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Since IETF - 106

• Posted draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-36 (Yesterday)
  • 3 revisions

  Discuss
  Erik Kline
  (Mirja Kühlewind)
  (Eric Rescorla)

• Posted draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-30 (Yesterday)
  • 2 revisions

  Discuss
  Martin Duke
  (Mirja Kühlewind)
  (Eric Rescorla)
draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-36

• Specified behavior when N and V bits are NOT set
• Described limitations of ICMP for PMTU discovery
• Clarify that Instance-ID is not protected, when carrying 802.11q tags on-path attackers can change them.
• Some minor editorial changes
• Clarified used of pITR-bit in Map-Request
• Removed behaviour specifying “verifying Map-Request” with “piggybacked” data
• Elevated to MUST implement HMAC-SHA-256-128 and SHOULD implement HMAC-SHA-256-128+HKDF-SHA256
• Clarified that the Record Count value reply count can be larger than the requested count, for instance when more-specifics are present.
• Specified use of the ‘salt’ in the KDF mechanisms in Map-Register
• Specified that Map-Notify (as a response to Map-Register) and Map-Notify-ack are never retransmitted
  • Unsolicited Map-Notify can be retransmitted