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Primary Trust Flow

The primary point of Attestation is for the Relying Party to trust the Attester / Manufacturer.

If trust on any of these links fails, attestation fails and the Relying Party doesn’t get what it is promised.
Verifier Trust in the Attesting

Cryptography (signing) is the only way an Attesting can prove itself trustworthy to a Verifier.

Manufacturer must set up key material such that:

- The Attester signs a nonce, evidence and such
- The Verifier verifies it

Note: The Manufacturer could be the actual device maker, an OS vendor, a trusted 3rd party, other or any combination of these.
Taxonomy of Verifier/Manufacturer
Use of Keys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public key(s)</th>
<th>Symmetric Key(s)</th>
<th>Verification Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrequent Transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-Verification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Infrequent transfer**
  - One-time (e.g. root of trust)
  - Batches
  - Quarterly / annually

- **Per-verification**
  - Verifier interacts with Manufacturer on *every* verification

- **Public-key cryptography**
  - Private key in Attester; public key in Verifier
  - Example: ECDSA with COSE_Sign1
  - Public key(s) transferred from Manufacturer to Verifier

- **Symmetric cryptography**
  - Attester and Verifier have the identical same key
  - Key must be secret in both the Verifier and Attester!
  - Example HMAC with COSE_Mac0

- **Verification service**
  - Crypto and key type doesn’t matter. They are internal to the Manufacturer.
  - Manufacturer sends hash of to-be-signed or to-be-MACed bytes and key ID; receives yeah/nay
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## Taxonomy of Verifier/Manufacturer Use of Keys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public key(s)</th>
<th>Symmetric Key(s)</th>
<th>Verification Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requires: authenticity</td>
<td>Requires authenticity, confidentiality</td>
<td>Requires: authenticity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Infrequent Transfer

**TRUST_ANCHOR**
- Trust Anchor sent
- Per-device key is in Attestation Evidence
- Trust Anchor used to verify per-device keys

**PUB_KEY_DATABASE**
- Manufacturer sends entire database of public keys
- A million-key database for a million devices
- Look up by key ID

**MASTER_SYMMETRIC_KEY**
- Manufacturer sends a master symmetric key to Verifier
- Verifier uses a KDF to derive individual device key

**SYMmetric_KEY_DATABASE**
- Manufacturer sends an entire database of symmetric keys to Verifier
- A million-key database for a million devices
- Look up by key ID

**NOT POSSIBLE**

### Per-Verification

**PUB_KEY_LOOKUP**
- Manufacturer maintains key database
- Verifier sends key ID to Manufacturer
- Manufacturer responds with public verification key for particular device

**SYMmetric_KEY_LOOKUP**
- Manufacturer maintains key database
- Verifier sends key ID to Manufacturer
- Manufacturer responds with symmetric verification key for particular device

**VERIFICATION_SERVICE**
- Keys are only at the Manufacturer
- Verifier sends hash of to-be-verified bytes and key ID
- Manufacturer responds with yeah/nay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verification Key ID Taxonomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Key ID** | • Byte string with no internal structure  
• Format of the key (COSE_KEY, DER-encoded...) is determined otherwise | Good - Small number of additional bytes | COSE kid header parameter |  |
| **URI** | • Identifies where the verification key can be obtained via HTTP, HTTPS...  
• Content type of the data returned indicates the format of the key | Good - Small number of additional bytes | draft-ietf-cose-x509 when key is X.509. Other when it is not? |  |
| **Based on Claims** | • Individual Claim or combination of Claims identifies the key. The UEID is a particular candidate.  
• The format of the key (COSE_KEY, DER-encoded...) is determined otherwise  
• Must decode payload before verification; makes decode/verification stack more complex; possible security issue of decoding unverified data | Best - No additional bytes | N/A (ID is in the Claims) | ARM PSA |
| **Verification Key in Attestation Evidence (A Key, not a Key ID)** | • For public keys only  
• Typically an X.509 certificate or equivalent such that the Verifier can chain it up to a trust anchor. May include intermediates between key and trust anchor. | Worst - X.509 certs can be large. Simpler schemes might just need a single public key. | draft-ietf-cose-x509 | FIDO Android Attestation TPM |
LL’s Endorsement Assumption

• A mostly static document or file describing characteristics of one Attester or a class of Attesters
  ◦ Could be 1 million Endorsements for 1 million devices
  ◦ Could be 1 single Endorsement or 1 million devices

• Often contains a public key or key chain that can be used to verify trust in the Attester
  ◦ Key could be for a single Attester for class of Attesters (e.g., a trust anchor)
  ◦ Alternatively, a symmetric key for use with HMAC
    • Require the endorsement be encrypted

• Often contains name-value attributes that describe characteristics of the Attester. Examples:
  ◦ Model and manufacturer
  ◦ Level of security it offers: Hardware, TEE, Software...
  ◦ Certifications
  ◦ Reference values for comparison to Claims in Attestation Evidence
  ◦ ...

• Often is signed by an authority like the manufacturer of the Attester

• Example Endorsements:
  ◦ X.509 Certificate with extensions for the Attester attributes
  ◦ EAT/CWT/COSE-based format
Add an Endorsement ID to EAT?

• COSE/CWT/EAT kid header parameter identifies only a key

• Propose adding an Endorsement ID and Endorsement URL to EAT
  ◦ Replaces the Origination claim (unused, poorly defined)
  ◦ An alternative to key identification
    • Identifies the full Endorsement, not just the key

• Propose Endorsement ID and URL be COSE header parameters
  ◦ Not Claims, therefore outside the COSE payload
  ◦ Avoids decoding an unverified payload, which may be a security issue for some
  ◦ Makes verification software stack simpler
  ◦ Parallels COSE kid header parameter
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Secondary Trust Flow

Is about confidentiality and PII

If these links fail, the attacker gets PII about the user or information useful to attack the device

Authenticate target of the arrow and encrypting

In simple scenarios where there is no PII and no information useful for attack, this is optional
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Primary implemented by security, often physical, during manufacturing.