



RFC Editor Futures Program

Brian Rosen, Eliot Lear

Welcome!



Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- [BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)
- [BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)
- [BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- [BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)
- [BCP 78](#) (Copyright)
- [BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

Agenda

1. Agenda Bashing
2. What we've covered
3. Decisions we've made
4. Questions we've asked
5. Refinements on those questions
6. Some hums
7. Wanted: one document editor
8. AOB

What we've covered

- Lots of discussion about problem statements
- A couple of different model proposals
 - Mike
 - Martin
 - Nevil
- Some discussion of requirements
 - Brian

Decisions we made

- Start by discussing people's visions for the future
- Collect assorted views
- RSE (if the role continues) does not have veto authority on documents

Questions we asked

1. Should the RSE be a hired employee or a contracted entity?
2. Who hires/contracts the RSE?
3. For the purposes of day to day management, to whom does the RSE report?
4. Is there some group that oversees the RSE?
5. If the answer to 4 is yes, how is the board constituted?
6. Who defines the process that the RSE follows? This group? The Board? The RSE defines it themselves?
7. Can the RSE decide to not publish a document one of the stream editors forwards to it? If so, on what grounds?

Refinements on those questions

- Is there a person other than the executive director who manages the RPC?
 - Who selects that person and who does that person report to?
- Is there a standing working group or program?
 - Under what authority does it stand?
- Is there a need of a thought leader who can run a community who is expert in publishing?
 - How is that person selected?
 - Who does that person report to?
- How are community decisions adjudicated?
 - IAB/IESG/LLC?
 - A new board?

Some Hums: Q 1

- Is there a person other than the executive director who manages the RPC?

- Who selects that person and who does that person report to?
 - Executive Director
 - LLC
 - IAB
 - Some other board

Q2: A board, a standing working group/program, or both?

- Board
- Working group/standing program
- Both

Q3: Expertise/Thought leader?

- Is there a need for a thought leader who can run a community who is expert in publishing?
 - Yes.
 - No.

If there is a thought leader...

- How is that person selected?
 - LLC
 - IAB
 - NOMCOM
 - Some other board
- Who does that person report to?
 - LLC
 - Executive Director
 - IAB
 - Some other board

Wanted: one doc editor

- Must uphold group consensus
- Pay: many “thank you”s
- Apply: lear@cisco.com, br@brianrosen.net