# New IKEv2 Payload Format

Valery Smyslov svan@elvis.ru

**IETF 110** 

# **Existing Format Redundancy**

#### Many payloads contain substantial redundancy

- Payload Length field occupies 2 bytes, while most payloads are shorter
- most parameters occupy 2 bytes, while less than 256 values are defined
- zero-filled RESERVED fields

Example: SA Payload on the right contains one Proposal with four Transforms:

- ENCR\_AES\_CBC (128 bits)
- PRF\_HMAC\_SHA2\_256
- AUTH\_HMAC\_SHA2\_256\_128
- 2048-bit MODP Group

Payload size is **48** bytes, among which **24** bytes are zeroes.

🗖 ike	v2.pcap	[Wir	eshark	k 1.8.	6 (S	VN R	ev 48	142 f	rom	/trur	1k-1.	8)]										
<u>Eile E</u>	dit ⊻iew	<u>G</u> o <u>C</u>	apture	<u>A</u> naly:	ze <u>S</u>	tatistics	: Tele	ephony	Too	ls <u>I</u> r	nterna	ls E	jelp									
			3 🔲	* 2		Q	4	> 💫	<b>T</b> :	1			€ (	2 Q	<b>**</b>		M	3 %				
Filte	er:										~	Expre	ession.		Clear					ive		
No.	Time 1 10:	32:33	.8756	569	Sour 10.	ce 111.	10.3	L99		De: 1(	stinat D. 11	ion .1.1	.0.1	91		Prote ISA	akmp	Lengt	h I 538	nfo IKE_:	SA_I	NIT
<																						>
<pre>Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol Initiator cookie: 60b2ef32fdllelC7 Responder cookie: 60b2e</pre>																						
<																						>
0030 0040 0050 0060 0070 0080 0080	el c. 00 00 00 04 00 08 8f 8 20 e	00 00 00 00 00 02 02 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 02 04 02 04 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02	00 00 00 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 30 df >1 75	00 f0 0c 05 0e 49 b1	00 22 01 03 28 a1 76	00 00 00 00 00 ed 02	00 0 00 3 00 0 00 0 01 0 ce f 83 f	0 21 0 00 8 03 8 00 5 fg 7 a(	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	22 00 00 00 00 8f 03	08 2 c 8 0 0 c 0 0 7 f 1 7	00 01 03 00 39 89 08	00 01 00 00 fe 4d 0c	· · · · · · ·	 	(.  v.	! .0 	9 N	м			~
	ISAKMP T)	pe Paylo	ad (isak	mp.typ	epaylo	oad), 4	3 bytes										Pa	ckets:	1 Disp	layed	Prof	ile: D

### **Existing Format Limitations**

- Payload Length field occupies 2 bytes, so payload size is limited to 64 Kbytes
  - no problem with Message size, which is limited to 4 Gbytes

### Making Payloads Smaller

- Would decrease power and network bandwidth consumption (important for IoT devices)
- Would decrease chances of IP fragmentation in the IKE\_SA\_INIT and IKE fragmentation in the rest exchanges

### Lifting 64 Kbytes Size Limit

 Would allow using PQ algorithms with long public keys and signatures

- draft-tjhai-ikev2-beyond-64k-limit

• Would allow transferring large chunks of data (e.g. in CP payload)

### New Format Requirements

- Must be suitable for both small and large payloads
- Must be applicable to any payload type, including not yet defined ones
  - some payloads may have special format if it is justified
- The encoder/parser must remain simple and consume low resources

#### **New Format Proposal**

- Three possible formats for new Generic Payload Header
  - for small payloads (up to 64 bytes)
  - for medium size payloads (up to 8 Kbytes)
  - for large payloads (up to 512 Mbytes)
- No RESERVED fields
- Revise existing payloads headers to reduce their size
   remove unnecessary fields
- Special Format for some payloads (SA, empty Status Notify)

### New Generic Payload Header

1. Small payloads (2 bytes, 6 bits for Payload Length)

Next Payload C 0 Payload Length

2. Medium size payloads (3 bytes, 13 bits for Payload Length)

Next Payload	С	1	0	Payload Length
--------------	---	---	---	----------------

3. Large payloads (5 bytes, 29 bits for Payload Length)

Next Payload	С	1	1	Payload Length
Payload Length (cont)				

# Revised Existing Payload Headers

The following payload headers can be revised:

- Key Exchange, Identification, Authentication, Configuration
  - remove reserved field
- Notify
  - remove SPI Size field (can be deducted from Protocol ID)
- Delete
  - remove SPI Size field (can be deducted from Protocol ID)
  - remove Num of SPIs field (can be deducted from Payload Length)
- Traffic Selector
  - remove reserved field
  - remove Number of TSs field (can be deducted from Payload Length)

# **Special Format**

Special format (\*) for:

- SA Payload
  - SA Payload grows quickly as more and more new transforms are defined and offered by initiators
- Notify Payload with some Status Type Notification and no data
- Exchange of such payloads is a common way to negotiate support for various protocol extensions, so initial IKEv2 messages grow up as more and more extensions are defined
   Both payloads contain a lot of redundancy and can be effectively compacted.

(\*) Inspired by draft-smyslov-ipsecme-ikev2-compact

# SA Payload

Outline:

- Remove all RESERVED fields
- Remove Length fields in substructures (where they are unnecessary)
- Encode all currently defined transforms w/o attributes using one octet (both Transform Type and Transform ID)
- Encode currently defined Encryption transforms having Key Length attribute using two octets
- Leave possibility to encode arbitrary (even not yet defined) Transform Type and Transform ID, as with regular format

Example: SA Payload with one Proposal and four Transforms:

- ENCR\_AES\_CBC (128 bits)
- PRF\_HMAC\_SHA2\_256
- AUTH HMAC SHA2 256 128
- 2048-bit MODP Group



# Notify Payload

Outline: encode notification in one octet (limited to first 256 status notifications) and omit all other fields from Notify Payload



# Negotiation

If new format is used from the very beginning then the following options exist:

- New major IKE version (v3)
  - old responders would return INVALID\_MAJOR\_VERSION
- New type of initial exchange (e.g. ALT\_IKE\_SA\_INIT)
   old responders would return INVALID SYNTAX
- New critical payload in the IKE\_SA\_INIT, followed by payloads in new format
  - old responders would return
     UNSUPPORTED\_CRITICAL\_PAYLOAD

#### Discussion

- We don't need to assign new payload types except for special format payloads (SA and empty status Notify), do we? What about revised payloads?
- Transport issues for transferring large payloads are out of scope
  - IKE over TCP combined with IKE fragmentation (to solve limitation on 64 Kbytes on a single IKE message over TCP) can be used
  - do we need mixed mode IKE over TCP combined with plain ESP or ESP over UDP?
- Certificates consume a lot of space, can be compressed
  - RFC 8879 is an example of certificate compression
  - in some use cases draft-mattsson-cose-cbor-cert-compress can be used

# Thanks

- Comments? Questions?
- Any interest in this work?