



IETF 110 – Online
PCE Working Group

Carrying SID Algorithm information in PCE-based Networks

A. Tokar – Cisco Systems (atokar@cisco.com)
S. Sidor – Cisco Systems (ssidor@cisco.com) – Presenter
M. Sivabalan – Ciena Corporation (ssivabal@ciena.com)
S. Peng – Huawei Technologies (pengshuping@huawei.com)
M. Negi – RtBrick Inc (mahend.ietf@gmail.com)

Motivation

- A PCE can compute SR-TE paths using prefix SIDs with different Algorithms depending on the use-case, constraints, etc. While this information is available on the PCE, there is no method of conveying this information to the headend router
- The headend can also compute SR-TE paths using different Algorithms, and this information also needs to be conveyed to the PCE for collection or troubleshooting purposes
- An operator may also want to constrain the path computed by the PCE to a specific SID Algorithm. For example, in order to only use SID Algorithms for a low-latency path

Prefix-SID Algorithm

- Described in Segment Routing Architecture RFC
 - RFC 8402 - Section 3.1.1
 - Two algorithms defined
 - Shortest Path First (SPF) = 0
 - Strict Shortest Path First (Strict-SPF) = 1
- IGP Algorithm Types in IANA registry
 - Two existing algorithms and Flexible Algorithms range

TLV for the LSPA Object - clarification

- SID Algorithm is not replacing Objective Function
 - Specified algorithm acts as filter restricting, which SIDs can be used
- Algorithm constraint in LSPA is specifying end-to-end algorithm
 - Applicable only if L flag is not set
- Different algorithms can be used in one path if L flag is set
 - Loop avoidance is implementation specific

Next steps

- Comments and discussion are welcome