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Background

- Linux ‘reference’ implementation of TCP Prague Congestion Control
  - github.com/L4STeam

- Apr’20 tsvwg interim: asked for write-up as Internet Draft

- Body of draft written. To be posted shortly
  - github.com/bbriscoe/prague-cc

- This presentation: choices and *modus operandi*
Choices

- Transport independent?
  - Yes. But specifics for main transports included.
  - Hence “Prague” not “TCP Prague” in title

- Target WG: tsvwg? tcpm/quic? iccrg?
  - Propose iccrg; where the review expertise is concentrated
  - Chairs of tsvwg & iccrg agree. Will need iccrg adoption call (once posted)

- Implementation independent?
  - Yes. But with copious implementation notes (mostly based on Linux experience)

- Normative (Experimental track) or Informative (Informational track)?
  - draft-ietf-tsvwg-ecn-l4s-id already gives normative constraints on Prague CCs
  - Initial draft currently written with MUSTs and SHOULDs, but unnatural
    - Proposal (can be changed later):
      - EXP track with one normative statement: “MUST comply with draft-ietf-ecn-l4s-id”
      - Then informative style
Modus Operandi

- “One True Way” is not the intention
  - Prague CC is intended as a set of component parts
- Also note: L4S Prague Requirements are intended to encourage other Scalable CCs using different approaches to this Prague CC
- Evolution expected as L4S experiment proceeds
  - Body of draft structured for in two main parts
    - Prague CC (stable)
    - Variants and Future Plans
- Change requests / suggestions / discussion
  - either via tcpPrague@ietf.org for general Prague CC discussion
  - or iccrg@irtf.org for text-specific discussion
  - or pull requests via git repo for draft
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