List Pagination Mechanisms for NETCONF and RESTCONF

Kent Watsen Qin Wu Olof Hagsand Hongwei Li

NETCONF WG IETF 109 (Virtual)

Motivation

- To better support user-facing client interfaces interacting with data from potentially very large lists.
- Examples: traffic logs, interfaces, ACLs, etc.
- Server-side processing reduces latency, bandwidth, and clientresources.

Solution Proposal

- Introduce NC and RC API for list pagination:
- Five control points:
 - 1. Limit the number of result set entries returned.
 - 2. Control the point at which the result set begins.
 - 3. Direction of result set processing.
 - 4. Enable result set to be sorted.
 - 5. Enable result set to be filtered.
- Processing order:



Protocol-Independent Questions

- 1. How important is it to iterate over stable result sets?
 - Should "cursors" or "snapshots" be supported?
 - For config, Etags/Timestamps can be used good enough?
 - For opstate, assuming read-only time-series stable enough already?
- 2. Should "offset" or "skip" be via an integral amount or a key lookup?
 - This question is related to Question #1
- 3. Should names be "skip/count" or "offset/limit"?
 - This question is dependent on Question #2
- 4. Should sub-sorts be supported?
 - I.e., order-by([foo, ascending], [bar, descending])

Protocol-Independent Questions

5. How many drafts should there be?

One Draft:

- Containing three parts (general def, NC-specifics, RC-specifics)
- PROs: good package
- CONs: not a good RFC-target for future work?

Two Drafts:

- One each for NC and RC
- PROs: decoupled
- CONs: redundancies (parameter definitions and examples)

Three Drafts

- General definition + NC-specifics + RC-specifics
- PROs: decoupled (like NMDA work)
- CONs: more drafts

RESTCONF-Specific Questions

What should be the scope of the 'leaf' and 'leaf-list' targets?

- 1. Just the GET Method.
- 2. All HTTP Methods (I.e., POST, PUT, DELETE, etc.)

Considerations around increasing the scope:

- More complete / pure
- Little value (only DELETE benefits)
- Somewhat out of scope

NETCONF-Specific Questions

• None?

Thank You