

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: 8428 (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: 19 May 2021

C. Bormann  
Universitaet Bremen TZI  
15 November 2020

SenML Features and Versions  
draft-ietf-core-senml-versions-01

Abstract

This short document updates RFC 8428, Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML), by specifying the use of independently selectable "SenML Features" and mapping them to SenML version numbers.

Discussion Venues

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Discussion of this document takes place on the CORE Working Group mailing list ([core@ietf.org](mailto:core@ietf.org)), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/core/> (<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/core/>).

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/core-wg/senml-versions> (<https://github.com/core-wg/senml-versions>).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 19 May 2021.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	2
2. Feature Codes and the Version number . . . . .	3
3. Features: Reserved0, Reserved1, Reserved2, Reserved3 . . . .	3
4. Feature: Secondary Units . . . . .	3
5. Security Considerations . . . . .	4
6. IANA Considerations . . . . .	4
7. Normative References . . . . .	5
Acknowledgements . . . . .	5
Author's Address . . . . .	5

## 1. Introduction

The Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML) specification [RFC8428] provides a version number that is initially set to 10, without further specification on the way to make use of different version numbers.

The traditional idea of using a version number for evolving an interchange format presupposes a linear progression of that format. A more likely form of evolution of SenML is the addition of independently selectable "features" that can be added to the base version (version 10) in a fashion that these are mostly independent of each other. A recipient of a SenML pack can check the features it implements against those required by the pack, processing the pack only if all required features are provided in the implementation.

This short document specifies the use of SenML Features and maps them to SenML version number space, updating [RFC8428].

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Where bit arithmetic is explained, this document uses the notation familiar from the programming language C [C], except that superscript notation (example for two to the power of 64:  $2^{(64)}$ ) denotes exponentiation; in the plain text version of this draft, superscript notation is rendered by C-incompatible surrogate notation as seen in this example.

## 2. Feature Codes and the Version number

The present specification defines "SenML Features", each identified by a "feature name" (a text string) and a "feature code", an unsigned integer less than 53.

The specific version of a SenML pack is composed of a set of features. The SenML version number ("bver" field) is then a bitmap of these features, specifically the sum of, for each feature present, two taken to the power of the feature code of that feature.

$$\text{version} = \sum_{fc=0}^{52} \text{present}(fc) \times 2^{fc}$$

where  $\text{present}(fc)$  is 1 if the feature with the feature code "fc" is present, 0 otherwise.

## 3. Features: Reserved0, Reserved1, Reserved2, Reserved3

For SenML Version 10 as described in [RFC8428], the feature codes 0 to 3 are already in use. Reserved1 (1) and Reserved3 (3) are always present and the features Reserved0 (0) and Reserved2 (2) are always absent, yielding a version number of 10 if no other feature is in use. These four reserved feature codes are not to be used with any more specific semantics except in a specification that updates the present specification.

## 4. Feature: Secondary Units

The feature "Secondary Units" (code number 4) indicates that secondary unit names [RFC8798] MAY be used in the "u" field of SenML Records, in addition to the primary unit names already allowed by [RFC8428].

Note that the most basic use of this feature simply sets the SenML version number to 26 ( $10 + 2^4$ ).

## 5. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC8428] apply. This specification provides structure to the interpretation of the SenML version number, which poses no additional security considerations except for some potential for surprise that version numbers do not simply increase linearly.

## 6. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a new subregistry "SenML features" within the SenML registry [IANA.senml], with the registration policy "specification required" [RFC8126] and the columns:

- \* Feature code (an unsigned integer less than 53)
- \* Feature name (text)
- \* Specification

The initial content of this registry is as follows:

Feature code	Feature name	Specification
0	Reserved0	RFCthis
1	Reserved1	RFCthis
2	Reserved2	RFCthis
3	Reserved3	RFCthis
4	Secondary Units	RFCthis

Table 1: Features defined for SenML at the time of writing

As the number of features that can be registered has a hard limit (48 codes left at the time of writing), the designated expert is specifically instructed to maintain a frugal regime of code point allocation, keeping code points available for SenML Features that are likely to be useful for non-trivial subsets of the SenML ecosystem. Quantitatively, the expert could for instance steer the allocation to not allocate more than 10 % of the remaining set per year.

## 7. Normative References

- [C] International Organization for Standardization,  
"Information technology Programming languages C", ISO/  
IEC 9899:2018, Fourth Edition, June 2018.
- [IANA.senml]  
IANA, "Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML)",  
<<http://www.iana.org/assignments/senml>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate  
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,  
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for  
Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26,  
RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC  
2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174,  
May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8428] Jennings, C., Shelby, Z., Arkko, J., Keranen, A., and C.  
Bormann, "Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML)", RFC 8428,  
DOI 10.17487/RFC8428, August 2018,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8428>>.
- [RFC8798] Bormann, C., "Additional Units for Sensor Measurement  
Lists (SenML)", RFC 8798, DOI 10.17487/RFC8798, June 2020,  
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8798>>.

## Acknowledgements

Ari Keranen proposed to use the version number as a bitmap and provided further input on this specification.

## Author's Address

Carsten Bormann  
Universitaet Bremen TZI  
Postfach 330440  
D-28359 Bremen  
Germany

Phone: +49-421-218-63921  
Email: cabo@tzi.org