

Network Monitoring of IGP

[draft-gu-opsawg-network-monitoring-igp-00](#)

Yunan Gu, **Shuanglong Chen**, Zhenbin (Robin) Li

2020-11-20

Previous work

- draft-chen-npm-use-cases-00: Network-wide Protocol Monitoring (NPM): Use Cases
 - Motivations
 - Quick Reporting: Contents of control protocols need to be reported quickly, especially IGP protocols.
 - High Performance: Binary encoding vs XML/JSON encoding.
 - Easy To Standardize: A protocol that is easier to standardize than YANG.
 - Wide Monitoring Scope: Control plane maintenance information includes IGP, LDP, RSVP-TE, RIB.
 - History
 - Presented in IETF 105

Changes from the previous draft

- With input from customer discussion, new standard progress, and the demo verification result
 - IGP use cases identified
 - Performance: Similar between Telemetry-based and BMP-like.
 - Scope changes: Focus on IGP only
 - RIB: YANG model for The Routing Information Base defined in RFC 8431(<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc8431/>)
 - RSVP-TE & LDP: SR develops rapidly and replaces RSVP-TE & LDP

IGP Use Cases

- ISIS Route Flapping
 - The localizing of the flapping source and the identifying of root causes haven't been easy work due to various reasons. The causes maybe system ID conflict, IS-IS neighborship flapping, route source flapping (caused by import route policy misconfiguration) and so on.
- LSDB synchronization failure
 - During the IS-IS flooding, sometimes the LSP synchronization failure happens. The causes maybe “lsp is not correctly advertised” or “LSP transmission error” or “LSP is received but not correctly processed”.

Next Steps

- Solution options
 - Option 1: BMP-like
 - Option 2: Telemetry-based (YANG model to be defined)
- Suggestions are welcome

Thank you!