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Purpose & Scope (recap)

• Context
  • A lot of network equipment devices use YANG-based management interfaces.
  • Adding Remote Attestation as procedures to existing and implemented management interfaces significantly reduces the threshold of adoption.

• Contribution
  • This YANG module defines RPCs and a concise datastore implementing the Challenge-Response Remote Attestation Interaction Model.

IETF YANG Doctor review, discussions underway
Issues Addressed (review from interim)

Overall document:
1. Added text describing purpose and context of YANG model

Within YANG model:
2. Added ietf-tcg-algs.YANG, and created error checks around them.
3. Added support for neteqip_boot logs
4. Significantly refined model to eliminate redundancies and sources of configuration error
5. Removed key establishment RPC
6. Descriptive text added throughout model
7. Inserted high level containers to align with YANG practices
Open Issues

Within YANG model:

- XPATH expressions to which perform configuration data integrity validation need review.
- Any (tbd) YANG Doctor comments to be addressed
- Maximize commonality between TPM1.2 & TPM2.0 RPCs
  - Expert review has been requested to ensure TPM1.2 Quotes are accurate
Closed: Include tpm-name and node-id in RPCs?

- rats-support-structures
  - compute-nodes!
    | - compute-node*
    | - node-id
  - tpcs
    - tpm* [tpm-name]
    - tpm-name
    - compute-node
    - certificates
      - certificate*
        - certificate-name

--- x tpm20-challenge-response-attestation
| ---- w input
| | ---- w tpm20-attestation-challenge
| | | ---- w certificate-name*
| ---- ro output
| | ---- ro tpm20-attestation-response*
| | | ---- ro certificate-name?

--- x tpm20-challenge-response-attestation
| ---- w input
| | ---- w tpm20-attestation-challenge
| | | ---- w tpm-name
| | | ---- w node-id
| ---- ro output
| | ---- ro tpm20-attestation-response*
| | | ---- ro tpm-name
| | | ---- ro node-id
| | | ---- ro certificate-name?

Option 1: Minimize RPC complexity

Option 2: Allow variations input and output parameters.

No objections to this subsequent to our interim

certificate-name is assumed unique on a multi linecard attester. It can indicate which TPM and which linecard (node-id)
Next

- Await YANG doctor comments
  - XPATH scrub
- Still need someone to validate TPM1.2 structures
- Then propose WGLC