

IMAP4 revision 2

draft-ietf-extra-imap4rev2-20.txt

Alexey Melnikov

Barry Leiba

Goals - general

- Make life easier for client developers who would be able to only implement IMAP4rev2 in the future
 - But try not to boil the ocean in the process
- Easy to implement for IMAP4rev1 server implementors, as they do most of the new things included in IMAP4rev2 already
- IMAP4rev2 can co-exist with IMAP4rev1 on the same port

Changes done in WG -20 since -17

- Clarified changes since earlier versions of IMAP/added references in the Introduction
- Clarified that STARTTLS issued once TLS is already active results in the BAD response
- SELECT/EXAMINE now require an untagged LIST response
- Clarified that UIDNEXT/UIDVALIDITY response codes are required on SELECT/EXAMINE
- Clarified how server side mailbox name normalization results are returned to the client in untagged LIST responses.

Changes done in WG -20 since -17 (continued)

- Clarified that a single ESEARCH is returned for all SEARCH options from IMAP4rev2 document
- Moved FETCH <section> specification to a new subsection
- Clarified handling of non well formed header fields in various places.
- Added TLS 1.3 reference. Allow TLS 1.2 and TLS 1.3 use.
- Cleaned up Appendix D (changes since RFC 3501)
- Added Appendix E: “other recommended IMAP extensions”. Currently it only lists QRESYNC/CONDSTORE and OBJECTID

Allow 64 bit message/body part in FETCH?

- Server implementations don't have to allow values over 4Gb, but they can.
- Clients will need to accept that.
- Only after ENABLE IMAP4rev2.
- **I should have asked on the mailing list, but I forgot!**

Recommended extensions

- Already mentioned: CONDSTORE/QRESYNC/OBJECTID.
- ACL?
- MULTISEARCH?
- Any other from <https://www.iana.org/assignments/imap-capabilities/imap-capabilities.xhtml>?

Next steps

- DONE DONE DONE!
- AD review from Murray Kucherawy