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XoT - Background

What is XoT?

● Encryption of DNS zone transfer (AXFR & IXFR) using TLS as a transport

Use cases

● **Confidentiality**: Encrypting zone transfers will defeat zone content leakage that can occur via passive surveillance

● **Authentication**: Use of single or mutual TLS authentication can complement TSIG/ACLs

● **Performance**: Current usage of TCP for XFR is suboptimal in most cases
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Current status

● **Draft adopted** by WG in Nov 2019

● -02 was presented at IETF 108
  ○ **Got a lot of feedback**, particularly on the proposed use of ALPN (not supported)

● -03 version (Oct 2020)
  ○ Incorporates that feedback
    ■ Provide details in next few slides
  ○ Addressed a lot of open questions
  ○ *Looking for comments today (or on the list) on how close we are to WGLC*
-03 updates (Oct 2020)

- **Terminology**: XFR-over-TCP, XoT, IXoT and AXoT

- **Main elements of draft structure**:
  - Use cases/threat model
  - Existing XFR mechanisms, limitations and data leakage
  - Updates to existing specifications
  - XoT specification
  - Authentication mechanisms
  - Group policies for XoT transfers
-03 draft updates (Oct 2020)

- Use cases/threat model
  - Clarify that **threat considered is exposure of zone contents**, do not try to obfuscate the existence of a zone or that zone transfers are happening

- Updates to existing specs (more detail added)
  - **This draft now updates both RFC1995 (IXFR) and RFC5936 (AXFR)** (in the light of RFC7766 - ‘TCP Implementation Requirements for DNS’)
  - Clarifies how TCP connection reuse SHOULD be done, e.g.
    - **Persistent connections** and EDNS0 Keepalive to manage idle timeouts
    - Clients should pipeline XFR requests, use same connection for IXFR and AXFR
  - **Updates RFC7766** with regard to concurrent connections of different transports (treat TCP and TLS the same)
-03 draft updates (Oct 2020)

- XoT specification
  - **Authentication** - client MUST authenticate server using Strict DoT, server MUST authenticate client using mTLS (or IP based ACL) (the later section providing rationale for this approach has been updated)
  - Discuss **TLS connection handling** by primary
    - Potential concerns for authoritative servers now listening on TLS
      - Make clear that support for XoT is distinct from any form of ADoT
    - Outline how **Extended DNS Error codes** can be used to signal why none-XFR traffic might be refused on TLS connections
    - **Appendix which outlines operational and policy options** available to manage which TLS connections are accepted and which queries are answered
      - e.g. using proxies, requiring SNI, requiring TSIG, response policy, etc.
-03 draft updates (Oct 2020)

- Remaining open questions
  - Largely around new Extended DNS Error codes
    - What servers should return if they REFUSE non-XFR traffic on TLS connections
    - Declining XFRs because quota on concurrent transfers reached?

- Latest on implementation work
  - Patch to NSD to implement XFR-over-TCP connection reuse by default as a secondary, with a fixed idle timeout (EDNS0 Keepalive is a WIP)
  - Patch to NSD to use XoT as a secondary, tested against a TLS proxy
  - BIND are implementing DoT (announced an initial code update last week), => interop testing
  - Other implementers interested in working on this?
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