

# draft-ietf-core-stateless

Has been stuck since May on review comments  
Eleven (11) issues identified that had not been resolved  
in some way.

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues>

Pull requests for four:

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/pulls>

[https://www.rfc-editor.org/cluster\\_info.php?cid=C310](https://www.rfc-editor.org/cluster_info.php?cid=C310) has  
11 ROLL/6tisch/6lo IDs waiting on core-stateless

(and two ROLL documents, which are “done”)

# Issues and suggestion resolution

We have done a “WONTFIX” on:

#3 is stateless updating 7252 on distinguishing unrecognized vs invalid extension?

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/3>

#4 how does freshness window of client/intermediate interact?

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/4>

#6 can larger tokens fill responder memory?

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/6>

#7 how to size the replay window?

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/7>

#9 look ma, no state!

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/9>

<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/core/Y8c0mSR6THFP97JlyQqllLFF3Ok>

# Issues and suggestion resolution

We have replaced confusing I-D text here:

#8 use automated key management due to  
AES-CCM/BCP107

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/8>

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/pull/11>

Too long for the slide.

<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/core/Y8c0mSR6THFP97JlyQqllFF3Ok>

# Issues and suggestion resolution

We have generated better I-D text for:

#10 60 minutes for address change

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/10>

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/pull/12>

#5 lack of integrity protection results in spoofed responses

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/issues/5>

<https://github.com/core-wg/stateless/pull/13>

<https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/core/Y8c0mSR6THFP97JlyQqllLFF3Ok>

# Document Status

- draft-ietf-core-stateless-07 uploaded on 2020-11-02
- Reply to AD at:  
[https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/core/fCXVcloF8j0R0tHKSPXbwhm\\_nRk/](https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/core/fCXVcloF8j0R0tHKSPXbwhm_nRk/)
  - Seems that Ben has replied today, and cleared the DISCUSS. There may be an additional cycle on getting the wording right