

Reliable and Available Wireless

Rick Taylor, Eve M. Schooler IETF 109 - Monday, November 16, 2020

I E T F°

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion
- As a participant in, or attendee to, any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the Privacy Policy.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam if you have questions or concerns about this (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/)



Note Well - Policies https://ietf.org/policies

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- <u>BCP 9</u> (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/(Privacy Policy)

This session is being recorded



Administrivia

- Meetecho:
 - https://az.conf.meetecho.com/conference/?group=raw
 - Automatic Bluesheets
- Live Minutes:
 - CodiMD: Integrated into Meetecho (Please collaborate!)
 - https://codimd.ietf.org/notes-ietf-109-raw
- Remote participation:

(Scribe needed!)

- Jabber: xmpp:raw@jabber.ietf.org?join
- Mailing list:
 - raw@ietf.org
 - To subscribe: https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/raw
- Meeting materials:
 - https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/109/session/raw

Agenda



Intro Chairs 10 mins

Reminder of IPR policiesCurrent drafts and statusMilestones and Charter

Technologies Pascal Thubert 20 mins

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-raw-technologies-00

Architecture Pascal Thubert 20 mins

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-pthubert-raw-architecture-04

Use Cases Carlos Bernardos 5 mins

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-raw-use-cases-00

LDACS Nils Maeurer 15 mins

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-raw-ldacs-05

OAM Fabrice Theoleyre 15 mins

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-theoleyre-raw-oam-support-04

Discussion Open Mic 10 mins



Milestones

Date	Milestone
Sep 2020 ✓	Working Group Adoption of <i>Use Cases</i> Document
Sep 2020 ✓	Working Group Adoption of Requirements Technologies Document
Nov 2020	Use Cases Document submit to IESG
Nov 2020 TBD	Working Group Adoption of <i>Architecture/Framework</i> Aspects for a Wireless Network Document
Dec 2020	Requirements Technologies Document submit to IESG
Dec 2020 Too soon	Working Group Adoption of Evaluation of Existing IETF Technologies and Gap Analysis Document
Apr 2021	Architecture/Framework Aspects for a Wireless Network Document submit to IESG
Jun 2021	Evaluation of Existing IETF Technologies and Gap Analysis Document submit to IESG



Draft adoption?

According to the charter, we have a requirement as a Working Group to adopt an *Architecture/Framework Aspects* document as a working group item.

Pascal Thubert is presenting an individual draft on the subject.

- Is this document proposed for adoption?
- If so, does the Working Group consider it ready for adoption? (answer after the presentation please!)

There are still some other individual drafts not related to charter items, e.g. <u>draft-bernardos-raw-mec-00</u>.

- Still active?
- Ready for adoption?



Draft submission

According to the charter, the *Use Cases* and *Technologies* documents should be heading for IESG review this month.

- What is the opinion of the authors?
- Do the documents have sufficient detail to serve their purpose?
- Do the documents have sufficient review? Volunteers?



Presentations on Drafts



Discussion