text(3)
    666F6F  # "foo"
  18 2A     # unsigned(42)
```

Figure 3: Example Data Value in CBOR diagnostic notation

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Readers should also be familiar with the terms and concepts discussed in [RFC8428]. Awareness of terminology issues discussed in [I-D.bormann-core-media-content-type-format] can also be very helpful.

3. SenML Content-Format ("ct") Field

When a SenML Record contains a Data Value field ("vd"), the Record MAY also include a Content-Format indication field. The Content-Format indication uses label "ct" and a string value with either a CoAP Content-Format identifier in decimal form with no leading zeros except for the value "0" itself (representing an unsigned integer in the range of 0-65535, similar to the CoRE Link Format [RFC6690] "ct" attribute) or with a string containing a Content-Type and optionally a Content-Coding (see below).

The CoAP Content-Format identifier provides a simple and efficient way to indicate the type of the data. Since some Internet media types and their content coding and parameter alternatives do not have assigned CoAP Content-Format identifiers, using Content-Type and Content-Coding is also allowed. Both methods use a string value in the "ct" field to keep its data type consistent across uses. When the "ct" field contains only digits, it is interpreted as a CoAP Content-Format identifier.

To indicate that a Content-Coding is used with a Content-Type, the Content-Coding value (e.g., "deflate" [RFC7230]) is appended to the Content Type (media type and parameters, if any), separated by a "@" sign. For example: "text/plain; charset=utf-8@deflate". If Content-Coding is not specified with a Content-Type (no "@" sign is present outside any media type parameters), the identity (i.e., no) transformation is used.

4. SenML Base Content-Format ("bct") Field

The Base Content-Format Field, label "bct", provides a default value for the Content-Format Field (label "ct") within its range. The range of the base field includes the Record containing it, up to (but not including) the next Record containing a "bct" field, if any, or up to the end of the pack otherwise. Resolution (Section 4.6 of [RFC8428]) of this base field is performed by adding its value with the label "ct" to all Records in this range that carry a "vd" field but do not already contain a Content-Format ("ct") field.

5. Examples

The following examples are valid values for the "ct" and "bct" fields (explanation/comments in parenthesis):

- * "60" (CoAP Content-Format for "application/cbor")
- * "0" (CoAP Content-Format for "text/plain" with parameter "charset=utf-8")
- * "application/json" (JSON Content-Type - equivalent to "50" CoAP Content-Format identifier)
- * "application/json@deflate" (JSON Content-Type with "deflate" as Content-Coding - equivalent to "11050" CoAP Content-Format identifier)
- * "text/csv" (Comma-Separated Values (CSV) [RFC4180] Content-Type)
- * "text/csv@gzip" (CSV with "gzip" as Content-Coding)

6. Security Considerations

The indication of a media type in the data does not exempt a consuming application from properly checking its inputs. Also, the ability for an attacker to supply crafted SenML data that specify media types chosen by the attacker may expose vulnerabilities of handlers for these media types to the attacker.

7. IANA Considerations

(Note to RFC Editor: Please replace all occurrences of "RFC-AAAA" with the RFC number of this specification and remove this note.)

IANA is requested to assign new labels in the "SenML Labels" subregistry of the SenML registry [IANA.senml] (as defined in [RFC8428]) for the Content-Format indication as per Table 1:

Name	Label	JSON Type	XML Type	Reference
Base Content-Format	bct	String	string	RFC-AAAA
Content-Format	ct	String	string	RFC-AAAA

Table 1: IANA Registration for new SenML Labels

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [IANA.senml] IANA, "Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML)", <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/senml>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC7049] Bormann, C. and P. Hoffman, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)", RFC 7049, DOI 10.17487/RFC7049, October 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7049>>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

- [RFC8428] Jennings, C., Shelby, Z., Arkko, J., Keranen, A., and C. Bormann, "Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML)", RFC 8428, DOI 10.17487/RFC8428, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8428>>.

8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.bormann-core-media-content-type-format]
Bormann, C., "On Media-Types, Content-Types, and related terminology", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-bormann-core-media-content-type-format-01, 8 July 2019, <<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-bormann-core-media-content-type-format-01.txt>>.
- [IANA.core-parameters]
IANA, "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Parameters", <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/core-parameters>>.
- [RFC4180] Shafranovich, Y., "Common Format and MIME Type for Comma-Separated Values (CSV) Files", RFC 4180, DOI 10.17487/RFC4180, October 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4180>>.
- [RFC6690] Shelby, Z., "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format", RFC 6690, DOI 10.17487/RFC6690, August 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6690>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", RFC 7230, DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Sergio Abreu for the discussions leading to the design of this extension and Isaac Rivera for reviews and feedback. Klaus Hartke suggested not burdening this draft with a separate mandatory-to-implement version of the fields.

Authors' Addresses

Ari Keränen
Ericsson
FI-02420 Jorvas
Finland

Email: ari.keranen@ericsson.com

Carsten Bormann
Universität Bremen TZI
Postfach 330440
D-28359 Bremen
Germany

Phone: +49-421-218-63921
Email: cabo@tzi.org