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Expired
From: None

Rev 06 published [Aug 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 2020]
  ▪ Update references

Next Steps:
  ▪ Wait for [yang-te-types], [yang-te-topo] and [yang-te] to progress
  ▪ Request further review and feedback
From: Jie Dong [Nov 13th, 2020]

No new revision [Current Rev: 06]

Open Issue:
  - Management plane section needs discussion and alignment with related documents

Next Steps:
  - Discuss and update management plane section
  - Request further review
From: None

Rev 04 published [Sept 18th, 2020]
  • Update references

Next Steps:
  • Provide more detailed description for the following interworking scenarios:
    • Protection & Restoration
    • Controller Reliability
  • Request further review and feedback
From: None

Rev 04 published [Sept 8th, 2020]

Open Issues:

- Preemption priority specific extension
- Clarify that APS configuration is out-of-scope of this document

Next Steps:

- Publish a new revision that addresses the open issues
- Request further review and feedback
From: Dhruv Dhody [Nov 11\textsuperscript{th}, 2020]

- Rev 06 published [Current Rev: 05]
  - Editorial cleanup

- Continues to be a living document
  - No intention to get this published as an RFC
  - Request to change state to "Adopted for WG Info Only"
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 03]

Next Steps:
  - Reassess next steps after the base MPLS RMR draft goes through the IESG process
  - Request further review and feedback
From: Xufeng Liu [Nov 12th, 2020]

No new revision

Open Items:
- Need to address Tom’s remaining two comments
- Need to coordinate with draft-shytyi-opsawg-vysm

Next Steps:
- Follow-up with Tom and resolve comments
- Follow-up with authors of draft-shytyi-opsawg-vysm and verify if any issues
- Welcome further reviews and suggestions
- WG LC will be requested after addressing the open items
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 06]

Need to update the document to align with the latest versions of related documents:
  - RFC8776: Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering
  - RFC8795: YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Topologies
  - draft-ietf-teas-yang-te
  - draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation

Need to consider documenting more use cases and techniques

Next Steps:
  - Complete the above items
  - Request further review and suggestions
From: Xufeng Liu [Nov 12th, 2020]

No new revision [Current Rev: 08]

Open Issue:
- Need to address Tom’s remaining comments

Next Steps:
- Maintain alignment with ietf-eth-te-topology and ietf-te-mpls-tp-topo
- Follow-up with Tom and resolve comments
  - WG LC will be requested after this
- Request further review and suggestions
From: Sergio Belotti [Nov 13th, 2020]

No new revision [Current Rev: 10]

Status:
  ▪ Fix RPC X-Paths based on feedback from NetMod WG
    ▪ Issue 76: https://github.com/rvilalta/ietf-te-path-computation/issues/76

Open Item:
  ▪ Terminology cleanup – address comment from Michael Scharf

Next Steps:
  ▪ Request Yang Dr. Review
  ▪ Request further review and suggestions
From: **Tarek Saad** [Nov 13th, 2020]

Rev 15 published [Sept 3rd, 2020]
  - Address a few comments from Tom Petch

Open Items:
  - Address remaining comments from Tom Petch
  - Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in an Appendix

Next Step
  - WG LC will be requested after the open items are addressed
From: Tarek Saad [Nov 13\textsuperscript{th}, 2020]

- No new revision [Current Rev: 08]
  - Rev 07 was reviewed by YANG Dr.

Open Items:
- Address comments from Tom Petch
- Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in Appendix

Next Step:
- WG LC will be requested after the open items are addressed
From: Xufeng Liu [Nov 12th, 2020]
Rev 08 published [Nov 1st, 2020]
  Updated the reference to draft-ietf-spring-sr-yang
  Addressed some review comments from Tom Petch
Open Issue:
  Address remaining comments from Tom
Next Steps:
  Follow-up with Tom and resolve comments
  Keep synchronized with draft-ietf-spring-sr-yang, which provides several shared groupings, including SRGB and SRLB
  WG LC will be requested after completing the above
  Request further review and suggestions
From: Tarek Saad [Nov 13th, 2020]

No new revision [Current Rev: 25]

Open Item:
  ▪ Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in an Appendix

Next Step:
  ▪ WG LC will be requested after the Appendix is updated
From: **Tarek Saad** [Nov 13\textsuperscript{th}, 2020]

- No new revision [Current Rev: 03]

**Open Item:**
- Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in an Appendix

**Next Step:**
- WG LC will be requested after the Appendix is updated