

# Proxy Operations for CoAP Group Communication

draft-tiloca-core-groupcomm-proxy-02

Marco Tiloca, RISE  
**Esko Dijk**, IoTconsultancy.nl

IETF 109, CoRE WG, November 17<sup>th</sup>, 2020

# Rationale

- › **Background** – CoAP supports group communication over IP multicast
  - *draft-ietf-core-groupcomm-bis* discusses also issues when using a proxy
  - The proxy forwards a request to the group of servers, over IP multicast
  - Handling responses and forwarding them back to the client is not trivial
- › **Contribution** – Description of proxy operations for CoAP group communication
  - Addressed all issues in *draft-ietf-core-groupcomm-bis*
  - Signaling protocol between client and proxy, with two new CoAP options
  - Responses individually forwarded back to the client
- › The proxy is explicitly configured to support group communication
  - Clients are allowed-listed on the proxy, and identified by the proxy

# Recap groupcomm-proxy

- › In the unicast request addressed to the proxy, the client indicates:
  - To be interested in and capable of handling multiple responses
  - For how long the proxy should collect and forward back responses
  - Use the new CoAP option “**Multicast-Signaling**”, removed by the proxy
- › In each response to above, the proxy includes the server address
  - Use the new CoAP option “**Response-Forwarding**”
  - The client can distinguish the responses and the different servers
  - The client can contact an individual server (directly, or via the proxy)
- › Group OSCORE can be used for e2e security between client and servers
- › DTLS or OSCORE can be used between Client and Proxy (Appendix A)

# Updates from -02

- › Editorial re-organization of text for Observation
  - Now as dedicated subsections, throughout the protocol workflow
  - The proxy keeps forwarding notifications back, until the observation terminates
- › Revised security considerations
- › Updated semantics and usage of the new CoAP options
- › Added support for a chain of proxies
  - Same principles, extended through multiple hops

# Multicast-Signaling option

- › Only in C → P requests
  - Presence: explicit claim of support and interest from the client
  - Value: T' s, i.e. for how long the proxy should forward back responses
  - The proxy removes the option, before forwarding the request to the servers

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD1		x	-		Multicast-Signaling	uint	0-5	(none)

C=Critical, U=Unsafe, N=NoCacheKey, R=Repeatable

- › Now the value T' can also be 0
  - Still ok to forward the request to the servers, no interest in proxy responses
  - SHOULD be used with the No-Response Option, with value 26

## › Issues or comments?

# Response-Forwarding option

- › Only in P → C responses
  - Presence: the client can distinguish responses and origin servers
  - Value: addressing information about the server (from the original response)
  - The proxy adds the option, before forwarding the response to the client

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD2			-		Response-Forwarding	(*)	9-24	(none)

C=Critical, U=Unsafe, N=NoCacheKey, R=Repeatable

```
srv_info = [  
  srv_addr : #6.260(bstr), ; IP address of the server  
  ? srv_port : uint, ; Port number of the server  
]
```

- › Address and port in the value
  - If port is omitted, assume the dst port of group URI in the Request – most common
- › It used to be an absolute URI, with scheme & hostname
  - Pro: now it's a smaller option, less parsing, handy for constrained clients
  - Con: excludes scenarios where Proxy inserts DNS hostname. **Can we live with it?**

# Support for chain of proxies (1/2)

- › Each proxy forwards the group request to the next hop
  - Nothing changes for the last proxy or for the origin servers
- › Each proxy has to allow-list and authenticate the previous hop
- › Only the last proxy removes the Multicast-Signaling option altogether
- › For each **non-last** proxy:
  - The time indication  $T'$  from Multicast-Signaling is still used for the local timer
  - If  $T' > 0$ , a new value  $T'' < T'$  replaces the value of Multicast-Signaling
- › If a good  $T''$  can't be determined, reply with 5.05 (Proxying not supported)
  - Include Multicast-Signaling Option, with the minimum acceptable value for  $T'$

# Support for chain of proxies (2/2)

- › Each proxy forwards the response back to the previous hop
  - Nothing changes for the last proxy or for the origin servers
- › Only the last proxy adds the Response-Forwarding option
- › **Non-last** proxies do **not** alter or remove the Response-Forwarding option



# OSCORE between Client and Proxy

- › Can co-exist with Group OSCORE between client and servers
- › Can be used between each pair of hops, until the last proxy
- › Some class **U** options are treated as class **E**
  - Proxy-URI, Proxy-Scheme, Uri-Host, Uri-Port
  - OSCORE, if Group OSCORE is used end-to-end
  - Multicast-Signaling and Response-Forwarding from this document
- › More options may come. **Any general rule to identify them?**

# OSCORE between Client and Proxy

- › Proposal: process an option X as class E rather than U if:
  - › X is intended (also) for the recipient hop and its processing
    - E.g., Uri-Host option, Multicast-Signaling option, ...

OR

- › X is intended for the final endpoint, but more instances will be added as intended for the recipient hop and its processing
  - E.g., OSCORE option, when Group OSCORE is used end-to-end
- › **Accurate enough? Anything simpler?**

# Summary

- › Proxy operations for CoAP group communication
  - Embedded signaling protocol, using two new CoAP options
  - The proxy forwards individual responses to the client for a signaled time
  - The client can distinguish the origin servers and corresponding responses
  - This version adds also support for a chain of proxies
  
- › Next steps
  - Define HTTP headers for HTTP/CoAP Cross-Proxies
  - Enable a HTTP client to talk to a CoAP group
  
- › Need for reviews
  - Promised: Christian, Carsten, Francesca

Thank you!

Comments/questions?

<https://gitlab.com/crimson84/draft-tiloca-core-groupcomm-proxy>

Backup

# Issues with proxies

- › *draft-ietf-core-groupcomm-bis*
- › Issues when using proxies
  - Clients to be allow-listed and authenticated on the proxy
  - The client may receive multiple responses to a single *unicast* request
  - The client may not be able to distinguish responses and origin servers
  - The proxy does not know when to stop handling responses
- › Possible approaches for proxy to handle the responses
  - Individually forwarded back to the client
  - Forwarded back to the client as a single aggregated response

# Workflow: C -> P

- › C prepares a request addressed to P
  - The group URI is included in the Proxi-Uri option or the URI-\* options
- › C chooses T seconds, as token retention time
  - $T < T_r$  , with  $T_r$  = token reuse time
  - T considers processing at the proxy and involved RTTs
- › C includes the Multicast-Signaling option, with value  $T' < T$
- › C sends the request to P via unicast
  - C retains the token beyond the reception of a first matching response

# Workflow: P -> S

- › P identifies C and verifies it is allowed-listed
- › P verifies the presence of the Multicast-Signaling option
  - P extracts the timeout value T'
  - P removes the Multicast-Signaling option
- › P forwards the request to the group of servers, over IP multicast
- › P will handle responses for the following T' seconds
  - Observe notifications are an exception – they are handled until the Observe client state is cleared.



# Workflow: S -> P

- › S processes the request and sends the response to P
- › P includes the Response-Forwarding option in the response
  - The option value is absolute URI of the server
  - IP address: source address of the response
  - Port number: source port number of the response

# Workflow: P -> C

- › P forwards responses back to C, individually as they come
- › P frees-up its token towards the group of servers after T' seconds
  - Later responses will not match and not be forwarded to C
  - Observe notifications are the exception
- › C retrieves the Response-Forwarding option
  - C distinguishes different responses from different origin servers
  - C is able to later contact a server individually (directly or via the proxy)
- › C frees-up its token towards the proxy after T seconds
  - Observe notifications are the exception

# OSCORE between Client and Proxy

- › P has to authenticate C
  - A DTLS session would work
  - If Group OSCORE is used with the servers
    - › P can check the counter signature in the group request
    - › P needs to store the clients' public keys used in the OSCORE group
    - › P may be induced to forward replayed group requests to the servers
  
- › Appendix A – OSCORE between C and P
  - If Group OSCORE is also used between C and the servers
    1. Protect the group request with Group OSCORE (C<->Servers context)
    2. Protect the result with OSCORE (C<->P context)
      - Some class U options are processed as class E options
    3. Reverse processing for responses