

IETF 110

SPRINGSR Compression

Design Team Report

draft-srcompdt-spring-compression-requirement
draft-srcompdt-spring-compression-analysis

Members:

Ron Bonica, Darren Dukes, Wim Hendrickx, Cheng Li, Peng Shaofu, Chongfeng Xie

Presenter and Chair:

Weiqiang Cheng

The design team is to produce (rough) consensus (of the DT) outputs to the WG on two related topics:

1) What are the requirements for solutions to compressing segment routing information for use over IPv6;

On-Going -05 version

2) An analysis of proposed approaches to compressing segment routing information for use over IPv6.

On-Going -00 version

Status of Requirements draft

- The latest revision is -05, which included all the requirements we've received, only three of which with rough but not unanimous consensus in design team was put in the appendix
- Compared to revision -02, the blue highlighted items were moved to main text from appendix due to consensus in DT; the red highlighted items were new added.

- 3. SRv6 SID List Compression Requirements
 - 3.1. Dataplane Efficiency and Performance Requirements
 - 3.1.1. Encapsulation Header Size
 - 3.1.2. Forwarding Efficiency
 - 3.1.3. State Efficiency
 - 4. SRv6 Specific Requirements
 - 4.1. SRv6 Based
 - 4.2. Functional Requirements
 - 4.2.1. SRv6 Functionality
 - 4.2.2. Heterogeneous SID lists
 - 4.2.3. SID list length
 - 4.2.4. SID summarization
 - 4.3. Operational Requirements
 - 4.3.1. Lossless Compression
 - 4.3.2. Preservation of non-routing information
 - 4.3.3. Address Planning

- 4.4. Scalability Requirements
 - 4.4.1. Adjacency segment scale
 - 4.4.2. Prefix segment scale
 - 4.4.3. Service Scale
 - 4.4.4. Compression Levels
- 5. Protocol Design Requirements
 - 5.1. SRv6 Base Coexistence
 - 5.2. PS or BCP Compliance
- 6. Security Requirements
 - 6.1. Security Mechanisms
 - 6.2. SR Domain Protection
- Appendix A. Proposed Requirements
 - A.1. IPv6 Based
 - A.2. Point to Multipoint
 - A.3. Parsability

Next Steps for Requirements draft

- **SPRING WG Review**
- **SPRING WG adoption?**

Status of analysis draft

Based on the requirements draft, we post an analysis template (introduction, template format).

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-srcompdt-spring-compression-analysis/>

The following mechanisms are proposed to be analyzed in analysis draft

CSID	<i>Draft-filsfilscheng-spring-srv6-srh-comp-sl-enc</i>	Describes two new SRv6 SIDs, a combination of SIDs from [draft-filsfils-spring-net-pgm-extension-srv6-usid] and [draft-cl-spring-generalized-srv6-for-cmpr]
CRH	<i>Draft-bonica-6man-comp-rtg-hdr</i>	Requires two new routing header types and a label mapping technique
VSID	<i>Draft-decraene-spring-srv6-vlsid</i>	Defines a set of SID behaviors to access smaller SIDs within the SR header
UID	<i>Draft-mirsky-6man-unified-id-sr</i>	Extends the SRH to carry MPLS labels or IPv4 addresses

Analysis Completion Plan

What we've done:

Feb 3	Analysis template (introduction, template format) proposed to srcomp@ietf
Feb 11	First analysis text proposed to srcomp@ietf
Feb 12	Decided to analyze 4 proposals (CSID,CRH,VSID,UID)
Feb 17	Team reviewed draft text, decided to complete requirements firstly
March 6	Requirements completed, revision 05 submitted, the key input for analysis

Rough plan:

Mid April	Complete remaining analysis text proposal for DT review
Late May	Review and submit a new revision for IETF SPRING WG & 6 MAN WG review

Comments & Questions?