

# **IETF 109 ACE WG**

**Daniel Migault**

# Note well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)



# Agenda:

- agenda bashing 10 min Daniel
- groupcom drafts:
  - [draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm](#) 10 min Francesca
  - [draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore](#) 10 min Marco
  - [draft-ietf-ace-oscore-gm-admin](#) 10 Min Marco
- charter 30 min
- New topics
  - [draft-tiloca-ace-group-oscore-profile](#) 10-15 min Marco
  - [draft-selander-ace-coap-est-oscore](#) 10 min Goran
  - [draft-selander-ace-ake-authz](#) 10 min Goran
  - [draft-tiloca-ace-revoked-token-notification](#) 10-15 min Marco

# Status

Document in the RFC editor queue

- draft-ietf-ace-coap-est (MISSREF)

Documents waiting for the IESG approval

- draft-ietf-ace-dtls-authorize-14
- draft-ietf-ace-oauth-authz-35
- draft-ietf-ace-oauth-params-13
- draft-ietf-ace-oscore-profile-13
  - Ongoing WGLC -- need reviews.
  - Submitted to IESG 07 - Request For LC 11 - Telechat Ready 13
  - Waiting secdir review

# Status

## Document in Progress:

- draft-ietf-ace-aif-00\*
- draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-10
- draft-ietf-ace-key-groupcomm-oscore-09
- draft-ietf-ace-oscore-gm-admin-01
- Pub - Sub
  - draft-ietf-ace-mqtt-tls-profile-08\*
    - WGLC comments addressed - remaining nits in reference section
  - draft-ietf-ace-pubsub-profile-01\*

# Charter Discussion

EST profile have been added to the charter.

EST and CMPv2 are two protocols that can be used for enrollment or cert management while addressing different cases / needs / situations -- maybe we can clarify that point. I can see leveraging the existing CMP infrastructure, but it seems that is not the only one.

The mailing list does not show a clear cut to do one or the other.

I am not convinced that not having CMP over CoAP will not prevent its deployment and as such I prefer to have it standardized - this might be a personal thought.

Adding any piece of work require cycles, but it seems to me that CMP will not have a major impact on the WG progress. The work will probably include other WG such a LAMPS.

Thanks!