

CoRE Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: 7595 (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 23 October 2024

C. Bormann, Ed.
Universität Bremen TZI
H. Birkholz
Fraunhofer SIT
21 April 2024

Constrained Resource Identifiers
draft-ietf-core-href-15

Abstract

The Constrained Resource Identifier (CRI) is a complement to the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) that represents the URI components in Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) instead of in a sequence of characters. This simplifies parsing, comparison, and reference resolution in environments with severe limitations on processing power, code size, and memory size.

```
// (This "ceref" paragraph will be removed by the RFC editor:) The
// present revision 15 of this draft continues -14 by picking up
// more comments, such as moving to a CRI scheme number registration
// system based on unsigned numbers. This revision still contains
// open issues and is intended to serve as a snapshot.
```

About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Status information for this document may be found at
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-core-href/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the Constrained RESTful Environments Working Group mailing list (<mailto:core@ietf.org>), which is archived at <https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/browse/core/>.
Subscribe at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/core/>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at
<https://github.com/core-wg/href>.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 23 October 2024.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2024 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Notational Conventions	4
2. Constraints	5
2.1. Constraints not expressed by the data model	7
3. Creation and Normalization	8
4. Comparison	9
5. CRI References	9
5.1. CBOR Representation	10
5.1.1. scheme-name and scheme-id	12
5.1.2. The discard Section	13
5.1.3. Visualization	13
5.1.4. Examples	14
5.1.5. Specific Terminology	14
5.2. Ingesting and encoding a CRI Reference	14
5.2.1. Error handling and extensibility	15
5.3. Reference Resolution	16
6. Relationship between CRIs, URIs, and IRIs	17
6.1. Converting CRIs to URIs	18
7. Extending CRIs	20
7.1. Extended CRI: Accommodating Percent Encoding (PET)	21

8. CoAP Integration	23
8.1. Converting Between CoAP CRIs and Sets of CoAP Options . .	23
8.1.1. Decomposing a Request CRI into a set of CoAP Options	24
8.1.2. Composing a Request CRI from a Set of CoAP Options .	25
8.2. CoAP Options for Forward-Proxies	25
8.2.1. Proxy-CRI	26
8.2.2. Proxy-Scheme-Number	26
9. Implementation Status	27
10. Security Considerations	27
11. IANA Considerations	27
11.1. CRI Scheme Numbers Registry	28
11.1.1. Instructions for the Designated Expert	28
11.1.2. Structure of Entries	28
11.1.3. Initial Registrations	29
11.2. Update to "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" Registry	29
11.3. CBOR Diagnostic Notation Application-extension Identifiers Registry	29
11.4. CoAP Option Numbers Registry	30
12. References	30
12.1. Normative References	30
12.2. Informative References	32
Appendix A. Mapping Scheme Numbers to Scheme Names	33
Appendix B. The Small Print	50
Appendix C. CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN): The "cri" Extension	51
C.1. cri: ABNF Definition of URI Representation of a CRI . . .	52
Appendix D. Change Log	54
Acknowledgements	57
Contributors	57
Authors' Addresses	58

1. Introduction

The Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) [STD66] and its most common usage, the URI reference, are the Internet standard for linking to resources in hypertext formats such as HTML [W3C.REC-html52-20171214] or the HTTP "Link" header field [RFC8288].

A URI reference is a sequence of characters chosen from the repertoire of US-ASCII characters. The individual components of a URI reference are delimited by a number of reserved characters, which necessitates the use of a character escape mechanism called "percent-encoding" when these reserved characters are used in a non-delimiting function. The resolution of URI references involves parsing a character sequence into its components, combining those components with the components of a base URI, merging path components, removing dot-segments, and recomposing the result back into a character sequence.

Overall, the proper handling of URI references is quite intricate. This can be a problem especially in constrained environments [RFC7228], where nodes often have severe code size and memory size limitations. As a result, many implementations in such environments support only an ad-hoc, informally-specified, bug-ridden, non-interoperable subset of half of [STD66].

This document defines the Constrained Resource Identifier (CRI) by constraining URIs to a simplified subset and representing their components in Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [STD94] instead of a sequence of characters. This allows typical operations on URI references such as parsing, comparison, and reference resolution (including all corner cases) to be implemented in a comparatively small amount of code.

As a result of simplification, however, CRIs are not capable of expressing all URIs permitted by the generic syntax of [STD66] (hence the "constrained" in "Constrained Resource Identifier"). The supported subset includes all URIs of the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) [RFC7252], most URIs of the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) [STD97], Uniform Resource Names (URNs) [RFC8141], and other similar URIs. The exact constraints are defined in Section 2.

1.1. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [BCP14] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

In this specification, the term "byte" is used in its now customary sense as a synonym for "octet".

Terms defined in this document appear in cursive where they are introduced (in the plaintext form of this document, they are rendered as the new term surrounded by underscores).

The general structure of data items is shown in the Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL) [RFC8610] including its control extensions [RFC9165]. Specific examples are notated in CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN), as originally introduced in Section 8 of RFC 8949 [STD94] and extended in Appendix G of [RFC8610]. ([I-D.ietf-cbor-edn-literals] more rigorously defines and further extends EDN.)

2. Constraints

A Constrained Resource Identifier consists of the same five components as a URI: scheme, authority, path, query, and fragment. The components are subject to the following constraints:

- C1. The scheme name can be any Unicode string (see Definition D80 in [Unicode]) that matches the syntax of a URI scheme (see Section 3.1 of RFC 3986 [STD66], which constrains scheme names to ASCII) and is lowercase (see Definition D139 in [Unicode]). The scheme is always present.
- C2. An authority is always a host identified by an IP address or registered name, along with optional port information, and optionally preceded by user information.

Alternatively, the authority can be absent; the two cases for this defined in Section 3.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66] are modeled by two different values used in place of an absent authority:

- * the path can be root-based (zero or more path components that are each started in the URI with "/", as when the authority is present), or
- * the path can be rootless, which requires at least one path component.

(Note that, in Figure 1, no-authority is marked as a feature, as not all CRI implementations will support authority-less URIs.)

- C3. A userinfo is a text string built out of unreserved characters (Section 2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) or "sub-delims" (Section 2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]); any other character needs to be percent-encoded (Section 7.1). Note that this excludes the ":" character, which is commonly deprecated as a way to delimit a cleartext password in a userinfo.

- C4. An IP address can be either an IPv4 address or an IPv6 address, optionally with a zone identifier [RFC6874]. Future versions of IP are not supported (it is likely that a binary mapping would be strongly desirable, and that cannot be designed ahead of time, so these versions need to be added as a future extension if needed).
- C5. A registered name is a sequence of one or more `_labels_`, which, when joined with dots (".") in between them, result in a Unicode string that is lowercase and in Unicode Normalization Form C (NFC) (see Definition D120 in [Unicode]). (The syntax may be further restricted by the scheme. As per Section 3.2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66], a registered name can be empty, for which case a scheme can define a default for the host.)
- C6. A port is always an integer in the range from 0 to 65535. Ports outside this range, empty ports (port subcomponents with no digits, see Section 3.2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), or ports with redundant leading zeros, are not supported.
- C7. The port is omitted if and only if the port would be the same as the scheme's default port (provided the scheme is defining such a default port) or the scheme is not using ports.
- C8. A path consists of zero or more path segments. Note that a path of just a single zero-length path segment is allowed this is considered equivalent to a path of zero path segments by HTTP and CoAP, but this equivalence does not hold for CRIs in general as they only perform normalization on the Syntax-Based Normalization level (Section 6.2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), not on the scheme-specific Scheme-Based Normalization level (Section 6.2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]).
- (A CRI implementation may want to offer scheme-cognizant interfaces, performing this scheme-specific normalization for schemes it knows. The interface could assert which schemes the implementation knows and provide pre-normalized CRIs. This can also relieve the application from removing a lone zero-length path segment before putting path segments into CoAP Options, i.e., from performing the check and jump in item 8 of Section 6.4 of [RFC7252]. See also SP1 in Appendix B.)
- C9. A path segment can be any Unicode string that is in NFC, with the exception of the special "." and ".." complete path segments. Note that this includes the zero-length string.

If no authority is present in a CRI, the leading path segment cannot be empty. (See also SP1 in Appendix B.)

- C10. A query always consists of one or more query parameters. A query parameter can be any Unicode string that is in NFC. It is often in the form of a "key=value" pair. When converting a CRI to a URI, query parameters are separated by an ampersand ("&") character. (This matches the structure and encoding of the target URI in CoAP requests.) Queries are optional; there is a difference between an absent query and a single query parameter that is the empty string.
- C11. A fragment identifier can be any Unicode string that is in NFC. Fragment identifiers are optional; there is a difference between an absent fragment identifier and a fragment identifier that is the empty string.
- C12. The syntax of registered names, path segments, query parameters, and fragment identifiers may be further restricted and sub-structured by the scheme. There is no support, however, for escaping sub-delimiters that are not intended to be used in a delimiting function.
- C13. When converting a CRI to a URI, any character that is outside the allowed character range or is a delimiter in the URI syntax is percent-encoded. For CRIs, percent-encoding always uses the UTF-8 encoding form (see Definition D92 in [Unicode]) to convert the character to a sequence of bytes (that is then converted to a sequence of %HH triplets).

Examples for URIs at or beyond the boundaries of these constraints are in SP2 in Appendix B.

2.1. Constraints not expressed by the data model

There are syntactically valid CRIs and CRI references that cannot be converted into a URI or URI reference, respectively.

For CRI references, this is acceptable -- they can be resolved still and result in a valid CRI that can be converted back. Examples of this are:

- * [0, ["p"]]: appends a slash and the path segment "p" to its base (and unsets the query and the fragment)
- * [0, null, []]: leaves the path alone but unsets the query and the fragment

(Full) CRIs that do not correspond to a valid URI are not valid on their own, and cannot be used. Normatively they are characterized by the Section 6.1 process not producing a valid and syntax-normalized URI. For easier understanding, they are listed here:

- * CRIs (and CRI references) containing a path component "." or "..".

These would be removed by the `remove_dot_segments` algorithm of [STD66], and thus never produce a normalized URI after resolution.

(In CRI references, the discard value is used to afford segment removal, and with "." being an unreserved character, expressing them as "%2e" and "%2e%2e" is not even viable, let alone practical).

- * CRIs without authority whose path starts with two or more empty segments.

When converted to URIs, these would violate the requirement that in absence of an authority, a URI's path cannot begin with two slash characters, and they would be indistinguishable from a URI with a shorter path and a present but empty authority component.

- * CRIs without authority that are rootless and do not have a path component (e.g., ["a", true]), which would be indistinguishable from its root-based equivalent (["a"]) as both would have the URI `a:.`

3. Creation and Normalization

In general, resource identifiers are created on the initial creation of a resource with a certain resource identifier, or the initial exposition of a resource under a particular resource identifier.

A Constrained Resource Identifier SHOULD be created by the naming authority that governs the namespace of the resource identifier (see also [BCP190]). For example, for the resources of an HTTP origin server, that server is responsible for creating the CRIs for those resources.

The naming authority MUST ensure that any CRI created satisfies the constraints defined in Section 2. The creation of a CRI fails if the CRI cannot be validated to satisfy all of the constraints.

If a naming authority creates a CRI from user input, it MAY apply the following (and only the following) normalizations to get the CRI more likely to validate:

- * map the scheme name to lowercase (C1);
- * map the registered name to NFC (C5) and split it on embedded dots;
- * elide the port if it is the default port for the scheme (C7);
- * map path segments, query parameters and the fragment identifier to NFC form (C9, C10, C11).

Once a CRI has been created, it can be used and transferred without further normalization. All operations that operate on a CRI SHOULD rely on the assumption that the CRI is appropriately pre-normalized. (This does not contradict the requirement that when CRIs are transferred, recipients must operate on as-good-as untrusted input and fail gracefully in the face of malicious inputs.)

4. Comparison

One of the most common operations on CRIs is comparison: determining whether two CRIs are equivalent, without dereferencing the CRIs (i.e., using them to access their respective resource(s)).

Determination of equivalence or difference of CRIs is based on simple component-wise comparison. If two CRIs are identical component-by-component (using code-point-by-code-point comparison for components that are Unicode strings) then it is safe to conclude that they are equivalent.

This comparison mechanism is designed to minimize false negatives while strictly avoiding false positives. The constraints defined in Section 2 imply the most common forms of syntax- and scheme-based normalizations in URIs, but do not comprise protocol-based normalizations that require accessing the resources or detailed knowledge of the scheme's dereference algorithm. False negatives can be caused, for example, by CRIs that are not appropriately pre-normalized and by resource aliases.

When CRIs are compared to select (or avoid) a network action, such as retrieval of a representation, fragment components (if any) should be excluded from the comparison.

5. CRI References

The most common usage of a Constrained Resource Identifier is to embed it in resource representations, e.g., to express a hyperlink between the represented resource and the resource identified by the CRI.

This section defines the representation of CRIs in Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [STD94]. When reduced representation size is desired, CRIs are often not represented directly. Instead, CRIs are indirectly referenced through `_CRI references_`. These take advantage of hierarchical locality and provide a very compact encoding. The CBOR representation of CRI references is specified in Section 5.1.

The only operation defined on a CRI reference is `_reference resolution_`: the act of transforming a CRI reference into a CRI. An application **MUST** implement this operation by applying the algorithm specified in Section 5.3 (or any algorithm that is functionally equivalent to it).

The reverse operation of transforming a CRI into a CRI reference is not specified in detail in this document; implementations are free to use any algorithm as long as reference resolution of the resulting CRI reference yields the original CRI. Notably, a CRI reference is not required to satisfy all of the constraints of a CRI; the only requirement on a CRI reference is that reference resolution **MUST** yield the original CRI.

When testing for equivalence or difference, applications **SHOULD NOT** directly compare CRI references; the references should be resolved to their respective CRI before comparison.

5.1. CBOR Representation

// RFC Ed.: throughout this section, please replace RFC-XXXX with the
// RFC number of this specification and remove this note.

A CRI or CRI reference is encoded as a CBOR array (Major type 4 in Section 3.1 of RFC 8949 [STD94]), with the structure described in CDDL as follows:

; not expressed in this CDDL spec: trailing nulls to be left off

RFC-XXXX-Definitions = [CRI, CRI-Reference]

```
CRI = [
  scheme,
  authority / no-authority,
  path / null,
  query / null,
  fragment / null
]
```

```
CRI-Reference = [
  ((scheme / null, authority / no-authority)
   // discard),           ; relative reference
  path / null,
  query / [] / null,      ; [] is explicit unset
  fragment / null
]
```

```
scheme      = scheme-name / scheme-id
scheme-name = text .regexp "[a-z][a-z0-9+.-]*"
scheme-id   = nint           ; -1 - scheme-number
```

```
no-authority = NOAUTH-ROOTBASED / NOAUTH-ROOTLESS
NOAUTH-ROOTBASED = null .feature "no-authority"
NOAUTH-ROOTLESS = true .feature "no-authority"
```

```
authority  = [?userinfo, host, ?port]
userinfo    = (false, text .feature "userinfo")
host       = (host-ip // host-name)
host-name  = (*text) ; lowercase, NFC labels
host-ip    = (bytes .size 4 //
              (bytes .size 16, ?zone-id))
zone-id    = text
port       = 0..65535
```

```
discard     = DISCARD-ALL / 0..127
DISCARD-ALL = true
path        = [*text]
query       = [+text]
fragment    = text
```

Figure 1: CDDL for CRI CBOR representation

The rules scheme, authority, path, query, fragment correspond to the (sub-)components of a CRI, as described in Section 2, with the addition of the discard section.

This CDDL specification is simplified for exposition and needs to be augmented by the following rules for interchange of CRIs and CRI references:

- * Trailing null values MUST be removed,
- * two leading null values (scheme and authority both not given) MUST be represented by using the discard alternative instead, and
- * an empty path in a CRI MUST be represented as the empty array [] (note that for CRI-Reference there is a difference between empty and absent paths, represented by [] and null, respectively),
- * an entirely empty outer array is not a valid CRI (but a valid CRI reference, as per Section 5.2 equivalent to [0], which essentially copies the base CRI).

For interchange as separate encoded data items, CRIs MUST NOT use indefinite length encoding (see Section 3.2 of RFC 8949 [STD94]); this requirement is relaxed for specifications that embed CRIs into an encompassing CBOR representation that does provide for indefinite length encoding.

5.1.1. scheme-name and scheme-id

In the scheme section, a CRI scheme can be given by its scheme-name (a text string giving the scheme name as in URIs' scheme section, mapped to lower case), or as a negative integer scheme-id derived from the `_scheme number_`. Scheme numbers are unsigned integers that are mapped to and from URI scheme names by the "CRI Scheme Numbers" registry (Section 11.1). The relationship of a scheme number to its scheme-id is as follows:

```
scheme-id = -1 - scheme-number  
scheme-number = -1 - scheme-id
```

For example, the scheme-name coap has the (unsigned integer) scheme-number 0 which is represented in a (negative integer) scheme-id -1.

5.1.2. The discard Section

The discard section can be used in a CRI reference when neither a scheme nor an authority is present. It then expresses the operations performed on a base CRI by CRI references that are equivalent to URI references with relative paths and path prefixes such as `"/`, `"/.`, `"/..`", `"/../..`", etc. `"/.`" and `"/..`" are not available in CRIs and are therefore expressed using discard after a normalization step, as is the presence or absence of a leading `"/`.

E.g., a simple URI reference `"foo"` specifies to remove one leading segment from the base URI's path, which is represented in the equivalent CRI reference discard section as the value 1; similarly `"/../foo"` removes two leading segments, represented as 2; and `"/foo"` removes all segments, represented in the discard section as the value true. The exact semantics of the section values are defined by Section 5.3.

Most URI references that Section 4.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66] calls "relative references" (i.e., references that need to undergo a resolution process to obtain a URI) correspond to the CRI reference form that starts with discard. The exception are relative references with an authority (called a "network-path reference" in Section 4.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), which discard the entire path of the base CRI. These CRI references never carry a discard section: the value of discard defaults to true.

5.1.3. Visualization

The structure of a CRI reference is visualized using the somewhat limited means of a railroad diagram:

```
cri-reference:
  scheme authority local-part

      discard

local-part:
  >

      >

          >

      path query fragment
```

This visualization does not go into the details of the elements.

5.1.4. Examples

```

[-1,                / scheme-id -- equivalent to "coap" /
 [h'C6336401',      / host /
  61616],           / port /
 [".well-known",    / path /
  "core"]
]

[true,              / discard /
 [".well-known",    / path /
  "core"],
 ["rt=temperature-c"]] / query /

[-6,                / scheme-id -- equivalent to "did" /
 true,              / authority = NOAUTH-ROOTLESS /
 ["web:alice:bob"] / path /
]

```

5.1.5. Specific Terminology

A CRI reference is considered _well-formed_ if it matches the structure as expressed in Figure 1 in CDDL, with the additional requirement that trailing null values are removed from the array.

A CRI reference is considered _absolute_ if it is well-formed and the sequence of sections starts with a non-null scheme.

A CRI reference is considered _relative_ if it is well-formed and the sequence of sections is empty or starts with a section other than those that would constitute a scheme.

5.2. Ingesting and encoding a CRI Reference

From an abstract point of view, a CRI Reference is a data structure with six sections:

scheme, authority, discard, path, query, fragment

Each of these sections can be unset ("null"), except for discard, which is always an unsigned integer or true. If scheme and/or authority are non-null, discard must be true.

When ingesting a CRI Reference that is in the transfer form, those sections are filled in from the transfer form (unset sections are filled with null), and the following steps are performed:

- * If the array is entirely empty, replace it with [0].

- * If discard is present in the transfer form (i.e., the outer array starts with true or an unsigned integer), set scheme and authority to null.
- * If scheme and/or authority are present in the transfer form (i.e., the outer array starts with null, a text string, or a negative integer), set discard to true.

Upon encoding the abstract form into the transfer form, the inverse processing is performed: If scheme and/or authority are not null, the discard value is not transferred (it must be true in this case). If they are both null, they are both left out and only discard is transferred. Trailing null values are removed from the array. As a special case, an empty array is sent in place for a remaining [0] (URI reference "").

5.2.1. Error handling and extensibility

It is recommended that specifications that describe the use of CRIs in CBOR-based protocols use the error handling mechanisms outlined in this section. Implementations of this document **MUST** adhere to these rules unless a containing document overrides them.

When encountering a CRI that is well-formed in terms of CBOR, but that

- * is not well-formed as a CRI,
- * does not meet the other requirements on CRIs that are not covered by the term "well-formed", or
- * uses features not supported by the implementation,

the CRI is treated as "unprocessable".

When encountering an unprocessable CRI, the processor skips the entire CRI top-level array, including any CBOR items contained in there, and continues processing the CBOR items surrounding the unprocessable CRI. (Note: this skipping can be implemented in bounded memory for CRIs that do not use indefinite length encoding, as mandated in Section 5.1.)

The unprocessable CRI is treated as an opaque identifier that is distinct from all processable CRIs, and distinct from all unprocessable CRIs with different CBOR representations. It is up to implementation whether unprocessable CRIs with identical representations are treated as identical to each other or not. Unprocessable CRIs cannot be dereferenced, and it is an error to query any of their components.

This mechanism ensures that CRI extensions (using originally defined features or later extensions) can be used without extending the compatibility hazard to the containing document. For example, if a collection of possible interaction targets contains several CRIs, some of which use the "no-authority" feature, an application consuming that collection that does not support that feature can still offer the supported interaction targets.

The duty of checking validity is with the recipients that rely on this validity. An intermediary that does not use the detailed information in a CRI (or merely performs reference resolution) MAY pass on a CRI/CRI reference without having fully checked it, relying on the producer having generated a valid CRI/CRI reference. This is true for both basic CRIs (e.g., checking for valid UTF-8) and for extensions (e.g., checking both for valid UTF-8 and the minimal use of PET elements in extended-cris as per Section 7.1).

5.3. Reference Resolution

The term "relative" implies that a "base CRI" exists against which the relative reference is applied. Aside from fragment-only references, relative references are only usable when a base CRI is known.

The following steps define the process of resolving any well-formed CRI reference against a base CRI so that the result is a CRI in the form of an absolute CRI reference:

1. Establish the base CRI of the CRI reference and express it in the form of an abstract absolute CRI reference.
2. Initialize a buffer with the sections from the base CRI.
3. If the value of discard is true in the CRI reference (which is implicitly the case when scheme and/or authority are present in the reference), replace the path in the buffer with the empty array, unset query and fragment, and set a true authority to null. If the value of discard is an unsigned integer, remove as many elements from the end of the path array; if it is non-zero, unset query and fragment.

Set discard to true in the buffer.

4. If the path section is set in the CRI reference, append all elements from the path array to the array in the path section in the buffer; unset query and fragment.
 5. Apart from the path and discard, copy all non-null sections from the CRI reference to the buffer in sequence; unset query in the buffer if query is the empty array [] in the CRI reference; unset fragment in the buffer if query is non-null in the CRI reference.
 6. Return the sections in the buffer as the resolved CRI.
6. Relationship between CRIs, URIs, and IRIs

CRIs are meant to replace both Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs) [STD66] and Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs) [RFC3987] in constrained environments [RFC7228]. Applications in these environments may never need to use URIs and IRIs directly, especially when the resource identifier is used simply for identification purposes or when the CRI can be directly converted into a CoAP request.

However, it may be necessary in other environments to determine the associated URI or IRI of a CRI, and vice versa. Applications can perform these conversions as follows:

CRI to URI

A CRI is converted to a URI as specified in Section 6.1.

URI to CRI

The method of converting a URI to a CRI is unspecified; implementations are free to use any algorithm as long as converting the resulting CRI back to a URI yields an equivalent URI.

Note that CRIs are defined to enable implementing conversions from or to URIs analogously to processing URIs into CoAP Options and back, with the exception that item 8 of Section 6.4 of [RFC7252] and item 7 of Section 6.5 of [RFC7252] do not apply to CRI processing. See SP1 in Appendix B for more details.

CRI to IRI

A CRI can be converted to an IRI by first converting it to a URI as specified in Section 6.1, and then converting the URI to an IRI as described in Section 3.2 of [RFC3987].

IRI to CRI

An IRI can be converted to a CRI by first converting it to a URI as described in Section 3.1 of [RFC3987], and then converting the URI to a CRI as described above.

Everything in this section also applies to CRI references, URI references, and IRI references.

6.1. Converting CRIs to URIs

Applications MUST convert a CRI reference to a URI reference by determining the components of the URI reference according to the following steps and then recomposing the components to a URI reference string as specified in Section 5.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66].

scheme

If the CRI reference contains a scheme section, the scheme component of the URI reference consists of the value of that section, if text (scheme-name); or, if a negative integer is given (scheme-id), the lower case scheme name corresponding to the scheme-id as per Section 5.1.1. Otherwise, the scheme component is unset.

authority

If the CRI reference contains a host-name or host-ip item, the authority component of the URI reference consists of a host subcomponent, optionally followed by a colon (":") character and a port subcomponent, optionally preceded by a userinfo subcomponent. Otherwise, the authority component is unset.

The host subcomponent consists of the value of the host-name or host-ip item.

The userinfo subcomponent, if present, is turned into a single string by appending a "@". Otherwise, both the subcomponent and the "@" sign are omitted. Any character in the value of the userinfo elements that is not in the set of unreserved characters (Section 2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) or "sub-delims" (Section 2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) MUST be percent-encoded.

The host-name is turned into a single string by joining the elements separated by dots ("."). Any character in the elements of a host-name item that is not in the set of unreserved characters (Section 2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) or "sub-delims" (Section 2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) MUST be percent-encoded. If there are dots (".") in such elements, the conversion fails (percent-encoding is not able to represent such elements, as normalization would turn the percent-encoding back to the unreserved character that a dot is.)

The value of a host-ip item MUST be represented as a string that matches the "IPv4address" or "IP-literal" rule (Section 3.2.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]). Any zone-id is appended to the string; the details for how this is done are currently in flux in the URI specification: Section 2 of [RFC6874] uses percent-encoding and a separator of "%25", while proposals for a future superseding zone-id specification document (such as [I-D.carpenter-6man-rfc6874bis]) are being prepared; this also leads to a modified "IP-literal" rule as specified in these documents.

If the CRI reference contains a port item, the port subcomponent consists of the value of that item in decimal notation. Otherwise, the colon (":") character and the port subcomponent are both omitted.

path

If the CRI reference contains a discard item of value true, the path component is considered _rooted_. If it contains a discard item of value 0 and the path item is present, the conversion fails. If it contains a positive discard item, the path component is considered _unrooted_ and prefixed by as many "../" components as the discard value minus one indicates. If the discard value is 1 and the first element of the path contains a :, the path component is prefixed by "../" (this avoids the first element to appear as supplying a URI scheme; compare path-noscheme in Section 4.2 of RFC 3986 [STD66]).

If the discard item is not present and the CRI reference contains an authority that is true, the path component of the URI reference is considered unrooted. Otherwise, the path component is considered rooted.

If the CRI reference contains one or more path items, the path component is constructed by concatenating the sequence of representations of these items. These representations generally contain a leading slash ("/") character and the value of each item, processed as discussed below. The leading slash character is omitted for the first path item only if the path component is considered "unrooted".

Any character in the value of a path item that is not in the set of unreserved characters or "sub-delims" or a colon (":") or commercial at ("@") character MUST be percent-encoded.

If the authority component is present (not null or true) and the path component does not match the "path-abempty" rule (Section 3.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), the conversion fails.

If the authority component is not present, but the scheme component is, and the path component does not match the "path-absolute", "path-rootless" (authority == true) or "path-empty" rule (Section 3.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), the conversion fails.

If neither the authority component nor the scheme component are present, and the path component does not match the "path-absolute", "path-noscheme" or "path-empty" rule (Section 3.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66]), the conversion fails.

query

If the CRI reference contains one or more query items, the query component of the URI reference consists of the value of each item, separated by an ampersand ("&") character. Otherwise, the query component is unset.

Any character in the value of a query item that is not in the set of unreserved characters or "sub-delims" or a colon (":"), commercial at ("@"), slash ("/") or question mark ("?") character MUST be percent-encoded. Additionally, any ampersand character ("&") in the item value MUST be percent-encoded.

fragment

If the CRI reference contains a fragment item, the fragment component of the URI reference consists of the value of that item. Otherwise, the fragment component is unset.

Any character in the value of a fragment item that is not in the set of unreserved characters or "sub-delims" or a colon (":"), commercial at ("@"), slash ("/") or question mark ("?") character MUST be percent-encoded.

7. Extending CRIs

CRIs have been designed to relieve implementations operating on CRIs from string scanning, which both helps constrained implementations and implementations that need to achieve high throughput.

The CRI structure described up to this point is termed the `_Basic CRI_`. It should be sufficient for all applications that use the CoAP protocol, as well as most other protocols employing URIs.

However, Basic CRIs have one limitation: They do not support URI components that `_require_` percent-encoding (Section 2.1 of RFC 3986 [STD66]) to represent them in the URI syntax, except where that percent-encoding is used to escape the main delimiter in use.

E.g., the URI

`https://alice/3%2f4-inch`

is represented by the basic CRI

```
[-4, ["alice"], ["3/4-inch"]]
```

However, percent-encoding that is used at the application level is not supported by basic CRIs:

`did:web:alice:7%3A1-balun`

Extended forms of CRIs may be defined to enable these applications. They will generally extend the potential values of text components of URIs, such as userinfo, hostnames, paths, queries, and fragments.

One such extended form is described in the following Section 7.1. Consumers of CRIs will generally notice when an extended form is in use, by finding structures that do not match the CDDL rules given in Figure 1. Future definitions of extended forms need to strive to be distinguishable in their structures from the extended form presented here as well as other future forms.

Extensions to CRIs MUST NOT allow indefinite length items. This provision ensures that recipients of CRIs can deal with unprocessable CRIs as described in Section 5.2.1.

7.1. Extended CRI: Accommodating Percent Encoding (PET)

This section presents a method to represent percent-encoded segments of userinfo, hostnames, paths, and queries, as well as fragments.

The four CDDL rules

```
userinfo    = (false, text .feature "userinfo")
host-name   = (*text)
path        = [*text]
query       = [+text]
fragment    = text
```

are replaced with

```
userinfo      = (false, text-or-pet .feature "userinfo")
host-name    = (*text-or-pet)
path         = [*text-or-pet]
query        = [+text-or-pet]
fragment     = text-or-pet

text-or-pet = text /
             text-pet-sequence .feature "extended-cri"

; text1 and pet1 alternating, at least one pet1:
text-pet-sequence = [?text1, ((+(pet1, text1), ?pet1) // pet1)]
; pet is percent-encoded bytes
pet1 = bytes .ne ''
text1 = text .ne ""
```

That is, for each of the host-name, path, and query segments, and for the userinfo and fragment components, an alternate representation is provided besides a simple text string: a non-empty array of alternating non-blank text and byte strings, the text strings of which stand for non-percent-encoded text, while the byte strings retain the special semantics of percent-encoded text without actually being percent-encoded.

The above DID URI can now be represented as:

```
[-6, true, [{"web:alice:7", ':', "1-balun"}]]
```

(Note that, in CBOR diagnostic notation, single quotes delimit literals for byte strings, double quotes for text strings.)

To yield a valid extended-cri, the use of byte strings **MUST** be minimal. Both the following examples are therefore not valid:

```
[-6, true, [{"web:alice:", '7:', "1-balun"}]]
[-6, true, [{"web:alice:7", ':1', "-balun"}]]
```

An algorithm for constructing a valid text-pet-sequence might repeatedly examine the byte sequences in each byte string; if such a sequence stands for an unreserved ASCII character, or constitutes a valid UTF-8 character U+0080, move this character over into a text string by appending it to the end of the preceding text string, prepending it to the start of the following text string, or splitting the byte string and inserting a new text string with this character, all while preserving the order of the bytes. (Note that the properties of UTF-8 make this a simple linear process.)

Unlike the text elements of a path or a query, which through CoAP’s heritage are designed to be processable element by element, a text-pet-sequence does not usually produce a semantically meaningful division into array elements. This consequence of the flexibility in delimiters offered in URIs is demonstrated by this example, which structurally singles out the one ‘:’ that is not a delimiter at the application level. Applications designed for using CRIs will generally avoid using the extended-cri feature. Applications using existing URI structures that require text-pet-sequence elements for their representation typically need to process them byte by byte.

8. CoAP Integration

This section discusses ways in which CRIs can be used in the context of the CoAP protocol [RFC7252].

8.1. Converting Between CoAP CRIs and Sets of CoAP Options

This section provides an analogue to Sections 6.4 and 6.5 of [RFC7252]: Computing a set of CoAP options from a request CRI (Section 8.1.1) and computing a request CRI from a set of COAP options (Section 8.1.2).

This section makes use of the mapping between CRI scheme numbers and URI scheme names shown in Table 1:

CRI scheme number	URI scheme name
0	coap
1	coaps
6	coap+tcp
7	coaps+tcp
8	coap+ws
9	coaps+ws

Table 1: Mapping CRI scheme numbers and URI scheme names

8.1.1. Decomposing a Request CRI into a set of CoAP Options

The steps to parse a request's options from a CRI »cri« are as follows. These steps either result in zero or more of the Uri-Host, Uri-Port, Uri-Path, and Uri-Query Options being included in the request or they fail.

Where the following speaks of deriving a text-string for a CoAP Option value from a data item in the CRI, the presence of any text-pet-sequence subitem (Section 7.1) in this item fails this algorithm.

1. If »cri« is not an absolute CRI reference, then fail this algorithm.
2. Translate the scheme-id into a URI scheme name as per Section 5.1.1 and Table 1; if a scheme-id that corresponds to a scheme number not in this list is being used, or if a scheme-name is being used, fail this algorithm. Remember the specific variant of CoAP to be used based on this URI scheme name.
3. If »cri« has a fragment component, then fail this algorithm.
4. If the host component of »cri« is a host-name, include a Uri-Host Option and let that option's value be the text string value of the host-name.

If the host component of »cri« is a host-ip, check whether the IP address given represents the request's destination IP address (and, if present, zone-id). Only if it does not, include a Uri-Host Option, and let that option's value be the text value of the URI representation of the IP address, as derived in Section 6.1, Paragraph 2.4.5.

5. If »cri« has a port component, then let »port« be that component's unsigned integer value; otherwise, let »port« be the default port number for the scheme.
6. If »port« does not equal the request's destination UDP port, include a Uri-Port Option and let that option's value be »port«.
7. If the value of the path component of »cri« is empty or consists of a single empty string, then move to the next step.

Otherwise, for each element in the »path« component, include a Uri-Path Option and let that option's value be the text string value of that element.

8. If »cri« has a query component, then, for each element in the query component, include a Uri-Query Option and let that option's value be the text string value of that element.

8.1.2. Composing a Request CRI from a Set of CoAP Options

The steps to construct a CRI from a request's options are as follows. These steps either result in a CRI or they fail.

1. Based on the variant of CoAP used in the request, choose a scheme-id as per Section 5.1.1 and table Table 1. Use that as the first value in the resulting CRI array.
2. If the request includes a Uri-Host Option, insert an authority with its value determined as follows: If the value of the Uri-Host Option is a reg-name, include this as the host-name. If the value is an IP-literal or IPv4address, extract any zone-id, and represent the IP address as a byte string of the correct length in host-ip, followed by any zone-id extracted if present. If the value is none of the three, fail this algorithm.

If the request does not include a Uri-Host Option, insert an authority with host-ip being the byte string that represents the request's destination IP address and, if one is present in the request's destination, add a zone-id.

3. If the request includes a Uri-Port Option, let »port« be that option's value. Otherwise, let »port« be the request's destination UDP port. If »port« is not the default port for the scheme, then insert the integer value of »port« as the value of port in the authority. Otherwise, elide the port.
4. Insert a path component that contains an array built from the text string values of the Uri-Path Options in the request, or an empty array if no such options are present.
5. Insert a query component that contains an array built from the text string values of the Uri-Query Options in the request, or an empty array if no such options are present.

8.2. CoAP Options for Forward-Proxies

Apart from the above procedures to convert CoAP CRIs to and from sets of CoAP Options, two additional CoAP Options are defined in Section 5.10.2 of [RFC7252] that support requests to forward-proxies:

- * Proxy-Uri, and

* its more lightweight variant, Proxy-Scheme

This section defines analogues of these that employ CRIs and the URI Scheme numbering provided by the present specification.

8.2.1. Proxy-CRI

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD235	x	x	-		Proxy-Cri	opaque	1-1023	(none)

Table 2: Proxy-Cri CoAP Option

The Proxy-CRI Option carries an encoded CBOR data item that represents an absolute CRI reference. It is used analogously to Proxy-Uri as defined in Section 5.10.2 of [RFC7252]. The Proxy-Cri Option MUST take precedence over any of the Uri-Host, Uri-Port, Uri-Path or Uri-Query options, as well as over any Proxy-Uri Option (each of which MUST NOT be included in a request containing the Proxy-Cri Option).

8.2.2. Proxy-Scheme-Number

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD239	x	x	-		Proxy-Scheme-Number	uint	0-3	(none)

Table 3: Proxy-Scheme-Number CoAP Option

The Proxy-Scheme-Number Option carries a CRI Scheme Number represented as a CoAP unsigned integer. It is used analogously to Proxy-Scheme as defined in Section 5.10.2 of [RFC7252].

As per Section 3.2 of [RFC7252], CoAP Options are only defined as one of empty, (text) string, opaque (byte string), or uint (unsigned integer). The Option therefore carries an unsigned integer that represents the CRI scheme-number (which relates to a CRI scheme-id as defined in Section 5.1.1). For instance, the scheme name "coap" has the scheme-number 0 and is represented as an unsigned integer by a zero-length CoAP Option value.

// TO DO: Discuss the need for a location-scheme-numeric option?

9. Implementation Status

(Boilerplate as per Section 2.1 of [RFC7942]:)

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [RFC7942], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

With the exception of the authority=true fix, host-names split into labels, and Section 7.1, CRIs are implemented in <https://gitlab.com/chrysn/micrurus>. A goLang implementation of version -10 of this document is found at: <https://github.com/thomas-fossati/href>

10. Security Considerations

Parsers of CRI references must operate on input that is assumed to be untrusted. This means that parsers MUST fail gracefully in the face of malicious inputs. Additionally, parsers MUST be prepared to deal with resource exhaustion (e.g., resulting from the allocation of big data items) or exhaustion of the call stack (stack overflow). See Section 10 of RFC 8949 [STD94] for additional security considerations relating to CBOR.

The security considerations discussed in Section 7 of RFC 3986 [STD66] and Section 8 of [RFC3987] for URIs and IRIs also apply to CRIs.

11. IANA Considerations

11.1. CRI Scheme Numbers Registry

This specification defines a new "CRI Scheme Numbers" sub-registry in the "CoRE Parameters" registry [IANA.core-parameters], with the policy "Expert Review" (Section 4.5 of RFC 8126 [BCP26]). The objective is to have CRI scheme number values registered for all registered URI schemes (Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes registry), as well as exceptionally for certain text strings that the Designated Expert considers widely used in constrained applications in place of URI scheme names.

11.1.1. Instructions for the Designated Expert

The expert is instructed to be frugal in the allocation of CRI scheme number values whose scheme-id values (Section 5.1.1) have short representations (1+0 and 1+1 encoding), keeping them in reserve for applications that are likely to enjoy wide use and can make good use of their shortness.

When the expert notices that a registration has been made in the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes registry (see also Section 11.2), the expert is requested to initiate a parallel registration in the CRI Scheme Numbers registry. CRI scheme number values in the range between 1000 and 20000 (inclusive) should be assigned unless a shorter representation in CRIs appears desirable.

The expert exceptionally also may make such a registration for text strings that have not been registered in the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes registry if and only if the expert considers them to be in wide use in place of URI scheme names in constrained applications. (Note that the initial registrations in Table 6 in Appendix A already include such registrations for the text strings "mqtt" and "mqttp".)

A registration in the CRI Scheme Numbers registry does not imply that a URI scheme under this name exists or has been registered in the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes registry -- it essentially is only providing an integer identifier for an otherwise uninterpreted text string.

Any questions or issues that might interest a wider audience might be raised by the expert on the core-parameters@ietf.org mailing list for a time-limited discussion.

11.1.2. Structure of Entries

Each entry in the registry must include:

- CRI scheme number:
An unsigned integer unique in this registry
- URI scheme name:
a text string that would be acceptable for registration as a URI Scheme Name in the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes registry
- Reference:
a reference to a document, if available, or the registrant

11.1.3. Initial Registrations

The initial registrations for the CRI Scheme Numbers registry are provided in Table 6 in Appendix A.

11.2. Update to "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" Registry

RFC 7595 [BCP35] is updated to add the following note in the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" Registry [IANA.uri-schemes]:

The CRI Scheme Numbers Registry registers numeric identifiers for what essentially are URI Scheme names. Registrants for the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes Registry are requested to make a parallel registration in the CRI Scheme Numbers registry. The number for this registration will be assigned by the Designated Expert for that registry.

11.3. CBOR Diagnostic Notation Application-extension Identifiers Registry

In the "Application-Extension Identifiers" registry in the "CBOR Diagnostic Notation" registry group [IANA.cbor-diagnostic-notation], IANA is requested to register the application-extension identifier cri as described in Table 4 and defined in Appendix C.

Application-extension Identifier	Description	Change Controller	Reference
cri	Constrained Resource Identifier	IETF	RFC-XXXX, Appendix C

Table 4: CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN)
Application-extension Identifier for CRI

// RFC Ed.: throughout this section, please replace RFC-XXXX with the
 // RFC number of this specification and remove this note.

11.4. CoAP Option Numbers Registry

In the "CoAP Option Numbers" registry in the "CoRE Parameters" registry group [IANA.core-parameters], IANA is requested to register the CoAP Option Numbers as described in Table 5 and defined in Section 8.2.

No.	Name	Reference
TBD235	Proxy-Cri	RFC-XXXX
TBD239	Proxy-Scheme-Number	RFC-XXXX

Table 5: New CoAP Option Numbers

// RFC Ed.: throughout this section, please replace RFC-XXXX with the
 // RFC number of this specification and remove this note.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [BCP14] Best Current Practice 14,
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp14>.
 At the time of writing, this BCP comprises the following:
- Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.
- [BCP26] Best Current Practice 26,
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp26>.
 At the time of writing, this BCP comprises the following:

- Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.
- [BCP35] Best Current Practice 35, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp35>>. At the time of writing, this BCP comprises the following:
- Thaler, D., Ed., Hansen, T., and T. Hardie, "Guidelines and Registration Procedures for URI Schemes", BCP 35, RFC 7595, DOI 10.17487/RFC7595, June 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7595>>.
- [IANA.core-parameters] IANA, "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Parameters", <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/core-parameters>>.
- [IANA.uri-schemes] IANA, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes", <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/uri-schemes>>.
- [RFC3987] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", RFC 3987, DOI 10.17487/RFC3987, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3987>>.
- [RFC6874] Carpenter, B., Cheshire, S., and R. Hinden, "Representing IPv6 Zone Identifiers in Address Literals and Uniform Resource Identifiers", RFC 6874, DOI 10.17487/RFC6874, February 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6874>>.
- [RFC8610] Birkholz, H., Vigano, C., and C. Bormann, "Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL): A Notational Convention to Express Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) and JSON Data Structures", RFC 8610, DOI 10.17487/RFC8610, June 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8610>>.
- [RFC9165] Bormann, C., "Additional Control Operators for the Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL)", RFC 9165, DOI 10.17487/RFC9165, December 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc9165>>.
- [STD66] Internet Standard 66, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/std66>>. At the time of writing, this STD comprises the following:

Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>>.

[STD94] Internet Standard 94,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/std94>>.
At the time of writing, this STD comprises the following:

Bormann, C. and P. Hoffman, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)", STD 94, RFC 8949, DOI 10.17487/RFC8949, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8949>>.

[Unicode] The Unicode Consortium, "The Unicode Standard, Version 13.0.0", ISBN 978-1-936213-26-9, March 2020, <<https://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode13.0.0/>>.

12.2. Informative References

[BCP190] Best Current Practice 190,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/bcp190>>.
At the time of writing, this BCP comprises the following:

Nottingham, M., "URI Design and Ownership", BCP 190, RFC 8820, DOI 10.17487/RFC8820, June 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8820>>.

[I-D.carpenter-6man-rfc6874bis]
Carpenter, B. E., Cheshire, S., and R. M. Hinden,
"Representing IPv6 Zone Identifiers in Address Literals and Uniform Resource Identifiers", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-carpenter-6man-rfc6874bis-03, 8 February 2022, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-carpenter-6man-rfc6874bis-03>>.

[I-D.ietf-cbor-edn-literals]
Bormann, C., "CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN): Application-Oriented Literals, ABNF, and Media Type", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-cbor-edn-literals-08, 1 February 2024, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-cbor-edn-literals-08>>.

[RFC4180] Shafranovich, Y., "Common Format and MIME Type for Comma-Separated Values (CSV) Files", RFC 4180, DOI 10.17487/RFC4180, October 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4180>>.

- [RFC7228] Bormann, C., Ersue, M., and A. Keranen, "Terminology for Constrained-Node Networks", RFC 7228, DOI 10.17487/RFC7228, May 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7228>>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7252>>.
- [RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", BCP 205, RFC 7942, DOI 10.17487/RFC7942, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc7942>>.
- [RFC8141] Saint-Andre, P. and J. Klensin, "Uniform Resource Names (URNs)", RFC 8141, DOI 10.17487/RFC8141, April 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8141>>.
- [RFC8288] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", RFC 8288, DOI 10.17487/RFC8288, October 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8288>>.
- [STD97] Internet Standard 97, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/std97>>.
At the time of writing, this STD comprises the following:
- Fielding, R., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP Semantics", STD 97, RFC 9110, DOI 10.17487/RFC9110, June 2022, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9110>>.
- [W3C.REC-html52-20171214] Danilo, A., Ed., Eicholz, A., Ed., Moon, S., Ed., Faulkner, S., Ed., and T. Leithead, Ed., "HTML 5.2", W3C REC REC-html52-20171214, W3C REC-html52-20171214, 14 December 2017, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/REC-html52-20171214/>>.

Appendix A. Mapping Scheme Numbers to Scheme Names

// RFC Ed.: throughout this section, please replace RFC-XXXX with the
// RFC number of this specification and remove this note.

Table 6 defines the initial mapping from CRI scheme numbers to URI
scheme names.

CRI scheme number	URI scheme name	Reference
0	coap	[RFC-XXXX]
1	coaps	[RFC-XXXX]
2	http	[RFC-XXXX]
3	https	[RFC-XXXX]
4	urn	[RFC-XXXX]
5	did	[RFC-XXXX]
6	coap+tcp	[RFC-XXXX]
7	coaps+tcp	[RFC-XXXX]
8	coap+ws	[RFC-XXXX]
9	coaps+ws	[RFC-XXXX]
1059	ms-gamingoverlay	[RFC-XXXX]
1165	snmp	[RFC-XXXX]
1220	cast	[RFC-XXXX]
1242	openid	[RFC-XXXX]
1319	z39.50	[RFC-XXXX]
1328	dweb	[RFC-XXXX]
1466	psyc	[RFC-XXXX]
1528	ms-people	[RFC-XXXX]
1578	jar	[RFC-XXXX]
1658	wpid	[RFC-XXXX]
1762	payment	[RFC-XXXX]
1895	news	[RFC-XXXX]

1905	irc6	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
1926	turns	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
1946	data	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
1982	ens	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2154	things	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2284	resource	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2326	skype	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2406	videotex	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2442	dpp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2747	upt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2754	platform	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2790	ed2k	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2796	taler	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2806	fm	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
2945	ms-newsandinterests	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3005	xmlrpc.beep	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3018	ark	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3119	wss	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3143	tel	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3255	vscode-insiders	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3342	geo	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3348	rtmfp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3358	mtqp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3365	filesystem	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

3503	proxy	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3524	sms	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3634	jms	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3646	mid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3690	ms-calculator	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3775	gitoid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3783	calculator	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3786	about	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3795	facetime	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3837	ymsgr	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3886	dict	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3906	ldaps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3920	rtmp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
3959	ms-settings-proximity	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4053	fax	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4102	ms-drive-to	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4153	res	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4183	webcal	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4193	embedded	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4315	xftp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4327	browserext	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4355	session	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4373	dav	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4419	ipps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

4515	uuid-in-package	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4549	dhttp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4559	web3	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4590	iris.lwz	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4598	diaspora	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4619	rtsps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4674	beshare	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4709	gtalk	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4714	hxxps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4747	xrcp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4882	sgn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4929	eid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
4951	submit	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5099	ar	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5109	ms-settings-airplanemode	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5134	steam	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5150	adt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5152	ms-appinstaller	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5188	bb	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5217	udp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5296	example	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5347	ms-remotedesktop	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5410	ms-sttoverlay	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5425	irc	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

5472	sieve	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5477	machineProvisioningProgressReporter	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5480	lvlvt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5492	sftp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5536	ms-excel	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5557	dlina-playcontainer	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5705	go	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5717	fido	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5728	chrome	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5823	shc	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5825	swidpath	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5883	microsoft.windows.camera.picker	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
5990	crid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6007	at	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6024	hcp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6030	content-type	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6109	jabber	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6144	dlina-playsingle	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6189	ms-spd	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6341	opaquelocktoken	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6349	soldat	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6380	z39.50s	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6388	ms-media-stream-id	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
6411	ms-mixedrealitycapture	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

6462	quic-transport	[RFC-XXXX]
6503	ham	[RFC-XXXX]
6516	nfs	[RFC-XXXX]
6609	ut2004	[RFC-XXXX]
6632	hydrazone	[RFC-XXXX]
6634	adiumxtra	[RFC-XXXX]
6651	tip	[RFC-XXXX]
6658	lpa	[RFC-XXXX]
6730	cstr	[RFC-XXXX]
6755	ms-settings-screenrotation	[RFC-XXXX]
6774	dab	[RFC-XXXX]
6792	ms-inputapp	[RFC-XXXX]
6808	moz	[RFC-XXXX]
6840	acd	[RFC-XXXX]
6863	ms-access	[RFC-XXXX]
6883	im	[RFC-XXXX]
6903	pttp	[RFC-XXXX]
6924	teamspeak	[RFC-XXXX]
6992	payto	[RFC-XXXX]
7074	secret-token	[RFC-XXXX]
7126	iax	[RFC-XXXX]
7225	isostore	[RFC-XXXX]
7226	bitcoincash	[RFC-XXXX]
7285	smb	[RFC-XXXX]

7364	appdata	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7456	dtn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7520	feed	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7667	ssh	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7743	ms-transit-to	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7809	ms-help	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7812	vscode	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7856	apt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7868	ms-settings-notifications	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7874	shttp (OBSOLETE)	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7913	ethereum	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7923	tv	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
7942	microsoft.windows.camera.multipicker	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8041	msnim	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8085	ms-remotedesktop-launch	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8093	spiffe	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8099	redis	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8159	z39.50r	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8251	brid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8300	tftp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8387	content	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8454	wais	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8506	view-source	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8519	soap.beep	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

8577	attachment	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8601	gopher	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8687	ircs	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8713	callto	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8765	bolo	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8766	notes	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8775	ipn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
8830	ms-infopath	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9075	ms-settings	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9136	ms-useractivityset	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9154	modem	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9186	bitcoin	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9198	ms-settings-privacy	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9204	cap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9278	com-eventbrite-attendee	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9312	pkcs11	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9318	ipp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9338	rediss	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9444	grd	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9453	ms-screensketch	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9487	matrix	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9520	xcon-userid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9535	sips	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9544	simpleledger	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

9585	mvn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9770	keyparc	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9805	magnet	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9816	vsls	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9859	drm	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9875	hcap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9910	wtai	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9965	num	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
9981	ms-settings-language	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10119	imap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10147	query	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10176	ves	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10196	acr	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10225	barion	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10229	acct	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10238	palm	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10241	ocf	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10247	lid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10317	h323	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10327	aim	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10333	turn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10361	ms-stickers	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10373	ms-settings-location	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10380	dvb	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

10467	xcon	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10518	ms-screenclip	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10551	pop	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10583	dat	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10591	ms-settings-nfctransactions	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10640	ms-settings-cloudstorage	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10687	afs	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10740	mqtt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10744	gizmoproject	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10831	amss	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10868	mailserver	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10926	ni	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
10995	telnet	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11055	gg	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11060	blob	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11072	ms-settings-emailandaccounts	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11130	ms-project	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11255	xri	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11315	msrp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11351	ms-settings-connectabledevices	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11393	cabal	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11428	nih	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11467	ms-whiteboard	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11533	smp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

11537	vnc	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11583	graph	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11645	dvx	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11718	lorawan	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11742	lastfm	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11799	w3	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11804	mumble	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11824	feedready	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11857	microsoft.windows.camera	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11892	wcr	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11945	ms-mobileplans	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11950	ms-settings-lock	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11962	ws	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
11999	rtspu	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12029	ms-settings-displays-topology	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12068	file	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12102	mailto	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12174	ms-launchremotedesktop	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12242	cvs	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12337	mms	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12400	ssb	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12422	iris.xpc	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12458	starknet	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12478	qb	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

12493	mss	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12502	ventrilo	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12525	ms-lockscreencomponent-config	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12566	icap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12569	mupdate	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12599	paparazzi	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12634	fish	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12644	sip	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12699	mt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12705	acap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12718	casts	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12726	reload	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12732	spotify	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12806	fuchsia-pkg	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12823	ms-gamebarservices	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12876	hyper	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
12932	dns	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13014	doi	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13026	ms-settings-power	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13068	git	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13094	openpgp4fpr	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13098	ms-secondary-screen-controller	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13228	mvrps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13285	snews	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

13340	smtp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13348	pack	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13362	teliaeid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13372	mongodb	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13404	afp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13440	msrps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13442	ldap	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13451	mvrp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13499	nntp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13608	onenote	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13650	sarif	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13680	elsi	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13829	otpauth	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13846	info	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13862	aaa	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13923	svn	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
13986	iris	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14010	lbry	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14034	ms-search	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14090	ms-browser-extension	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14153	maps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14162	swid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14168	ms-officeapp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14180	ms-settings-bluetooth	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

14310	ms-enrollment	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14347	dntp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14364	ms-walk-to	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14366	ms-getoffice	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14367	thismessage	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14460	message	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14477	prospero	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14526	aaas	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14595	market	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14627	stun	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14667	chrome-extension	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14830	itms	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14860	ms-whiteboard-cmd	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14867	wifi	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14868	icon	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14878	ftp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14901	stuns	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14906	mqtt	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14936	ms-settings-workplace	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14962	tn3270	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14972	pres	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
14982	pl	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15061	android	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15118	simplex	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

15163	ms-visio	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15202	cid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15206	unreal	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15230	tool	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15254	ms-secondary-screen-setup	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15267	rtsp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15306	xfire	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15358	xmpp	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15361	ms-settings-cellular	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15579	v-event	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15639	iris.beep	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15641	wyciwyg	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15645	ms-meetnow	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15679	ms-search-repair	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15773	ms-settings-camera	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15776	ms-virtualtouchpad	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15805	xmlrpc.beeps	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15972	ipfs	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
15994	ms-settings-wifi	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
16051	aw	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
16069	first-run-pen-experience	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
16079	oid	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
16134	iris.xpcs	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			
16138	drop	[RFC-XXXX]	
+-----+			

16194	ms-publisher	[RFC-XXXX]
16281	leaptofrogans	[RFC-XXXX]
16292	rmi	[RFC-XXXX]
16300	soap.beeps	[RFC-XXXX]
16377	tag	[RFC-XXXX]
16585	ms-word	[RFC-XXXX]
16632	onenote-cmd	[RFC-XXXX]
16645	ms-powerpoint	[RFC-XXXX]
16728	hxxp	[RFC-XXXX]
16729	secondlife	[RFC-XXXX]
16884	rsync	[RFC-XXXX]
16918	vemmi	[RFC-XXXX]
16933	ipns	[RFC-XXXX]
17039	swb	[RFC-XXXX]
17068	pwid	[RFC-XXXX]
17097	dtmi	[RFC-XXXX]
17134	dis	[RFC-XXXX]
17170	iotdisco	[RFC-XXXX]
17175	ms-restoretabcompanion	[RFC-XXXX]
17264	service	[RFC-XXXX]
17315	finger	[RFC-XXXX]
17361	web+ap	[RFC-XXXX]
17381	ms-eyecontrolspeech	[RFC-XXXX]

Table 6: Mapping Scheme Numbers to Scheme Names

The assignments from this table can be extracted from the XML form of this document (when stored in a file "this.xml") into CSV form [RFC4180] using this short Ruby program:

```
require 'rexml/document'; include REXML
XPath.each(Document.new(File.read("this.xml")), "/rfc/back//tr") {|r|
  puts XPath.each(r, "td").map{|d|d.text()}[0..1].join(",") }
```

Appendix B. The Small Print

This appendix lists a few corner cases of URI semantics that implementers of CRIs need to be aware of, but that are not representative of the normal operation of CRIs.

SP1. Initial (Lone/Leading) Empty Path Segments:

- * Lone empty path segments: As per [STD66], s://x is distinct from s://x/ -- i.e., a URI with an empty path ([] in CRI) is different from one with a lone empty path segment ([]). However, in HTTP and CoAP, they are implicitly aliased (for CoAP, in item 8 of Section 6.4 of [RFC7252]). As per item 7 of Section 6.5 of [RFC7252], recomposition of a URI without Uri-Path Options from the other URI-related CoAP Options produces s://x/, not s://x -- CoAP prefers the lone empty path segment form. Similarly, after discussing HTTP semantics, Section 6.2.3 of RFC 3986 [STD66] states:

In general, a URI that uses the generic syntax for authority with an empty path should be normalized to a path of "/".

- * Leading empty path segments without authority: Somewhat related, note also that URIs and URI references that do not carry an authority cannot represent initial empty path segments (i.e., that are followed by further path segments): s://x//foo works, but in a s://foo URI or an (absolute-path) URI reference of the form //foo the double slash would be mis-parsed as leading in to an authority.

SP2. Constraints (Section 2) of CRIs/basic CRIs

While most URIs in everyday use can be converted to CRIs and back to URIs matching the input after syntax-based normalization of the URI, these URIs illustrate the constraints by example:

- * https://host%ffname, https://example.com/x?data=%ff

All URI components must, after percent decoding, be valid UTF-8 encoded text. Bytes that are not valid UTF-8 show up, for example, in BitTorrent web seeds.

- * `https://example.com/component%3bone;component%3btwo,`
`http://example.com/component%3dequals`

While delimiters can be used in an escaped and unescaped form in URIs with generally distinct meanings, basic CRIs (i.e., without percent-encoded text Section 7.1) only support one escapable delimiter character per component, which is the delimiter by which the component is split up in the CRI.

Note that the separators `.` (for authority parts), `/` (for paths), `&` (for query parameters) are special in that they are syntactic delimiters of their respective components in CRIs. Thus, the following examples are convertible to basic CRIs:

`https://interior%2edot/`

`https://example.com/path%2fcomponent/second-component`

`https://example.com/x?ampersand=%26&questionmark=?`

- * `https://alice@example.com/`

The user information can be expressed in CRIs if the "userinfo" feature is present. The URI `https://@example.com` is represented as `[-4, [false, "", "example", "com"]]`; the false serves as a marker that the next element is the userinfo.

The rules do not cater for unencoded `:"` in userinfo, which is commonly considered a deprecated inclusion of a literal password.

Appendix C. CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN): The "cri" Extension

[I-D.ietf-cbor-edn-literals] more rigorously defines and further extends the CBOR Extended Diagnostic Notation (EDN), as originally introduced in Section 8 of RFC 8949 [STD94] and extended in Appendix G of [RFC8610]. Among others, it provides an extension point for "application-extension identifiers" that can be used to notate CBOR data items in application-specific ways.

The present document defines and registers (Section 11.3) the application-extension identifier "cri", which can be used to notate an EDN literal for a CRI reference as defined in this document.

The text of the literal is a URI Reference as per [STD66] or an IRI Reference as per [RFC3987].

The value of the literal is a CRI reference that can be converted to the text of the literal using the procedure of Section 6.1. Note that there may be more than one CRI reference that can be converted to the URI/IRI reference given; implementations are expected to favor the simplest variant available and make non-surprising choices otherwise.

As an example, the CBOR diagnostic notation

```
cri'https://example.com/bottarga/shaved'
```

is equivalent to

```
[-4, ["example", "com"], ["bottarga", "shaved"]]
```

See Appendix C.1 for an ABNF definition for the content of cri literals.

C.1. cri: ABNF Definition of URI Representation of a CRI

The syntax of the content of cri literals can be described by the ABNF for URI-reference in Section 4.1 of RFC 3986 [STD66] with certain re-arrangements taken from Figure 5 of [I-D.ietf-cbor-edn-literals]; these are reproduced in Figure 2. If the content is not ASCII only (i.e., for IRIs), first apply Section 3.1 of [RFC3987] and apply this grammar to the result.

```
app-string-cri = URI-reference  
; ABNF from RFC 3986:
```

```
URI           = scheme ":" hier-part [ "?" query ] [ "#" fragment ]
```

```
hier-part     = "//" authority path-abempty  
               / path-absolute  
               / path-rootless  
               / path-empty
```

```
URI-reference = URI / relative-ref
```

```
absolute-URI  = scheme ":" hier-part [ "?" query ]
```

```

relative-ref = relative-part [ "?" query ] [ "#" fragment ]

relative-part = "//" authority path-abempty
               / path-absolute
               / path-noscheme
               / path-empty

scheme        = ALPHA *( ALPHA / DIGIT / "+" / "-" / "." )

authority     = [ userinfo "@" ] host [ ":" port ]
userinfo      = *( unreserved / pct-encoded / sub-delims / ":" )
host          = IP-literal / IPv4address / reg-name
port          = *DIGIT

IP-literal    = "[" ( IPv6address / IPvFuture ) "]"

IPvFuture     = "v" 1*HEXDIG "." 1*( unreserved / sub-delims / ":" )

; Use IPv6address, h16, ls32, IPv4address, dec-octet as re-arranged
; for PEG Compatibility in Figure 5 of [I-D.ietf-cbor-edn-literals]:

IPv6address   =
               6( h16 ":" ) ls32
               / "::<" 5( h16 ":" ) ls32
               / [ h16 ":" 4( h16 ":" ) ls32
               / [ h16 *1( ":" h16 ) ] "::<" 3( h16 ":" ) ls32
               / [ h16 *2( ":" h16 ) ] "::<" 2( h16 ":" ) ls32
               / [ h16 *3( ":" h16 ) ] "::<" h16 ":" ls32
               / [ h16 *4( ":" h16 ) ] "::<" ls32
               / [ h16 *5( ":" h16 ) ] "::<" h16
               / [ h16 *6( ":" h16 ) ] "::<"

h16           = 1*4HEXDIG
ls32          = ( h16 ":" h16 ) / IPv4address
IPv4address   = dec-octet "." dec-octet "." dec-octet "." dec-octet
dec-octet     = "25" %x30-35 ; 250-255
               / "2" %x30-34 DIGIT ; 200-249
               / "1" 2DIGIT ; 100-199
               / %x31-39 DIGIT ; 10-99
               / DIGIT ; 0-9

ALPHA         = %x41-5a / %x61-7a
DIGIT         = %x30-39
HEXDIG        = DIGIT / "A" / "B" / "C" / "D" / "E" / "F"
; case insensitive matching, i.e., including lower case

reg-name      = *( unreserved / pct-encoded / sub-delims )

path          = path-abempty ; begins with "/" or is empty
               / path-absolute ; begins with "/" but not "/"

```

```

        / path-noscheme    ; begins with a non-colon segment
        / path-rootless    ; begins with a segment
        / path-empty       ; zero characters

path-abempty  = *( "/" segment )
path-absolute = "/" [ segment-nz *( "/" segment ) ]
path-noscheme = segment-nz-nc *( "/" segment )
path-rootless = segment-nz *( "/" segment )
path-empty    = 0<pchar>

segment       = *pchar
segment-nz    = 1*pchar
segment-nz-nc = 1*( unreserved / pct-encoded / sub-delims / "@" )
                ; non-zero-length segment without any colon ":"

pchar         = unreserved / pct-encoded / sub-delims / ":" / "@"

query         = *( pchar / "/" / "?" )

fragment      = *( pchar / "/" / "?" )

pct-encoded   = "%" HEXDIG HEXDIG

unreserved    = ALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "." / "_" / "~"
reserved      = gen-delims / sub-delims
gen-delims    = ":" / "/" / "?" / "#" / "[" / "]" / "@"
sub-delims    = "!" / "$" / "&" / "'" / "(" / ")"
                / "*" / "+" / "," / ";" / "="

```

Figure 2: ABNF Definition of URI Representation of a CRI

Appendix D. Change Log

This section is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

Changes from -14 to -15

- * Make scheme numbers unsigned and map them to negative numbers used as scheme-id values

Changes from -09 to -14

- * Editorial changes; move some examples to Appendix B, break up railroad diagram; mention commonalities with (and tiny difference from) CoAP Options; mention failure of percent-encoding for dots in host-name components

- * Explicitly mention invalid case in Section 2.1, Paragraph 5, Item 3 (rootless CRIs without authority that do not have a path component)
- * Generalize Section 7, discuss PET (percent-encoded text) extension in more detail
- * Add registry of URI scheme numbers (Appendix A, Section 11)
- * Add user information to the authority ("userinfo" feature)
- * Figure 1: Use separate rule for CRI, allow [] for query in CRI Reference; generalize scheme numbers, add userinfo; add list of additional requirements in prose (Section 5.1, Paragraph 5)
- * Discuss Error handling and extensibility (Section 5.2.1)
- * Conversion to URI: Handle : in first pathname component of a CRI-Reference (Section 6.1, Paragraph 2.6.1)
- * Add Christian Amsüss as contributor
- * Add CBOR EDN application-extension "cri" (see Appendix C and Section 11.3).
- * Add Section on CoAP integration (and new CoAP Options Proxy-Cri and Proxy-Scheme-Number).

Changes from -08 to -09

- * Identify more esoteric features with a CDDL ".feature".
- * Clarify that well-formedness requires removing trailing nulls.
- * Fragments can contain PET.
- * Percent-encoded text in PET is treated as byte strings.
- * URIs with an authority but a completely empty path (e.g., `http://example.com`): CRIs with an authority component no longer always produce at least a slash in the path component.

For generic schemes, the conversion of `scheme://example.com` to a CRI is now possible because CRI produces a URI with an authority not followed by a slash following the updated rules of Section 6.1. Schemes like `http` and `coap` do not distinguish between the empty path and the path containing a single slash when an authority is set (as recommended in [STD66]). For these

schemes, that equivalence allows implementations to convert the just-a-slash URI to a CRI with a zero length path array (which, however, when converted back, does not produce a slash after the authority).

(Add an appendix "the small print" for more detailed discussion of pesky corner cases like this.)

Changes from -07 to -08

- * Fix the encoding of NOAUTH-NOSLASH / NOAUTH-LEADINGSLASH
- * Add URN and DID schemes, add example.
- * Add PET
- * Remove hopeless attempt to encode "remote trailing nulls" rule in CDDL (which is not a transformation language).

Changes from -06 to -07

- * More explicitly discuss constraints (Section 2), add examples (Appendix B, Paragraph 6, Item 1).
- * Make CDDL more explicit about special simple values.
- * Lots of gratuitous changes from XML2RFC redefinition of <tt> semantics.

Changes from -05 to -06

- * rework authority:
 - split reg-names at dots;
 - add optional zone identifiers [RFC6874] to IP addresses

Changes from -04 to -05

- * Simplify CBOR structure.
- * Add implementation status section.

Changes from -03 to -04:

- * Minor editorial improvements.
- * Renamed path.type/path-type to discard.

- * Renamed option to section, substructured into items.
- * Simplified the table "resolution-variables".
- * Use the CBOR structure inspired by Jim Schaad's proposals.

Changes from -02 to -03:

- * Expanded the set of supported schemes (#3).
- * Specified creation, normalization and comparison (#9).
- * Clarified the default value of the path.type option (#33).
- * Removed the append-relation path.type option (#41).
- * Renumbered the remaining path.types.
- * Renumbered the option numbers.
- * Restructured the document.
- * Minor editorial improvements.

Changes from -01 to -02:

- * Changed the syntax of schemes to exclude upper case characters (#13).
- * Minor editorial improvements (#34 #37).

Changes from -00 to -01:

- * None.

Acknowledgements

CRIs were developed by Klaus Hartke for use in the Constrained RESTful Application Language (CoRAL). The current author team is completing this work with a view to achieve good integration with the potential use cases, both inside and outside of CoRAL.

Thanks to Christian Amsüss, Thomas Fossati, Ari Keränen, Jim Schaad, Dave Thaler, and Marco Tiloca for helpful comments and discussions that have shaped the document.

Contributors

Klaus Hartke
Ericsson
Torshamnsgatan 23
SE-16483 Stockholm
Sweden
Email: klaus.hartke@ericsson.com

Christian Amsüss
Hollandstr. 12/4
1020 Vienna
Austria
Email: christian@amsuess.com

Authors' Addresses

Carsten Bormann (editor)
Universität Bremen TZI
Postfach 330440
D-28359 Bremen
Germany
Phone: +49-421-218-63921
Email: cabo@tzi.org

Henk Birkholz
Fraunhofer SIT
Rheinstrasse 75
64295 Darmstadt
Germany
Email: henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de