

CoRE Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 13, 2022

M. Koster
SmartThings
B. Silverajan, Ed.
Tampere University
July 12, 2021

Dynamic Resource Linking for Constrained RESTful Environments
draft-ietf-core-dynlink-14

Abstract

This specification defines Link Bindings, which provide dynamic linking of state updates between resources, either on an endpoint or between endpoints, for systems using CoAP (RFC7252).

Editor note

The git repository for the draft is found at <https://github.com/core-wg/dynlink>

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 13, 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Terminology	3
3. Link Bindings	3
3.1. The "bind" attribute and Binding Methods	4
3.1.1. Polling	5
3.1.2. Observe	5
3.1.3. Push	5
3.1.4. Execute	6
3.2. Link Relation	6
4. Binding Table	6
5. Implementation Considerations	8
6. Security Considerations	8
7. IANA Considerations	8
7.1. Resource Type value 'core.bnd'	8
7.2. Link Relation Type	8
8. Acknowledgements	9
9. Contributors	9
10. Changelog	10
11. References	12
11.1. Normative References	12
11.2. Informative References	13
Authors' Addresses	13

1. Introduction

IETF Standards for machine to machine communication in constrained environments describe a REST protocol [RFC7252] and a set of related information standards that may be used to represent machine data and machine metadata in REST interfaces. CoRE Link-format [RFC6690] is a standard for doing Web Linking [RFC8288] in constrained environments.

This specification introduces the concept of a Link Binding, which defines a new link relation type to create a dynamic link between resources over which state updates are conveyed. Specifically, a Link Binding is a unidirectional link for binding the states of source and destination resources together such that updates to one are sent over the link to the other. CoRE Link Format representations are used to configure, inspect, and maintain Link Bindings.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

This specification requires readers to be familiar with all the terms and concepts that are discussed in [RFC8288], [RFC6690] and [RFC7641]. This specification makes use of the following additional terminology:

Link Binding: A unidirectional logical link between a source resource and a destination resource, over which state information is synchronized.

State Synchronization: Depending on the binding method (Polling, Observe, Push) different REST methods may be used to synchronize the resource values between a source and a destination. The process of using a REST method to achieve this is defined as "State Synchronization". The endpoint triggering the state synchronization is the synchronization initiator.

3. Link Bindings

In a M2M RESTful environment, endpoints may directly exchange the content of their resources to operate the distributed system. For example, a light switch may supply on-off control information that may be sent directly to a light resource for on-off control. Beforehand, a configuration phase is necessary to determine how the resources of the different endpoints are related to each other. This can be done either automatically using discovery mechanisms or by means of human intervention and a so-called commissioning tool.

In this specification such an abstract relationship between two resources is defined, called a Link Binding. The configuration phase necessitates the exchange of binding information, so a format recognized by all CoRE endpoints is essential. This specification defines a format based on the CoRE Link-Format to represent binding information along with the rules to define a binding method which is a specialized relationship between two resources.

The purpose of such a binding is to synchronize content updates between a source resource and a destination resource. The destination resource MAY be a group resource if the authority component of the destination URI contains a group address (either a multicast address or a name that resolves to a multicast address).

Since a binding is unidirectional, the binding entry defining a relationship is present only on one endpoint. The binding entry may be located either on the source or the destination endpoint depending on the binding method.

Conditional Notification Attributes defined in [I-D.ietf-core-conditional-attributes] can be used with Link Bindings in order to customize the notification behavior and timing.

3.1. The "bind" attribute and Binding Methods

A binding method defines the rules to generate the network-transfer exchanges that synchronize state between source and destination resources. By using REST methods content is sent from the source resource to the destination resource.

This specification defines a new CoRE link attribute "bind". This is the identifier for a binding method which defines the rules to synchronize the destination resource. This attribute is mandatory.

Attribute	Parameter	Value
Binding method	bind	xs:string

Table 1: The bind attribute

The following table gives a summary of the binding methods defined in this specification.

Name	Identifier	Location	Method
Polling	poll	Destination	GET
Observe	obs	Destination	GET + Observe
Push	push	Source	PUT
Execute	exec	Source	POST

Table 2: Binding Method Summary

The description of a binding method defines the following aspects:

Identifier: This is the value of the "bind" attribute used to identify the method.

Location: This information indicates whether the binding entry is stored on the source or on the destination endpoint.

REST Method: This is the REST method used in the Request/Response exchanges.

Conditional Notification: How Conditional Notification Attributes defined in [I-D.ietf-core-conditional-attributes] are used in the binding.

The binding methods are described in more detail below.

3.1.1. Polling

The Polling method consists of sending periodic GET requests from the destination endpoint to the source resource and copying the content to the destination resource. The binding entry for this method MUST be stored on the destination endpoint. The destination endpoint MUST ensure that the polling frequency does not exceed the limits defined by the pmin and pmax attributes of the binding entry. The copying process MAY filter out content from the GET requests using value-based conditions (e.g based on the Change Step, Less Than, Greater Than attributes defined in [I-D.ietf-core-conditional-attributes]).

3.1.2. Observe

The Observe method creates an observation relationship between the destination endpoint and the source resource. On each notification the content from the source resource is copied to the destination resource. The creation of the observation relationship requires the CoAP Observation mechanism [RFC7641] hence this method is only permitted when the resources are made available over CoAP. The binding entry for this method MUST be stored on the destination endpoint. The binding conditions are mapped as query parameters in the Observe request (see [I-D.ietf-core-conditional-attributes]).

3.1.3. Push

The Push method can be used to allow a source endpoint to replace an outdated resource state at the destination with a newer representation. When the Push method is assigned to a binding, the source endpoint sends PUT requests to the destination resource when the Conditional Notification Attributes are satisfied for the source resource. The source endpoint SHOULD only send a notification request if any included Conditional Notification Attributes are met.

The binding entry for this method MUST be stored on the source endpoint.

3.1.4. Execute

An alternative means for a source endpoint to deliver change-of-state notifications to a destination resource is to use the Execute Method. While the Push method simply updates the state of the destination resource with the representation of the source resource, Execute can be used when the destination endpoint wishes to receive all state changes from a source. This allows, for example, the existence of a resource collection consisting of all the state changes at the destination endpoint. When the Execute method is assigned to a binding, the source endpoint sends POST requests to the destination resource when the Conditional Notification Attributes are satisfied for the source resource. The source endpoint SHOULD only send a notification request if any included Conditional Notification Attributes are met. The binding entry for this method MUST be stored on the source endpoint.

Note: Both the Push and the Execute methods are examples of Server Push mechanisms that are being researched in the Thing-to-Thing Research Group (T2TRG) [I-D.irtf-t2trg-rest-iot].

3.2. Link Relation

Since Binding involves the creation of a link between two resources, Web Linking and the CoRE Link-Format used to represent binding information. This involves the creation of a new relation type, "boundto". In a Web link with this relation type, the target URI contains the location of the source resource and the context URI points to the destination resource.

4. Binding Table

The Binding Table is a special resource that describes the bindings on an endpoint. An endpoint offering a representation of the Binding Table resource SHOULD indicate its presence and enable its discovery by advertising a link at `"/.well-known/core"` [RFC6690]. If so, the Binding Table resource MUST be discoverable by using the Resource Type (rt) `'core.bnd'`.

The Methods column defines the REST methods supported by the Binding Table, which are described in more detail below.

Resource	rt=	Methods	Content-Format
Binding Table	core.bnd	GET, PUT	link-format

Table 3: Binding Table Description

The REST methods GET and PUT are used to manipulate a Binding Table. A GET request simply returns the current state of a Binding Table. A request with a PUT method and a content format of application/link-format is used to clear the bindings to the table or replaces its entire contents. All links in the payload of a PUT request MUST have a relation type "boundto".

The following example shows requests for discovering, retrieving and replacing bindings in a binding table.

```
Req: GET /.well-known/core?rt=core.bnd (application/link-format)
Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format)
</bnd/>;rt=core.bnd;ct=40
```

```
Req: GET /bnd/
Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format)
<coap://sensor.example.com/a/switch1/>;
    rel=boundto;anchor=/a/fan;bind="obs",
<coap://sensor.example.com/a/switch2/>;
    rel=boundto;anchor=/a/light;bind="obs"
```

```
Req: PUT /bnd/ (Content-Format: application/link-format)
<coap://sensor.example.com/s/light>;
    rel="boundto";anchor="/a/light";bind="obs";pmin=10;pmax=60
Res: 2.04 Changed
```

```
Req: GET /bnd/
Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format)
<coap://sensor.example.com/s/light>;
    rel="boundto";anchor="/a/light";bind="obs";pmin=10;pmax=60
```

Figure 1: Binding Table Example

Additional operations on the Binding Table can be specified in future documents. Such operations can include, for example, the usage of the iPATCH or PATCH methods [RFC8132] for fine-grained addition and removal of individual bindings or binding subsets.

5. Implementation Considerations

The initiation of a Link Binding can be delegated from a client to a link state machine implementation, which can be an embedded client or a configuration tool. Implementation considerations have to be given to how to monitor transactions made by the configuration tool with regards to Link Bindings, as well as any errors that may arise with establishing Link Bindings in addition to established Link Bindings.

6. Security Considerations

Consideration has to be given to what kinds of security credentials the state machine of a configuration tool or an embedded client needs to be configured with, and what kinds of access control lists client implementations should possess, so that transactions on creating Link Bindings and handling error conditions can be processed by the state machine.

7. IANA Considerations

7.1. Resource Type value 'core.bnd'

This specification registers a new Resource Type Link Target Attribute 'core.bnd' in the Resource Type (rt=) registry established as per [RFC6690].

Attribute Value: core.bnd

Description: See Section 4. This attribute value is used to discover the resource representing a binding table, which describes the link bindings between source and destination resources for the purposes of synchronizing their content.

Reference: This specification. Note to RFC editor: please insert the RFC of this specification.

Notes: None

7.2. Link Relation Type

This specification registers the new "boundto" link relation type as per [RFC8288].

Relation Name: boundto

Description: The purpose of a boundto relation type is to indicate that there is a binding between a source resource and a

destination resource for the purposes of synchronizing their content.

Reference: This specification. Note to RFC editor: please insert the RFC of this specification.

Notes: None

Application Data: None

8. Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement is given to colleagues from the SENSEI project who were critical in the initial development of the well-known REST interface concept, to members of the IPSO Alliance where further requirements for interface types have been discussed, and to Szymon Sasin, Cedric Chauvenet, Daniel Gavelle and Carsten Bormann who have provided useful discussion and input to the concepts in this specification. Christian Amsuss supplied a comprehensive review of draft -06. Discussions with Ari Keraenen led to the addition of an extra binding method supporting POST operations.

9. Contributors

Christian Groves
Australia
email: cngroves.std@gmail.com

Zach Shelby
ARM
Vuokatti
FINLAND
phone: +358 40 7796297
email: zach.shelby@arm.com

Matthieu Vial
Schneider-Electric
Grenoble
France
phone: +33 (0)47657 6522
eMail: matthieu.vial@schneider-electric.com

Jintao Zhu
Huawei
Xi'an, Shaanxi Province
China
email: jintao.zhu@huawei.com

10. Changelog

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-14

- o Conditional Attributes section removed and submitted as draft-ietf-core-conditional-attributes-00

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-13

- o Conditional Attributes section restructured
- o "edge" and "con" attributes added
- o Implementation considerations, clarifications added when pmax == pmin
- o rewritten to remove talk of server reporting values to clients

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-12

- o Attributes epmin and epmax included
- o pmax now can be equal to pmin

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-11

- o Updates to author list

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-10

- o Binding methods now support both POST and PUT operations for server push.

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-09

- o Corrections in Table 1, Table 2, Figure 2.
- o Clarifications for additional operations to binding table added in section 5
- o Additional examples in Appendix A

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-08

- o Reorganize the draft to introduce Conditional Notification Attributes at the beginning

- o Made pmin and pmax type xs:decimal to accommodate fractional second timing
- o updated the attribute descriptions. lt and gt notify on all crossings, both directions
- o updated Binding Table description, removed interface description but introduced core.bnd rt attribute value

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-07

- o Added reference code to illustrate attribute interactions for observations

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-06

- o Document restructure and refactoring into three main sections
- o Clarifications on band usage
- o Implementation considerations introduced
- o Additional text on security considerations

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-05

- o Addition of a band modifier for gt and lt, adapted from draft-groves-core-obsattr
- o Removed statement prescribing gt MUST be greater than lt

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-03

- o General: Reverted to using "gt" and "lt" from "gth" and "lth" for this draft owing to concerns raised that the attributes are already used in LwM2M with the original names "gt" and "lt".
- o New author and editor added.

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-02

- o General: Changed the name of the greater than attribute "gt" to "gth" and the name of the less than attribute "lt" to "lth" due to conflict with the core resource directory draft lifetime "lt" attribute.
- o Clause 6.1: Addressed the editor's note by changing the link target attribute to "core.binding".

- o Added Appendix A for examples.

draft-ietf-core-dynlink-01

- o General: The term state synchronization has been introduced to describe the process of synchronization between destination and source resources.
- o General: The document has been restructured to make the information flow better.
- o Clause 3.1: The descriptions of the binding attributes have been updated to clarify their usage.
- o Clause 3.1: A new clause has been added to discuss the interactions between the resources.
- o Clause 3.4: Has been simplified to refer to the descriptions in 3.1. As the text was largely duplicated.
- o Clause 4.1: Added a clarification that individual resources may be removed from the binding table.
- o Clause 6: Formalised the IANA considerations.

draft-ietf-core-dynlink Initial Version 00:

- o This is a copy of draft-groves-core-dynlink-00

draft-groves-core-dynlink Draft Initial Version 00:

- o This initial version is based on the text regarding the dynamic linking functionality in I.D.ietf-core-interfaces-05.
- o The WADL description has been dropped in favour of a thorough textual description of the REST API.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC6690] Shelby, Z., "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format", RFC 6690, DOI 10.17487/RFC6690, August 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6690>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8288] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", RFC 8288, DOI 10.17487/RFC8288, October 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8288>>.

11.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-core-conditional-attributes]
Koster, M. and B. Silverajan, "Conditional Attributes for Constrained RESTful Environments", draft-ietf-core-conditional-attributes-00 (work in progress), July 2021.
- [I-D.irtf-t2trg-rest-iot]
Keranen, A., Kovatsch, M., and K. Hartke, "RESTful Design for Internet of Things Systems", draft-irtf-t2trg-rest-iot-07 (work in progress), February 2021.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252>>.
- [RFC7641] Hartke, K., "Observing Resources in the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7641, DOI 10.17487/RFC7641, September 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7641>>.
- [RFC8132] van der Stok, P., Bormann, C., and A. Sehgal, "PATCH and FETCH Methods for the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 8132, DOI 10.17487/RFC8132, April 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8132>>.

Authors' Addresses

Michael Koster
SmartThings
665 Clyde Avenue
Mountain View 94043
USA

Email: michael.koster@smarththings.com

Bilhanan Silverajan (editor)
Tampere University
Kalevantie 4
Tampere FI-33100
Finland

Email: bilhanan.silverajan@tuni.fi