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From: **Italo Busi** [March 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2021]

No new revision [Current Rev: 01]

**Status**
- Gap identified to support Inventory requirement
- On-going investigation of the options for inter-domain L3VPN setup with TE constraints (scenario 2)
- Work progressing through bi-weekly conference calls, open to TEAS WG members

**Open Issues:**
- Currently working on 18 open issues as tracked on github: [https://github.com/FabioPeruzzini/actn-poi](https://github.com/FabioPeruzzini/actn-poi)

**Next Steps:**
- Provide the description of L3VPN over inter-domain POI (scenario 2) in the next draft revision
- Provide some description of the Inventory requirements
- Address other open issues
From: Haomian Zheng [Mar 7\textsuperscript{th}, 2021]

Rev 07 published [Feb 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 2021]

Edward nits

Next Steps:

- Wait for [yang-te] to progress
- Request further review and feedback
From: Jie Dong [Mar 5th, 2021]

Rev 07 published [Feb 10th, 2021]

- Align the terminology with draft-ietf-teas-ietf-network-slice-definition.
- Add references to draft-dong-teas-enhanced-vpn- rtn-scalability for further scalability considerations.
- Add references to draft-king-teas-applicability-actn-slicing as an example of management technologies.
- Resolve the received comments.

Next Steps:
- Request further review
From: Eric Gray [March 8th, 2021]

New adoption

Next Steps:
- Determine the changes required to merge the definition and framework drafts
- Address other comments raised on the mailing list.
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 06]

Open Question
  Should this be taken to WG LC?
From: Adrian Farrel [Feb 24th, 2021]

5 revisions in Nov/Dec 2020 [Current Rev: 10]

Next Steps:
- Fill section on “Intent-Based Networking”
- Request reviews
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 03]

MPLS RMR draft is being progressed as “experimental”; The RSVP RMR draft should follow suit.

Next Steps:
  • Revive expired draft
From: Xufeng Liu [March 3rd, 2021]

Rev 07 published [Feb 21st, 2021]
  - Addressed comments from Tom Petch
  - Addressed comments from authors of draft-shytyi-opsawg-vysm
  - Added a new co-author

Next Steps:
  - Follow-up with Tom and resolve comments
  - Follow-up with authors of draft-shytyi-opsawg-vysm and verify if any issues
  - Welcome further reviews and suggestions
  - WG LC will be requested after addressing the open items
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 06]

Need to update the document to align with the latest versions of related documents:
  - RFC8776: Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering
  - RFC8795: YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Topologies
  - draft-ietf-teas-yang-te
  - draft-ietf-teas-yang-path-computation

Need to consider documenting more use cases and techniques

Next Steps:
  - Revive expired draft
  - Complete the above items
  - Request further review and suggestions
From: Xufeng Liu [Mar 3rd, 2021]

Rev 10 published [Feb 8th, 2021]
  ▪ Addressed comments from Tom Petch

Open Issue:
  ▪ Need to address Tom’s remaining comments

Next Steps:
  ▪ Maintain alignment with ietf-eth-te-topology and ietf-te-mpls-tp-topo
  ▪ Follow-up with Tom and resolve comments
    ▪ WG LC will be requested after this
  ▪ Request further review and suggestions
From: Sergio Belotti [Mar 4\textsuperscript{th}, 2021]

Rev 12 published [Feb 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2021]

- Addressed comments from Tom Petch (2020-11-19)
  https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/teas/xLc0tBnZ9AHhkXKC47UorRNwxss/
- Addressed comments from Yangdoctors review
  https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/teas/0P4BAX5Rb-qOVSRr1ODqZEUwqhU/

Open Items:

- https://github.com/rvilalta/ietf-te-path-computation/issues

Next Steps:

- Request further review and suggestions
From: Tarek Saad [Feb 19th, 2021]
Rev 16 published [Feb 19th, 2021]
  ▪ Editorial updates
  ▪ Appendix A – Example instance data tree
Next Step
  ▪ Request WG LC
From: **None**

Rev 09 published [Feb 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 2021]
  - Editorial nits

Open Items:
  - Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in Appendix

Next Step:
  - WG LC will be requested after the open items are addressed
From: Xufeng Liu [Mar 3rd, 2021]
Rev 09 published [Feb 22nd, 2021]
  Addressed some comments received on the list
Open Issue:
  Address remaining comments
Next Steps:
  Follow-up to resolve comments
  Keep synchronized with draft-ietf-spring-sr-yang, which provides several shared groupings, including SRGB and SRLB
  WG LC will be requested after completing the above
  Request further review and suggestions
From: Tarek Saad [Feb 24th, 2021]

Rev 26 published [Feb 22nd, 2021]

- Editorial nits
- New identity for metric "path-metric-loss"
- Rearranging headend LSP attributes
- Addressing issue in reference groupings from external modules (using absolute leafref path, and prefix-ing module prefix in XPath)
- Added 'controller' container to indicate the controller-id and 'protocol-origin' for externally created tunnels
- Added JSON example of the instance tree when using the YANG model to create and query state for a TE tunnel
- New co-author joined

Next Step:

- Request WGLC
From: None

No new revision [Current Rev: 03]

Open Item:
- Add a JSON instance of the YANG model in an Appendix

Next Step:
- Revive expired draft
- WG LC will be requested after the Appendix is updated