

RFC 4960 bis

draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-09.txt

Randall Stewart (randall@lakerest.net)

Michael Tüxen (tuexen@fh-muenster.de)

Karen Nielsen (KEE@kamstrup.com)

Document History

- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-00.txt
RFC 4960 as an ID using the nroff sources.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-01.txt
RFC 4960 as an ID using xml.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-02.txt
Integrates all changes from RFC8540.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-03.txt
Editorial fixes and updating the references.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-04.txt
Cleanup of must/MUST, should/SHOULD, ...
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-05.txt
Handling of multiple addresses in associate(), Errata 5957, editorial fixes.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-06.txt
Replace reference to RFC 4821 to draft-ietf-tsvwg-datagram-plpmtud.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-07.txt
Conventions as Section 1, move ICMP text from Appendix to main text, no mandatory text in notes, editorial changes.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-08.txt
xmlv2->xmlv3 conversion, removed Appendix A (ECN), address Gorry's comments.
- draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-09.txt
Clarifications, introduction of PMTU, PMDCS, address Timo's and Claudio's comments.

Changes

- Accepted
 - Removal of ECN related text
 - Usage of PMTU in packet size related contexts
 - Usage of PMDCS in window size related contexts
- Not accepted
 - Cover Split Fast Retransmission (SFR)
 - Fail an association when a peer end-point stops reading user data
 - Don't consider a packet OOTB if there is no corresponding end-point
 - A receiver MAY (instead of SHOULD) send an ABORT in response to an OOTB packet.
 - Provide a parameter set more appropriate for signaling networks
- Based on comments (thank you very much!) from
 - Gorry Fairhurst
 - Timo Völker
 - Claudio Porfiri
 - Marcelo Ricardo Leitner

Ongoing/Future Work

- WIP for draft-ietf-tsvwg-rfc-4960-bis-10.txt
 - Add explicit definition of *Control Chunk*
- To Do
 - Get more reviews
 - Address upcoming comments