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1. Introduction

This document defines the foundational pieces of a solution to the YANG module lifecycle problems described in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs]. Complementary documents provide other parts of the solution, with the overall relationship of the solution drafts described in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-solutions].

Specifically, this document recognizes a need (within standards organizations, vendors, and the industry) to sometimes allow YANG modules to evolve with non-backwards-compatible changes, which could cause breakage to clients and importing YANG modules. Accepting that non-backwards-compatible changes do sometimes occur, it is important to have mechanisms to report where these changes occur, and to manage their effect on clients and the broader YANG ecosystem.

The document comprises five parts:

- Refinements to the YANG 1.1 module revision update procedure, supported by new extension statements to indicate when a revision contains non-backwards-compatible changes, and an optional revision label.

- A YANG extension statement allowing YANG module imports to specify an earliest module revision that may satisfy the import dependency.

- Updates and augmentations to ietf-yang-library to include the revision label in the module and submodule descriptions, to report how "deprecated" and "obsolete" nodes are handled by a server, and to clarify how module imports are resolved when multiple revisions could otherwise be chosen.

- Considerations of how versioning applies to YANG instance data.
Guidelines for how the YANG module update rules defined in this document should be used, along with examples.

Note to RFC Editor (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Open issues are tracked at <https://github.com/netmod-wg/yang-ver-dt/issues>.

1.1. Updates to YANG RFCs

This document updates [RFC7950] section 11 and [RFC6020] section 10. Section 3 describes modifications to YANG revision handling and update rules, and Section 4 describes a YANG extension statement to do import by derived revision.

This document updates [RFC7950] section 5.2 and [RFC6020] section 5.2. Section 3.4.1 describes the use of a revision label in the name of a file containing a YANG module or submodule.

This document updates [RFC7950] section 5.6.5 and [RFC8525]. Section 5.1 defines how a client of a YANG library datastore schema resolves ambiguous imports for modules which are not "import-only".

This document updates [RFC8407] section 4.7. Section 7 provides guidelines on managing the lifecycle of YANG modules that may contain non-backwards-compatible changes and a branched revision history.

This document updates [RFC8525] with augmentations to include revision labels in the YANG library data and two boolean leafs to indicate whether status deprecated and status obsolete schema nodes are implemented by the server.

2. Terminology and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

In addition, this document uses the following terminology:

- **YANG module revision**: An instance of a YANG module, uniquely identified with a revision date, with no implied ordering or backwards compatibility between different revisions of the same module.
Backwards-compatible (BC) change: A backwards-compatible change between two YANG module revisions, as defined in Section 3.1.1

Non-backwards-compatible (NBC) change: A non-backwards-compatible change between two YANG module revisions, as defined in Section 3.1.2

3. Refinements to YANG revision handling

[RFC7950] and [RFC6020] assume, but do not explicitly state, that the revision history for a YANG module or submodule is strictly linear, i.e., it is prohibited to have two independent revisions of a YANG module or submodule that are both directly derived from the same parent revision.

This document clarifies [RFC7950] and [RFC6020] to explicitly allow non-linear development of YANG module and submodule revisions, so that they MAY have multiple revisions that directly derive from the same parent revision. As per [RFC7950] and [RFC6020], YANG module and submodule revisions continue to be uniquely identified by their revision date, and hence all revisions of a given module or submodule MUST have unique revision dates.

A corollary to the above is that the relationship between two module or submodule revisions cannot be determined by comparing the module or submodule revision date alone, and the revision history, or revision label, must also be taken into consideration.

A module’s name and revision date identifies a specific immutable definition of that module within its revision history. Hence, if a module includes submodules then to ensure that the module’s content is uniquely defined, the module’s "include" statements SHOULD use "revision-date" substataements to specify the exact revision date of each included submodule. When a module does not include its submodules by revision-date, the revision of submodules used cannot be derived from the including module. Mechanisms such as YANG packages [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages], and YANG library [RFC8525], MAY be used to specify the exact submodule revisions used when the submodule revision date is not constrained by the "include" statement.

[RFC7950] section 11 and [RFC6020] section 10 require that all updates to a YANG module are BC to the previous revision of the module. This document introduces a method to indicate that an NBC change has occurred between module revisions: this is done by using a new "non-backwards-compatible" YANG extension statement in the module revision history.
Two revisions of a module or submodule MAY have identical content except for the revision history. This could occur, for example, if a module or submodule has a branched history and identical changes are applied in multiple branches.

3.1. Updating a YANG module with a new revision

This section updates [RFC7950] section 11 and [RFC6020] section 10 to refine the rules for permissible changes when a new YANG module revision is created.

Where pragmatic, updates to YANG modules SHOULD be backwards-compatible, following the definition in Section 3.1.1.

A new module revision MAY contain NBC changes, e.g., the semantics of an existing data-node definition MAY be changed in an NBC manner without requiring a new data-node definition with a new identifier. A YANG extension, defined in Section 3.2, is used to signal the potential for incompatibility to existing module users and readers.

As per [RFC7950] and [RFC6020], all published revisions of a module are given a new unique revision date. This applies even for module revisions containing (in the module or included submodules) only changes to any whitespace, formatting, comments or line endings (e.g., DOS vs UNIX).

3.1.1. Backwards-compatible rules

A change between two module revisions is defined as being "backwards-compatible" if the change conforms to the module update rules specified in [RFC7950] section 11 and [RFC6020] section 10, updated by the following rules:

- A "status" "deprecated" statement MAY be added, or changed from "current" to "deprecated", but adding or changing "status" to "obsolete" is not a backwards-compatible change.

- YANG schema nodes with a "status" "obsolete" substatement MAY be removed from published modules, and are classified as backwards-compatible changes. In some circumstances it may be helpful to retain the obsolete definitions since their identifiers may still be referenced by other modules and to ensure that their identifiers are not reused with a different meaning.

- In statements that have any data definition statements as substatements, those data definition substatements MAY be reordered, as long as they do not change the ordering of any "input" or "output" data definition substatements of "rpc" or
"action" statements. If new data definition statements are added, they can be added anywhere in the sequence of existing substatements.

- A statement that is defined using the YANG "extension" statement MAY be added, removed, or changed, if it does not change the semantics of the module. Extension statement definitions SHOULD specify whether adding, removing, or changing statements defined by that extension are backwards-compatible or non-backwards-compatible.

- Any changes (including whitespace or formatting changes) that do not change the semantic meaning of the module are backwards compatible.

3.1.2. Non-backwards-compatible changes

Any changes to YANG modules that are not defined by Section 3.1.1 as being backwards-compatible are classified as "non-backwards-compatible" changes.

3.2. non-backwards-compatible revision extension statement

The "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement is used to indicate YANG module revisions that contain NBC changes.

If a revision of a YANG module contains changes, relative to the preceding revision in the revision history, that do not conform to the module update rules defined in Section 3.1.1, then a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement MUST be added as a substatement to the "revision" statement.

3.3. Removing revisions from the revision history

Authors may wish to remove revision statements from a module or submodule. Removal of revision information may be desirable for a number of reasons including reducing the size of a large revision history, or removing a revision that should no longer be used or imported. Removing revision statements is allowed, but can cause issues and SHOULD NOT be done without careful analysis of the potential impact to users of the module or submodule. Doing so can lead to import breakages when import by revision-or-derived is used. Moreover, truncating history may cause loss of visibility of when non-backwards-compatible changes were introduced.

An author MAY remove a contiguous sequence of entries from the end (i.e., oldest entries) of the revision history. This is acceptable
even if the first remaining (oldest) revision entry in the revision history contains a rev:non-backwards-compatible substatement.

An author MAY remove a contiguous sequence of entries in the revision history as long as the presence or absence of any existing rev:non-backwards-compatible substatements on all remaining entries still accurately reflect the compatibility relationship to their preceding entries remaining in the revision history.

The author MUST NOT remove the first (i.e., newest) revision entry in the revision history.

Example revision history:

```
revision 2020-11-11 {
  rev:revision-label 4.0.0;
  rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}
revision 2020-08-09 {
  rev:revision-label 3.0.0;
  rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}
revision 2020-06-07 {
  rev:revision-label 2.1.0;
}
revision 2020-02-10 {
  rev:revision-label 2.0.0;
  rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}
revision 2019-10-21 {
  rev:revision-label 1.1.3;
}
revision 2019-03-04 {
  rev:revision-label 1.1.2;
}
revision 2019-01-02 {
  rev:revision-label 1.1.1;
}
```

In the revision history example above, removing the revision history entry for 2020-02-10 would also remove the rev:non-backwards-
compatible annotation and hence the resulting revision history would incorrectly indicate that revision 2020-06-07 is backwards-compatible with revisions 2019-01-02 through 2019-10-21 when it is not, and so this change cannot be made. Conversely, removing one or more revisions out of 2019-03-04, 2019-10-21 and 2020-08-09 from the revision history would still retain a consistent revision history, and is acceptable, subject to an awareness of the concerns raised in the first paragraph of this section.

3.4. Revision label

Each revision entry in a module or submodule MAY have a revision label associated with it, providing an alternative alias to identify a particular revision of a module or submodule. The revision label could be used to provide an additional versioning identifier associated with the revision.

A revision label scheme is a set of rules describing how a particular type of revision-label operates for versioning YANG modules and submodules. For example, YANG Semver [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver] defines a revision label scheme based on Semver 2.0.0 [semver]. Other documents may define other YANG revision label schemes.

Submodules MAY use a revision label scheme. When they use a revision label scheme, submodules MAY use a revision label scheme that is different from the one used in the including module.

The revision label space of submodules is separate from the revision label space of the including module. A change in one submodule MUST result in a new revision label of that submodule and the including module, but the actual values of the revision labels in the module and submodule could be completely different. A change in one submodule does not result in a new revision label in another submodule. A change in a module revision label does not necessarily mean a change to the revision label in all included submodules.

If a revision has an associated revision label, then it may be used instead of the revision date in a "rev:revision-or-derived" extension statement argument.

A specific revision-label identifies a specific revision of the module. If two YANG modules contain the same module name and the same revision-label (and hence also the same revision-date) in their latest revision statement, then the file contents of the two modules, including the revision history, MUST be identical.
3.4.1. File names

This section updates [RFC7950] section 5.2 and [RFC6020] section 5.2.

If a revision has an associated revision label, then the revision-label MAY be used instead of the revision date in the filename of a YANG file, where it takes the form:

module-or-submodule-name [['@' revision-date]|['#' revision-label]]
('yang' / 'yin')

E.g., acme-router-module@2018-01-25.yang
E.g., acme-router-module#2.0.3.yang

YANG module (or submodule) files MAY be identified using either revision-date or revision-label. Typically, only one file name SHOULD exist for the same module (or submodule) revision. Two file names, one with the revision date and another with the revision label, MAY exist for the same module (or submodule) revision, e.g., when migrating from one scheme to the other.

3.4.2. Revision label scheme extension statement

The optional "rev:revision-label-scheme" extension statement is used to indicate which revision-label scheme a module or submodule uses. There MUST NOT be more than one revision label scheme in a module or submodule. The mandatory argument to this extension statement:

- specifies the revision-label scheme used by the module or submodule
- is defined in the document which specifies the revision-label scheme
- MUST be an identity derived from "revision-label-scheme-base".

The revision-label scheme used by a module or submodule SHOULD NOT change during the lifetime of the module or submodule. If the revision-label scheme used by a module or submodule is changed to a new scheme, then all revision-label statements that do not conform to the new scheme MUST be replaced or removed.
3.5. Examples for updating the YANG module revision history

The following diagram, explanation, and module history illustrates how the branched revision history, "non-backwards-compatible" extension statement, and "revision-label" extension statement could be used:

Example YANG module with branched revision history.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module revision date</th>
<th>Revision label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019-01-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 1.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-02-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-03-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 3.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-04-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-05-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-06-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 3.1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tree diagram above illustrates how an example module’s revision history might evolve, over time. For example, the tree might represent the following changes, listed in chronological order from the oldest revision to the newest revision:
Example module, revision 2019-06-01:

module example-module {

    namespace "urn:example:module";
    prefix "prefix-name";
    rev:revision-label-scheme "yangver:yang-semver";

    import ietf-yang-revisions { prefix "rev"; }
    import ietf-yang-semver { prefix "yangver"; }

    description
        "to be completed";

    revision 2019-06-01 {
        rev:revision-label 3.1.0;
        description "Add new functionality.";
    }

    revision 2019-03-01 {
        rev:revision-label 3.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description
            "Add new functionality. Remove some deprecated nodes.";
    }

    revision 2019-02-01 {
        rev:revision-label 2.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description "Apply bugfix to pattern statement";
    }

    revision 2019-01-01 {
        rev:revision-label 1.0.0;
        description "Initial revision";
    }

    //YANG module definition starts here
}
Example module, revision 2019-05-01:

module example-module {
    namespace "urn:example:module";
    prefix "prefix-name";
    rev:revision-label-scheme "yangver:yang-semver";

    import ietf-yang-revisions { prefix "rev"; }
    import ietf-yang-semver { prefix "yangver"; }

    description
        "to be completed";

    revision 2019-05-01 {
        rev:revision-label 2.2.0;
        description "Backwards-compatible bugfix to enhancement.";
    }

    revision 2019-04-01 {
        rev:revision-label 2.1.0;
        description "Apply enhancement to older release train.";
    }

    revision 2019-02-01 {
        rev:revision-label 2.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description "Apply bugfix to pattern statement";
    }

    revision 2019-01-01 {
        rev:revision-label 1.0.0;
        description "Initial revision";
    }

    //YANG module definition starts here
}

4. Import by derived revision

[RFC7950] and [RFC6020] allow YANG module "import" statements to optionally require the imported module to have a particular revision date. In practice, importing a module with an exact revision date is often too restrictive because it requires the importing module to be updated whenever any change to the imported module occurs. The alternative choice of using an import statement without any revision date statement is also not ideal because the importing module may not work with all possible revisions of the imported module.
Instead, it is desirable for an importing module to specify a "minimum required revision" of a module that it is compatible with, based on the assumption that later revisions derived from that "minimum required revision" are also likely to be compatible. Many possible changes to a YANG module do not break importing modules, even if the changes themselves are not strictly backwards-compatible. E.g., fixing an incorrect pattern statement or description for a leaf would not break an import, changing the name of a leaf could break an import but frequently would not, but removing a container would break imports if that container is augmented by another module.

The ietf-revisions module defines the "revision-or-derived" extension statement, a substatement to the YANG "import" statement, to allow for a "minimum required revision" to be specified during import:

The argument to the "revision-or-derived" extension statement is a revision date or a revision label.

A particular revision of an imported module satisfies an import’s "revision-or-derived" extension statement if the imported module’s revision history contains a revision statement with a matching revision date or revision label.

An "import" statement MUST NOT contain both a "revision-or-derived" extension statement and a "revision-date" statement.

The "revision-or-derived" extension statement MAY be specified multiple times, allowing the import to use any module revision that satisfies at least one of the "revision-or-derived" extension statements.

The "revision-or-derived" extension statement does not guarantee that all module revisions that satisfy an import statement are necessarily compatible; it only gives an indication that the revisions are more likely to be compatible. Hence, NBC changes to an imported module may also require new revisions of any importing modules, updated to accommodation those changes, along with updated import "revision-or-derived" extension statements to depend on the updated imported module revision.

Adding, modifying or removing a "revision-or-derived" extension statement is considered to be a BC change.

4.1. Module import examples

Consider the example module "example-module" from Section 3.5 that is hypothetically available in the following revision/label pairings:
2019-01-01/1.0.0, 2019-02-01/2.0.0, 2019-03-01/3.0.0,
2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0 and 2019-06-01/3.1.0. The relationship between the revisions is as before:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module revision date</th>
<th>Revision label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019-01-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 1.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-02-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-03-01 \</td>
<td>&lt;- 3.0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-04-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-05-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 2.2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-06-01</td>
<td>&lt;- 3.1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.1. Example 1

This example selects module revisions that match, or are derived from the revision 2019-02-01. E.g., this dependency might be used if there was a new container added in revision 2019-02-01 that is augmented by the importing module. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-02-01/2.0.0, 2019-03-01/3.0.0, 2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0 and 2019-06-01/3.1.0.

```yaml
import example-module {
  rev:revision-or-derived 2019-02-01;
}
```

Alternatively, the first example could have used the revision label "2.0.0" instead, which selects the same set of revisions/labels.

```yaml
import example-module {
  rev:revision-or-derived 2.0.0;
}
```

4.1.2. Example 2

This example selects module revisions that are derived from 2019-04-01 by using the revision label 2.1.0. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-04-01/2.1.0 and 2019-05-01/2.2.0. Even though 2019-06-01/3.1.0 has a higher revision label number than 2019-04-01/2.1.0 it is not a derived revision, and hence it is not a valid revision for import.

```yaml
import example-module {
  rev:revision-or-derived 2.1.0;
}
```
4.1.3. Example 3

This example selects revisions derived from either 2019-04-01 or 2019-06-01. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0, and 2019-06-01/3.1.0.

```yang
import example-module {
  rev:revision-or-derived 2019-04-01;
  rev:revision-or-derived 2019-06-01;
}
```

5. Updates to ietf-yang-library

This document updates YANG 1.1 [RFC7950] and YANG library [RFC8525] to clarify how ambiguous module imports are resolved. It also defines the YANG module, ietf-yang-library-revisions, that augments YANG library [RFC8525] with revision labels and two leafs to indicate how a server implements deprecated and obsolete schema nodes.

5.1. Resolving ambiguous module imports

A YANG datastore schema, defined in [RFC8525], can specify multiple revisions of a YANG module in the schema using the "import-only" list, with the requirement from [RFC7950] section 5.6.5 that only a single revision of a YANG module may be implemented.

If a YANG module import statement does not specify a specific revision within the datastore schema then it could be ambiguous as to which module revision the import statement should resolve to. Hence, a datastore schema constructed by a client using the information contained in YANG library may not exactly match the datastore schema actually used by the server.

The following two rules remove the ambiguity:

If a module import statement could resolve to more than one module revision defined in the datastore schema, and one of those revisions is implemented (i.e., not an "import-only" module), then the import statement MUST resolve to the revision of the module that is defined as being implemented by the datastore schema.

If a module import statement could resolve to more than one module revision defined in the datastore schema, and none of those revisions are implemented, then the import MUST resolve to the module revision with the latest revision date.
5.2. YANG library versioning augmentations

The "ietf-yang-library-revisions" YANG module has the following structure (using the notation defined in [RFC8340]):

```yang
module: ietf-yang-library-revisions
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module:
    +++ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module
    /yanglib:submodule:
      +++ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set
    /yanglib:import-only-module/yanglib:submodule:
      +++ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema:
    +++ro deprecated-nodes-implemented?   boolean
    +++ro obsolete-nodes-absent?   boolean
```

5.2.1. Advertising revision-label

The ietf-yang-library-revisions YANG module augments the "module" and "submodule" lists in ietf-yang-library with "revision-label" leafs to optionally declare the revision label associated with each module and submodule.

5.2.2. Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled

The ietf-yang-library-revisions YANG module augments YANG library with two boolean leafs to allow a server to report how it implements status "deprecated" and status "obsolete" schema nodes. The leafs are:

deprecated-nodes-implemented: If set to "true", this leaf indicates that all schema nodes with a status "deprecated" are implemented equivalently as if they had status "current"; otherwise deviations MUST be used to explicitly remove "deprecated" nodes from the schema. If this leaf is set to "false" or absent, then the behavior is unspecified.

obsolete-nodes-absent: If set to "true", this leaf indicates that the server does not implement any status "obsolete" schema nodes. If this leaf is set to "false" or absent, then the behaviour is unspecified.

Servers SHOULD set both the "deprecated-nodes-implemented" and "obsolete-nodes-absent" leafs to "true".
If a server does not set the "deprecated-nodes-implemented" leaf to "true", then clients MUST NOT rely solely on the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statements to determine whether two module revisions are backwards-compatible, and MUST also consider whether the status of any nodes has changed to "deprecated" and whether those nodes are implemented by the server.

6. Versioning of YANG instance data

Instance data sets [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-instance-file-format] do not directly make use of the updated revision handling rules described in this document, as compatibility for instance data is undefined.

However, instance data specifies the content-schema of the data-set. This schema SHOULD make use of versioning using revision dates and/or revision labels for the individual YANG modules that comprise the schema or potentially for the entire schema itself (e.g., [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages]).

In this way, the versioning of a content-schema associated with an instance data set may help a client to determine whether the instance data could also be used in conjunction with other revisions of the YANG schema, or other revisions of the modules that define the schema.

7. Guidelines for using the YANG module update rules

The following text updates section 4.7 of [RFC8407] to revise the guidelines for updating YANG modules.

7.1. Guidelines for YANG module authors

All IETF YANG modules MUST include revision-label statements for all newly published YANG modules, and all newly published revisions of existing YANG modules. The revision-label MUST take the form of a YANG semantic version number [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver].

NBC changes to YANG modules may cause problems to clients, who are consumers of YANG models, and hence YANG module authors SHOULD minimize NBC changes and keep changes BC whenever possible.

When NBC changes are introduced, consideration should be given to the impact on clients and YANG module authors SHOULD try to mitigate that impact.

A "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statement MUST be added if there are NBC changes relative to the previous revision.
Removing old revision statements from a module’s revision history could break import by revision, and hence it is RECOMMENDED to retain them. If all dependencies have been updated to not import specific revisions of a module, then the corresponding revision statements can be removed from that module. An alternative solution, if the revision section is too long, would be to remove, or curtail, the older description statements associated with the previous revisions.

The "rev:revision-or-derived" extension SHOULD be used in YANG module imports to indicate revision dependencies between modules in preference to the "revision-date" statement, which causes overly strict import dependencies and SHOULD NOT be used.

A module that includes submodules SHOULD use the "revision-date" statement to include specific submodule revisions. The revision of the including module MUST be updated when any included submodule has changed.

In some cases a module or submodule revision that is not strictly NBC by the definition in Section 3.1.2 of this specification may include the "non-backwards-compatible" statement. Here is an example when adding the statement may be desirable:

- A "config false" leaf had its value space expanded (for example, a range was increased, or additional enum values were added) and the author or server implementor feels there is a significant compatibility impact for clients and users of the module or submodule

7.1.1. Making non-backwards-compatible changes to a YANG module

There are various valid situations where a YANG module has to be modified in an NBC way. Here are the different ways in which this can be done:

- NBC changes can be sometimes be done incrementally using the "deprecated" status to provide clients time to adapt to NBC changes.

- NBC changes are done at once, i.e. without using "status" statements. Depending on the change, this may have a big impact on clients.

- If the server can support multiple revisions of the YANG module or of YANG packages (as specified in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages]), and allows the client to select the revision (as per [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection]), then NBC changes MAY be done without using "status" statements.
Clients would be required to select the revision which they support and the NBC change would have no impact on them.

Here are some guidelines on how non-backwards-compatible changes can be made incrementally, with the assumption that deprecated nodes are implemented by the server, and obsolete nodes are not:

1. The changes should be made gradually, e.g., a data node’s status SHOULD NOT be changed directly from "current" to "obsolete" (see Section 4.7 of [RFC8407]), instead the status SHOULD first be marked "deprecated". At some point in the future, when support is removed for the data node, there are two options. The first, and preferred, option is to keep the data node definition in the model and change the status to "obsolete". The second option is to simply remove the data node from the model, but this has the risk of breaking modules which import the modified module, and the removed identifier may be accidently reused in a future revision.

2. For deprecated data nodes the "description" statement SHOULD also indicate until when support for the node is guaranteed (if known). If there is a replacement data node, rpc, action or notification for the deprecated node, this SHOULD be stated in the "description". The reason for deprecating the node can also be included in the "description" if it is deemed to be of potential interest to the user.

3. For obsolete data nodes, it is RECOMMENDED to keep the above information, from when the node had status "deprecated", which is still relevant.

4. When obsoleting or deprecating data nodes, the "deprecated" or "obsolete" status SHOULD be applied at the highest possible level in the data tree. For clarity, the "status" statement SHOULD also be applied to all descendent data nodes, but the additional status related information does not need to be repeated if it does not introduce any additional information.

5. NBC changes which can break imports SHOULD be avoided because of the impact on the importing module. The importing modules could get broken, e.g., if an augmented node in the importing module has been removed from the imported module. Alternatively, the schema of the importing modules could undergo an NBC change due to the NBC change in the imported module, e.g., if a node in a grouping has been removed. As described in Appendix B.1, instead of removing a node, that node SHOULD first be deprecated and then obsoleted.
See Appendix B for examples on how NBC changes can be made.

7.2. Versioning Considerations for Clients

Guidelines for clients of modules using the new module revision update procedure:

- Clients SHOULD be liberal when processing data received from a server. For example, the server may have increased the range of an operational node causing the client to receive a value which is outside the range of the YANG model revision it was coded against.

- Clients SHOULD monitor changes to published YANG modules through their revision history, and use appropriate tooling to understand the specific changes between module revision. In particular, clients SHOULD NOT migrate to NBC revisions of a module without understanding any potential impact of the specific NBC changes.

- Clients SHOULD plan to make changes to match published status changes. When a node’s status changes from "current" to "deprecated", clients SHOULD plan to stop using that node in a timely fashion. When a node’s status changes to "obsolete", clients MUST stop using that node.

8. Module Versioning Extension YANG Modules

YANG module with extension statements for annotating NBC changes, revision label, revision label scheme, and importing by revision.

```yaml
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-revisions@2021-11-04.yang"
module ietf-yang-revisions {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-revisions";
  prefix rev;

  // RFC Ed.: We need the bis version to get the new type revision-identifier 
  // If 6991-bis is not yet an RFC we need to copy the definition here
  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
    reference
      "XXXX [ietf-netmod-rfc6991-bis]: Common YANG Data Types";
  }

  organization "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
  contact
    "WG Web: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
```
This YANG 1.1 module contains definitions and extensions to support updated YANG revision handling.

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication // and remove this note. // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX (inc above) with actual RFC number and // remove this note.

revision 2021-11-04 {
  rev:revision-label 1.0.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-05;
  description
    "Initial version.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
typedef revision-label {
type string {
  length "1..255";
  pattern '[a-zA-Z0-9,-_.]+';
  pattern '\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}' {
    modifier invert-match;
  }
}
description
  "A label associated with a YANG revision. Alphanumeric characters, comma, hyphen, underscore, period and plus are the only accepted characters. MUST NOT match revision-date."
reference
  "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling; Section 3.3, Revision label";
}

typedef revision-date-or-label {
type union {
  type yang:revision-identifier;
  type revision-label;
}
description
  "Represents either a YANG revision date or a revision label";
}

extension non-backwards-compatible {
description
  "This statement is used to indicate YANG module revisions that contain non-backwards-compatible changes. The statement MUST only be a substatement of the 'revision' statement. Zero or one 'non-backwards-compatible' statements per parent statement is allowed. No substatements for this extension have been standardized.

If a revision of a YANG module contains changes, relative to the preceding revision in the revision history, that do not conform to the backwards compatible module update rules defined in RFC-XXX, then the 'non-backwards-compatible' statement MUST be added as a substatement to the revision statement.

Conversely, if a revision does not contain a 'non-backwards-compatible' statement then all changes,
relative to the preceding revision in the revision history, MUST be backwards-compatible.

A new module revision that only contains changes that are backwards compatible SHOULD NOT include the 'non-backwards-compatible' statement. An example of when an author might add the 'non-backwards-compatible' statement is if they believe a change could negatively impact clients even though the backwards compatibility rules defined in RFC-XXXX classify it as a backwards-compatible change.

Add, removing, or changing a 'non-backwards-compatible' statement is a backwards-compatible version change."

reference "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
Section 3.2, non-backwards-compatible revision extension statement";
}

extension revision-label {
  argument revision-label;
  description
    "The revision label can be used to provide an additional versioning identifier associated with a module or submodule revision. One such scheme that could be used is [XXXX: ietf-netmod-yang-semver].

The format of the revision-label argument MUST conform to the pattern defined for the revision-label typedef in this module.

The statement MUST only be a substatement of the revision statement. Zero or one revision-label statements per parent statement are allowed. No substatements for this extension have been standardized.

Revision labels MUST be unique amongst all revisions of a module or submodule.

Adding a revision label is a backwards-compatible version change. Changing or removing an existing revision label in the revision history is a non-backwards-compatible version change, because it could impact any references to that revision label."

reference "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
Section 3.3, Revision label";
}
extension revision-label-scheme {
  argument revision-label-scheme-base;
  description
    "The revision label scheme specifies which revision-label scheme
    the module or submodule uses.

    The mandatory revision-label-scheme-base argument MUST be an
    identity derived from revision-label-scheme-base.

    This extension is only valid as a top-level statement, i.e.,
    given as as a substatement to 'module' or 'submodule'. No
    substatements for this extension have been standardized.

    This extension MUST be used if there is a revision-label
    statement in the module or submodule.

    Adding a revision label scheme is a backwards-compatible version
    change. Changing a revision label scheme is a
    non-backwards-compatible version change, unless the new revision
    label scheme is backwards-compatible with the replaced revision
    label scheme. Removing a revision label scheme is a
    non-backwards-compatible version change."

  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
    Section 3.3.1, Revision label scheme extension statement";
}

extension revision-or-derived {
  argument revision-date-or-label;
  description
    "Restricts the revision of the module that may be imported to
    one that matches or is derived from the specified
    revision-date or revision-label.

    The argument value MUST conform to the
    'revision-date-or-label' defined type.

    The statement MUST only be a substatement of the import
    statement. Zero, one or more 'revision-or-derived' statements
    per parent statement are allowed. No substatements for this
    extension have been standardized.

    If specified multiple times, then any module revision that
    satisfies at least one of the 'revision-or-derived' statements
    is an acceptable revision for import.

    An 'import' statement MUST NOT contain both a
'revision-or-derived' extension statement and a 'revision-date' statement.

A particular revision of an imported module satisfies an import’s 'revision-or-derived' extension statement if the imported module’s revision history contains a revision statement with a matching revision date or revision label.

The 'revision-or-derived' extension statement does not guarantee that all module revisions that satisfy an import statement are necessarily compatible, it only gives an indication that the revisions are more likely to be compatible.

Adding, removing or updating a 'revision-or-derived' statement to an import is a backwards-compatible change.

```yml
reference
"XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
Section 4, Import by derived revision";
}

identity revision-label-scheme-base {
  description
  "Base identity from which all revision label schemes are derived."

  reference
  "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
  Section 3.3.1, Revision label scheme extension statement";
}

YANG module with augmentations to YANG Library to revision labels

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-library-revisions@2021-11-04.yang"
module ietf-yang-library-revisions {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace
    "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-library-revisions";
  prefix yl-rev;

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
    reference
```
import ietf-yang-library {
    prefix yanglib;
    reference "RFC 8525: YANG Library";
}

organization  
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact  
    "WG Web: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author: Joe Clarke
    <mailto:jclarke@cisco.com>
    Author: Reshad Rahman
    <mailto:reshad@yahoo.com>
    Author: Robert Wilton
    <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>
    Author: Balazs Lengyel
    <mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com>
    Author: Jason Sterne
    <mailto:jason.sterne@nokia.com>";

description  
    "This module contains augmentations to YANG Library to add module
    level revision label and to provide an indication of how
deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled by the server.

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions
Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
the RFC itself for full legal notices.

'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX (including in the imports above) with
// actual RFC number and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: please replace revision-label version with 1.0.0 and
// remove this note.
revision 2021-11-04 {
   rev:revision-label 1.0.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-05;
   description
      "Initial revision";
   reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
}

// library 1.0 modules-state is not augmented with revision-label
augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module" {
   description
      "Add a revision label to module information";
   leaf revision-label {
      type rev:revision-label;
      description
         "The revision label associated with this module revision. 
The label MUST match the rev:revision-label value in the specific
revision of the module loaded in this module-set.";

      reference
         "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
            Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
   }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module/
   + "yanglib:submodule" {
   description
      "Add a revision label to submodule information";
   leaf revision-label {
      type rev:revision-label;
      description
         "The revision label associated with this submodule revision. 
The label MUST match the rev:revision-label value in the specific
revision of the submodule included by the module loaded in
this module-set.";
}
augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/" 
+ "yanglib:import-only-module" { 
  description 
  "Add a revision label to module information";
  leaf revision-label { 
    type rev:revision-label;
    description 
    "The revision label associated with this module revision. 
    The label MUST match the rev:revision-label value in the specific 
    revision of the module included in this module-set.";
    reference 
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling; 
    Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/" 
+ "yanglib:import-only-module/yanglib:submodule" { 
  description 
  "Add a revision label to submodule information";
  leaf revision-label { 
    type rev:revision-label;
    description 
    "The revision label associated with this submodule revision. 
    The label MUST match the rev:label value in the specific 
    revision of the submodule included by the 
    import-only-module loaded in this module-set.";
    reference 
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling; 
    Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema" { 
  description 
  "Augmentations to the ietf-yang-library module to indicate how 
  deprecated and obsoleted nodes are handled for each datastore 
  schema supported by the server.";
  leaf deprecated-nodes-implemented {

type boolean;
description
"If set to true, this leaf indicates that all schema nodes with
a status 'deprecated' are implemented
equivalently as if they had status 'current'; otherwise
deviations MUST be used to explicitly remove deprecated
nodes from the schema. If this leaf is absent or set to false,
then the behavior is unspecified."

reference
"XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
Section 5.2.2, Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes
are handled";
}

leaf obsolete-nodes-absent {
  type boolean;
description
  "If set to true, this leaf indicates that the server does not
  implement any status 'obsolete' schema nodes. If this leaf is
  absent or set to false, then the behaviour is unspecified.";

  reference
  "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
  Section 5.2.2, Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes
  are handled";
  }
  }
<CODE ENDS>
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10. Security Considerations

The document does not define any new protocol or data model. There are no security considerations beyond those specified in [RFC7950] and [RFC6020].

11. IANA Considerations

11.1. YANG Module Registrations

This document requests IANA to registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations are requested.

- Registrant Contact: The IESG.
- XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
The following YANG module is requested to be registered in the "IANA Module Names" [RFC6020]. Following the format in RFC 6020, the following registrations are requested:

The ietf-yang-revisions module:

Name: ietf-yang-revisions
Prefix: rev
Reference: [RFCXXXX]

The ietf-yang-library-revisions module:

Name: ietf-yang-library-revisions
Prefix: yl-rev
Reference: [RFCXXXX]

11.2. Guidance for versioning in IANA maintained YANG modules

Note for IANA (to be removed by the RFC editor): Please check that the registries and IANA YANG modules are referenced in the appropriate way.

IANA is responsible for maintaining and versioning YANG modules that are derived from other IANA registries. For example, "iana-if-type.yang" [IfTypeYang] is derived from the "Interface Types (ifType) IANA registry" [IfTypesReg], and "iana-routing-types.yang" [RoutingTypesYang] is derived from the "Address Family Numbers" [AddrFamilyReg] and "Subsequent Address Family Identifiers (SAFI) Parameters" [SAFIReg] IANA registries.

Normally, updates to the registries cause any derived YANG modules to be updated in a backwards-compatible way, but there are some cases where the registry updates can cause non-backward-compatible updates to the derived YANG module. An example of such an update is the 2020-12-31 revision of iana-routing-types.yang
[RoutingTypesDecRevision], where the enum name for two SAFI values was changed.

In all cases, IANA MUST follow the versioning guidance specified in Section 3.1, and MUST include a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" substatement to the latest revision statement whenever an IANA maintained module is updated in a non-backwards-compatible way, as described in Section 3.2.

Note: For published IANA maintained YANG modules that contain non-backwards-compatible changes between revisions, a new revision should be published with the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" substatement retrospectively added to any revisions containing non-backwards-compatible changes.

Non-normative examples of updates to enumeration types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as non-backwards-compatible changes are: Changing the status of an enumeration typedef to obsolete, changing the status of an enum entry to obsolete, removing an enum entry, changing the identifier of an enum entry, or changing the described meaning of an enum entry.

Non-normative examples of updates to enumeration types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as backwards-compatible changes are: Adding a new enum entry to the end of the enumeration, changing the status or an enum entry to deprecated, or improving the description of an enumeration that does not change its defined meaning.

Non-normative examples of updates to identity types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as non-backwards-compatible changes are: Changing the status of an identity to obsolete, removing an identity, renaming an identity, or changing the described meaning of an identity.

Non-normative examples of updates to identity types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as backwards-compatible changes are: Adding a new identity, changing the status or an identity to deprecated, or improving the description of an identity that does not change its defined meaning.
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Appendix A. Examples of changes that are NBC

Examples of NBC changes include:

- Deleting a data node, or changing it to status obsolete.
- Changing the name, type, or units of a data node.
- Modifying the description in a way that changes the semantic meaning of the data node.
- Any changes that change or reduce the allowed value set of the data node, either through changes in the type definition, or the addition or changes to "must" statements, or changes in the description.
- Adding or modifying "when" statements that reduce when the data node is available in the schema.
- Making the statement conditional on if-feature.

Appendix B. Examples of applying the NBC change guidelines

The following sections give steps that could be taken for making NBC changes to a YANG module or submodule using the incremental approach described in section Section 7.1.1.

The examples are all for "config true" nodes.

Alternatively, the NBC changes MAY be done non-incrementally and without using "status" statements if the server can support multiple revisions of the YANG module or of YANG packages. Clients would be required to select the revision which they support and the NBC change would have no impact on them.

B.1. Removing a data node

Removing a leaf or container from the data tree, e.g., because support for the corresponding feature is being removed:
1. The schema node's status is changed to "deprecated" and the node is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change.

2. When the schema node is not supported anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" updated. This is an NBC change.

B.2. Changing the type of a leaf node

Changing the type of a leaf node. e.g., a "vpn-id" node of type integer being changed to a string:

1. The status of schema node "vpn-id" is changed to "deprecated" and the node is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate that "vpn-name" is replacing this node.

2. A new schema node, e.g., "vpn-name", of type string is added to the same location as the existing node "vpn-id". This new node has status "current" and its description explains that it is replacing node "vpn-id".

3. During the period of time when both schema nodes are supported, the interactions between the two nodes is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. Here are some options:

   1. A server may prevent the new node from being set if the old node is already set (and vice-versa). A "choice" construction could be used, or the new node may have a "when" statement to achieve this. The old node must not have a "when" statement since this would be an NBC change, but the server could reject the old node from being set if the new node is already set.

   2. If the new node is set and a client does a get or get-config operation on the old node, the server could map the value. For example, if the new node "vpn-name" has value "123" then the server could return integer value 123 for the old node "vpn-id". However, if the value can not be mapped then the configuration would be incomplete. The behavior in this case is outside the scope of this document.

4. When the schema node "vpn-id" is not supported anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.
B.3. Reducing the range of a leaf node

Reducing the range of values of a leaf-node, e.g., consider a "vpn-id" schema node of type uint32 being changed from range 1..5000 to range 1..2000:

1. If all values which are being removed were never supported, e.g., if a vpn-id of 2001 or higher was never accepted, this is a BC change for the functionality (no functionality change). Even if it is an NBC change for the YANG model, there should be no impact for clients using that YANG model.

2. If one or more values being removed was previously supported, e.g., if a vpn-id of 3333 was accepted previously, this is an NBC change for the YANG model. Clients using the old YANG model will be impacted, so a change of this nature should be done carefully, e.g., by using the steps described in Appendix B.2

B.4. Changing the key of a list

Changing the key of a list has a big impact to the client. For example, consider a "sessions" list which has a key "interface" and there is a need to change the key to "dest-address". Such a change can be done in steps:

1. The status of list "sessions" is changed to "deprecated" and the list is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate the new list that is replacing this list.

2. A new list is created in the same location with the same descendant schema nodes but with "dest-address" as key. Finding an appropriate name for the new list can be difficult. In this case the new list is called "sessions-address", has status "current" and its description should explain that it is replacing list "session".

3. During the period of time when both lists are supported, the interactions between the two lists is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. Here are some options:

   1. A server could prevent entries in the new list from being created if the old list already has entries (and vice-versa).

   2. If the new list has entries created and a client does a get or get-config operation on the old list, the server could map the entries. However, if the new list has entries which
would lead to duplicate keys in the old list, the mapping cannot be done.

4. When list "sessions" is not available anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.

If the server can support NBC revisions of the YANG module simultaneously using version selection [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection], then the changes can be done immediately:

1. The new revision of the YANG module has the list "sessions" modified to have "dest-address" as key, this is an NBC change.

2. Clients which require the previous functionality select the older module revision

B.5. Renaming a node

A leaf or container schema node may be renamed, either due to a spelling error in the previous name or because of a better name. For example a node "ip-adress" could be renamed to "ip-address":

1. The status of the existing node "ip-adress" is changed to "deprecated" and is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate the node that is replacing this node.

2. The new schema node "ip-address" is added to the same location as the existing node "ip-adress". This new node has status "current" and its description should explain that it is replacing node "ip-adress".

3. During the period of time when both nodes are available, the interactions between the two nodes is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. Here are some options:

1. A server may prevent the new node from being set if the old node is already set (and vice-versa). A "choice" construction could be used, or the new node may have a "when" statement to achieve this. The old node must not have a "when" statement since this would be an NBC change, but the server could reject the old node from being set if the new node is already set.
2. If the new node is set and a client does a get or get-config operation on the old node, the server could use the value of the new node. For example, if the new node "ip-address" has value X then the server may return value X for the old node "ip-address".

4. When node "ip-address" is not available anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.
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This document defines YANG packages; a versioned organizational structure used to manage schema and conformance of YANG modules as a cohesive set instead of individually.

It describes how packages: are represented on a server, can be defined in offline YANG instance data files, and can be used to define the content schema associated with YANG instance data files.
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1. Terminology and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

This document uses terminology introduced in the YANG versioning requirements draft [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs].

This document also makes of the following terminology introduced in the Network Management Datastore Architecture [RFC8342]:

* datastore schema

This document also makes of the following terminology introduced in the YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language [RFC7950]:

* data node
* schema node

In addition, this document defines the following terminology:

* YANG package: a versioned organizational structure used to manage a set of YANG modules that collectively define a package schema. YANG packages are defined in Section 5.

* package schema: The combined set of schema nodes defined by a YANG package. Package schema can be used to define datastore schema.

* backwards-compatible (BC) change: When used in the context of a YANG module, it follows the definition in Section 3.1.1 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning]. When used in the context of a YANG package, it follows the definition in Section 5.2.1.2.
non-backwards-compatible (NBC) change: When used in the context of a YANG module, it follows the definition in Section 3.1.2 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning]. When used in the context of a YANG package, it follows the definition in Section 5.2.1.2.

editorial change: When used in the context of a YANG module, it follows the definition of an 'editorial change' in 3.2 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning]. When used in the context of a YANG package, it follows the definition in Section 5.2.1.3.

2. Introduction

This document defines and describes the YANG [RFC7950] constructs that are used to define and use YANG packages.

A YANG package is a versioned organizational structure used to manage a set of YANG modules that collectively define a package schema. For example, a YANG package could contain the set of YANG modules required to implement an L2VPN service on a network device.

Non-normative examples of YANG packages are provided in the appendices.

3. Background on YANG packages

It has long been acknowledged within the YANG community that network management using YANG requires a unit of organization and conformance that is broader in scope than individual YANG modules.

'The YANG Package Statement' [I-D.bierman-netmod-yang-package] proposed a YANG package mechanism based on new YANG language statements, where a YANG package is defined in a file similar to how YANG modules are defined, and would require enhancements to YANG compilers to understand the new statements used to define packages.

OpenConfig [openconfigsemver] describes an approach to versioning 'bundle releases' based on git tags. I.e. a set of modules, at particular versions, can be marked with the same release tag to indicate that they are known to interoperate together.

The NETMOD WG in general, and the YANG versioning design team in particular, are exploring solutions [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-solutions] to the YANG versioning requirements, [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs]. Solutions to the versioning requirements can be split into several distinct areas. [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] is focused on YANG
versioning scoped to individual modules. The overall solution must also consider YANG versioning and conformance scoped to sets of modules. YANG packages provide part of the solution for versioning sets of modules.

4. Objectives

The main goals of YANG package definitions include, but are not restricted to:

* To provide an alternative, simplified, YANG conformance mechanism. Rather than conformance being performed against a set of individual YANG module revisions, features, and deviations, conformance can be more simply stated in terms of YANG packages, with a set of modifications (e.g. additional modules, deviations, or features).

* To allow datastore schema to be specified in a concise way rather than having each server explicitly list all modules, revisions, and features. YANG package definitions can be defined in documents that are available offline, and accessible via a URL, rather than requiring explicit lists of modules to be shared between client and server. Hence, a YANG package must contain sufficient information to allow a client or server to precisely construct the schema associated with the package.

* To define a mainly linear versioned history of sets of modules versions that are known to work together. I.e. to help mitigate the problem where a client must manage devices from multiple vendors, and vendor A implements version 1.0.0 of module foo and version 2.0.0 of module bar, and vendor B implements version 2.0.0 of module foo and version 1.0.0 of module bar. For a client, trying to interoperate with multiple vendors, and many YANG modules, finding a consistent lowest common denominator set of YANG module versions may be difficult, if not impossible.

Protocol mechanisms of how clients can negotiate which packages or package versions are to be used for NETCONF/RESTCONF communications are outside the scope of this document, and are defined in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection].

Finally, the package definitions proposed by this document are intended to be relatively basic in their definition and the functionality that they support. As industry gains experience using YANG packages, the standard YANG mechanisms of updating, or augmenting YANG modules could also be used to extend the functionality supported by YANG packages, if required.
5. YANG Package Definition

This document specifies an approach to defining YANG packages that is different to either of the approaches described in the background.

A YANG package is a versioned organizational structure used to manage a set of YANG modules that collectively define a package schema.

Each YANG package has a name that SHOULD end with the suffix "-pkg". Package names are normally expected to be globally unique, but in some cases the package name may be locally scoped to a server or device, as described in Section 5.5.

YANG packages are versioned using the same approaches described in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] and [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver]. This is described in further detail in Section 5.2.

Each YANG package version, defines:

* some metadata about the package, e.g., description, tags, scoping, referential completeness, location information.

* a set of YANG modules, at particular revisions, that are implemented by servers that implement the package. The modules may contain deviations.

* a set of import-only YANG modules, at particular revisions, that are used 'import-only' by the servers that implement the package.

* a set of included YANG packages, at particular revisions, that are also implemented by servers that implement the package.

* a set of YANG module features that must be supported by servers that implement the package.

The structure for YANG package definitions uses existing YANG language statements, YANG Data Structure Extensions [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-data-ext], and YANG Instance Data File Format [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-instance-file-format].

YANG package definitions are available offline in YANG instance data files. Client applications can be designed to support particular package versions that they expect to interoperate with.

YANG package definitions are available from the server via augmentations to YANG Library [RFC8525]. Rather than client applications downloading the entire contents of YANG library to

confirm that the server’s datastore schema are compatible with the client, they can simply check the names and versions of the packages advertised in YANG library to know what schema to expect in the server datastores.

YANG package definitions can also be used to define the content schema associated with YANG instance data files holding other, e.g., non packages related, instance data.

5.1. Package definition rules

Packages are defined using the following rules:

1. A YANG package MAY represent a referentially complete set of modules or MAY represent a set of modules with some module import dependencies missing, as described in Section 5.4.

2. Packages definitions are hierarchical. A package can include other packages. Only a single version of a package can be included, and conflicting package includes (e.g. from descendant package includes) MUST be explicitly resolved by indicating which version takes precedence, and which versions are being replaced.

3. YANG packages definitions MAY include modules containing deviation statements, but those deviation statements MUST only be used in an [RFC7950] compatible way to indicate where a server, or class of servers, deviates from a published standard. Deviations MUST NOT be included in a package definition that is part of a published standard. See section Section 5.8.1 for further guidance on the use of deviations in YANG packages.

4. For each module implemented by a package, only a single revision of that module MUST be implemented. Multiple revisions of a module MAY be listed as import-only dependencies.

5. The revision of a module listed in the package ‘module’ list supersedes any ‘implemented’ revision of the module listed in an included package module list. The ‘replaces-revision’ leaf-list is used to indicate which ‘implemented’ or ‘import-only’ module revisions are replaces by this module revision. This allows a package to explicitly resolve conflicts between implemented module revisions in included packages.
6. The 'replaces-revision' leaf-list in the 'import-only-module' list can be used to exclude duplicate revisions of import-only modules from included packages. Otherwise, the import-only-modules for a package are the import-only-modules from all included packages combined with any modules listed in the packages import-only-module list.

5.2. Package versioning

Individual versions of a YANG package are versioned using the "revision-label" scheme defined in section 3.3 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning].

5.2.1. Updating a package with a new version

Package compatibility is fundamentally defined by how the package schema between two package versions has changed.

When a package definition is updated, the version associated with the package MUST be updated appropriately, taking into consideration the scope of the changes as defined by the rules below.

5.2.1.1. Non-Backwards-compatible changes

The following changes classify as non-backwards-compatible changes to a package definition:

* Changing an 'included-package' list entry to select a package version that is non-backwards-compatible to the prior package version, or removing a previously included package.

* Changing a 'module' or 'import-only-module' list entry to select a module revision that is non-backwards-compatible to the prior module revision, or removing a previously implemented module.

* Removing a feature from the 'mandatory-feature' leaf-list.

* Adding, changing, or removing a module containing one or more deviations, that when applied to the target module would create a change that is considered a non-backwards-compatible change to the affected data node in the schema associated with the prior package version.

5.2.1.2. Backwards-compatible changes

The following changes classify as backwards-compatible changes to a package definition:
* Changing an 'included-package' list entry to select a package version that is backwards-compatible to the prior package version, or including a new package that does not conflict with any existing included package or module.

* Changing a 'module' or 'import-only-module' list entry to select a module revision that is backwards-compatible to the prior module revision, or including a new module to the package definition.

* Adding a feature to the 'mandatory-feature' leaf-list.

* Adding, changing, or removing a module containing one or more deviations, that when applied to the target module would create a change that is considered a backwards-compatible change to the affected data node in the schema associated with the prior package version.

5.2.1.3. Editorial changes

The following changes classify as editorial changes to a package definition:

* Changing a 'included-package' list entry to select a package version that is classified as an editorial change relative to the prior package version.

* Changing a 'module' or 'import-only-module' list entry to select a module revision that is classified as an editorial change relative to the prior module revision.

* Any change to any metadata associated with a package definition.

5.2.2. YANG Semantic Versioning for packages

YANG Semantic Versioning [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver] MAY be used as an appropriate type of revision-label for the package version leaf.

If the format of the leaf matches the 'ysver:version' type specified in ietf-yang-semver.yang, then the package version leaf MUST be interpreted as a YANG semantic version number.

For YANG packages defined by the IETF, YANG semantic version numbers MUST be used as the version scheme for YANG packages.

The rules for incrementing the YANG package version number are equivalent to the semantic versioning rules used to version individual YANG modules, defined in section 3.2 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver], but use the rules defined previously...
in Section 5.2.1 to determine whether a change is classified as non-
backwards-compatible, backwards-compatible, or editorial. Where
available, the semantic version number of the referenced elements in
the package (included packages or modules) can be used to help
determine the scope of changes being made.

5.3. Package conformance

YANG packages allows for conformance to be checked at a package level
rather than requiring a client to download all modules, revisions,
and deviations from the server to ensure that the datastore schema
used by the server is compatible with the client.

YANG package conformance is analogous to how YANG [RFC7950] requires
that servers either implement a module faithfully, or otherwise use
deviations to indicate areas of non-conformance.

For a top level package representing a datastore schema, servers MUST
implement the package definition faithfully, including all mandatory
features.

Package definitions MAY modify the schema for directly or
hierarchically included packages through the use of different module
revisions or module deviations.

5.3.1. Use of YANG semantic versioning

Using the YANG semantic versioning scheme for package version numbers
and module revision labels can help with conformance. In the general
case, clients should be able to determine the nature of changes
between two package versions by comparing the version number.

This usually means that a client does not have to be restricted to
working only with servers that advertise exactly the same version of
a package in YANG library. Instead, reasonable clients should be
able to interoperate with any server that supports a package version
that is backwards compatible to version that the client is designed
for, assuming that the client is designed to ignore operational
values for unknown data nodes.

For example, a client coded to support 'foo' package at version 1.0.0
should interoperate with a server implementing 'foo' package at
version 1.3.5, because the YANG semantic versioning rules require
that package version 1.3.5 is backwards compatible to version 1.0.0.

This also has a relevance on servers that are capable of supporting
version selection because they need not support every version of a
YANG package to ensure good client compatibility. Choosing suitable
minor versions within each major version number should generally be sufficient, particular if they can avoid non-backwards-compatible patch level changes.

5.3.2. The relationship between packages and datastores

As defined by NMDA [RFC8342], each datastore has an associated datastore schema. Sections 5.1 and 5.3 of NMDA defines further constraints on the schema associated with datastores. These constraints can be summarized thus:

* The schema for all conventional datastores is the same.

* The schema for non conventional configuration datastores (e.g., dynamic datastores) may completely differ (i.e. no overlap at all) from the schema associated with the conventional configuration datastores, or may partially or fully overlap with the schema of the conventional configuration datastores. A dynamic datastore, for example, may support different modules than conventional datastores, or may support a subset or superset of modules, features, or data nodes supported in the conventional configuration datastores. Where a data node exists in multiple datastore schema it has the same type, properties and semantics.

* The schema for the operational datastore is intended to be a superset of all the configuration datastores (i.e. includes all the schema nodes from the conventional configuration datastores), but data nodes can be omitted if they cannot be accurately reported. The operational datastore schema can include additional modules containing only config false data nodes, but there is no harm in including those modules in the configuration datastore schema as well.

Given that YANG packages represent a schema, it follows that each datastore schema can be represented using packages. In addition, the schema for most datastores on a server are often closely related. Given that there are many ways that a datastore schema could be represented using packages, the following guidance provides a consistent approach to help clients understand the relationship between the different datastore schema supported by a device (e.g., which parts of the schema are common and which parts have differences):

* Any datastores (e.g., conventional configuration datastores) that have exactly the same datastore schema MUST use the same package definitions. This is to avoid, for example, the creation of a ‘running-cfg’ package and a separate ‘intended-cfg’ package that have identical schema.
* Common package definitions SHOULD be used for those parts of the datastore schema that are common between datastores, when those datastores do not share exactly the same datastore schema. E.g., if a substantial part of the schema is common between the conventional, dynamic, and operational datastores then a single common package can be used to describe the common parts, along with other packages to describe the unique parts of each datastore schema.

* YANG modules that do not contain any configuration data nodes SHOULD be included in the package for configuration datastores if that helps unify the package definitions.

* The packages for the operational datastore schema MUST include all packages for all configuration datastores, along with any required modules defining deviations to mark unsupported data nodes. The deviations MAY be defined directly in the packages defining the operational datastore schema, or in separate non referentially complete packages.

* The schema for a datastore MAY be represented using a single package or as the union of a set of compatible packages, i.e., equivalently to a set of non-conflicting packages being included together in an overarching package definition.

5.4. Schema referential completeness

A YANG package may represent a schema that is ‘referentially complete’, or ‘referentially incomplete’, indicated in the package definition by the ‘complete’ flag.

If all import statements in all YANG modules included in the package (either directly, or through included packages) can be resolved to a module revision defined with the YANG package definition, then the package is classified as referentially complete. Conversely, if one or more import statements cannot be resolved to a module specified as part of the package definition, then the package is classified as referentially incomplete.

A package that represents the exact contents of a datastore schema MUST always be referentially complete.
Referentially incomplete packages can be used, along with locally scoped packages, to represent an update to a device’s datastore schema as part of an optional software hot fix. E.g., the base software is made available as a complete globally scoped package. The hot fix is made available as an incomplete globally scoped package. A device’s datastore schema can define a local package that implements the base software package updated with the hot fix package.

Referentially incomplete packages could also be used to group sets of logically related modules together, but without requiring a fixed dependency on all imported ‘types’ modules (e.g., iana-if-types.yang), instead leaving the choice of specific revisions of ‘types’ modules to be resolved when the package definition is used.

5.5. Package name scoping and uniqueness

YANG package names can be globally unique, or locally scoped to a particular server or device.

5.5.1. Globally scoped packages

The name given to a package MUST be globally unique, and it MUST include an appropriate organization prefix in the name, equivalent to YANG module naming conventions.

Ideally a YANG instance data file defining a particular package version would be publicly available at one or more URLs.

5.5.2. Server scoped packages

Package definitions may be scoped to a particular server by setting the ‘is-local’ leaf to true in the package definition.

Locally scoped packages MAY have a package name that is not globally unique.

Locally scoped packages MAY have a definition that is not available offline from the server in a YANG instance data file.

5.6. Submodules packages considerations

As defined in [RFC7950] and [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver], YANG conformance and versioning is specified in terms of particular revisions of YANG modules rather than for individual submodules.
However, YANG package definitions also include the list of submodules included by a module, primarily to provide a location of where the submodule definition can be obtained from, allowing a schema to be fully constructed from a YANG package instance data file definition.

5.7. Package tags

[I-D.ietf-netmod-module-tags] defines YANG module tags as a mechanism to annotate a module definition with additional metadata. Tags MAY also be associated to a package definition via the ‘tags’ leaf-list. The tags use the same registry and definitions used by YANG module tags.

5.8. YANG Package Usage Guidance

It is RECOMMENDED that organizations that publish YANG modules also publish YANG package definition that group and version those modules into units of related functionality. This increases interoperability, by encouraging implementations to use the same collections of YANG modules versions. Using packages also makes it easier to understand relationship between modules, and enables functionality to be described on a more abstract level than individual modules.

5.8.1. Use of deviations in YANG packages

[RFC7950] section 5.6.3 defines deviations as the mechanism to allow servers to indicate where they do not conform to a published YANG module that is being implemented.

In cases where implementations contain deviations from published packages, then those implementations SHOULD define a package that includes both the published packages and all modules containing deviations. This implementation specific package accurately reflects the schema used by the device and allows clients to determine how the implementation differs from the published package schema in an offline consumable way, e.g., when published in an instance data file (see section 6).

Organizations may wish to reuse YANG modules and YANG packages published by other organizations for new functionality. Sometimes, they may desire to modify the published YANG modules. However, they MUST NOT use deviations in an attempt to achieve this because such deviations cause two problems:

They prevent implementations from reporting their own deviations for the same nodes.
They fracture the ecosystem by preventing implementations from conforming to the standards specified by both organizations. This hurts the interoperability in the YANG community, promotes development of disconnected functional silos, and hurts creativity in the market.

5.8.2. Use of features in YANG modules and YANG packages

The YANG language supports feature statements as the mechanism to make parts of a schema optional. Published standard YANG modules SHOULD make use of appropriate feature statements to provide flexibility in how YANG modules may be used by implementations and used by YANG modules published by other organizations.

YANG packages support ‘mandatory features’ which allow a package to specify features that MUST be implemented by any conformant implementation of the package as a mechanism to simplify and manage the schema represented by a YANG package.

5.9. YANG package core definition

The ietf-yang-package-types.yang module defines a grouping to specify the core elements of the YANG package structure that is used within YANG package instance data files (ietf-yang-package-instance.yang) and also on the server (ietf-yang-packages.yang).

The "ietf-yang-package-types" YANG module has the following structure:
module: ietf-yang-package-types

grouping yang-pkg-identification-leafs
  +-- name       pkg-name
  +-- version    pkg-version

grouping yang-pkg-instance
  +-- name      pkg-name
  +-- version   pkg-version
  +-- timestamp? yang:date-and-time
  +-- organization? string
  +-- contact?   string
  +-- description? string
  +-- reference? string
  +-- complete?  boolean
  +-- local?     boolean
  +-- tag*       tags:tag
  +-- mandatory-feature* scoped-feature
  +-- included-package* [name version]
    |  +-- name      pkg-name
    |  +-- version   pkg-version
    |  +-- replaces-version* pkg-version
    |  +-- location* inet:uri
    +-- module* [name]
      |  +-- name      yang:yang-identifier
      |  +-- revision? rev:revision-date-or-label
      |  +-- replaces-revision* rev:revision-date-or-label
      |  +-- namespace? inet:uri
      |  +-- location* inet:uri
      +-- submodule* [name]
        |  +-- name?      yang:yang-identifier
        |  +-- revision    yang:revision-identifier
        |  +-- location*   inet:uri
      +-- import-only-module* [name revision]
        |  +-- name?      yang:yang-identifier
        |  +-- revision?  rev:revision-date-or-label
        |  +-- replaces-revision* rev:revision-date-or-label
        |  +-- namespace? inet:uri
        |  +-- location*  inet:uri
        +-- submodule* [name]
          |  +-- name?      yang:yang-identifier
          |  +-- revision    yang:revision-identifier
          |  +-- location*  inet:uri
6. Package Instance Data Files

YANG packages SHOULD be available offline from the server, defined as YANG instance data files [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-instance-file-format] using the schema below to define the package data.

The following rules apply to the format of the YANG package instance files:

1. The file SHOULD be encoded in JSON.

2. The name of the file SHOULD follow the format "<package-name>@<version>.json".

3. The package name MUST be specified in both the instance-data-set 'name' and package 'name' leafs.

4. The 'description' field of the instance-data-set SHOULD be "YANG package definition".

5. The 'timestamp', 'organization', 'contact' fields are defined in both the instance-data-set metadata and the YANG package metadata. Package definitions SHOULD only define these fields as part of the package definition. If any of these fields are populated in the instance-data-set metadata then they MUST contain the same value as the corresponding leaves in the package definition.

6. The 'revision' list in the instance data file SHOULD NOT be used, since versioning is handled by the package definition.

7. The instance data file for each version of a YANG package SHOULD be made available at one of more locations accessible via URLs. If one of the listed locations defines a definitive reference implementation for the package definition then it MUST be listed as the first entry in the list.

The "ietf-yang-package" YANG module has the following structure:
module: ietf-yang-package

structure package:
  // Uses the yang-package-instance grouping defined in
  // ietf-yang-package-types.yang
  +-- name                  pkg-name
  +-- version               pkg-version
  ... remainder of yang-package-instance grouping ...

7. Package Definitions on a Server

7.1. Package List

A top level `packages` container holds the list of all versions of all packages known to the server. Each list entry uses the common package definition, but with the addition of package location that cannot be contained within an offline package definition contained in an instance data file.

The `/packages/package` list MAY include multiple versions of a particular package. E.g. if the server is capable of allowing clients to select which package versions should be used by the server.

7.2. Tree diagram

The "ietf-yang-packages" YANG module has the following structure:

module: ietf-yang-packages
  +--ro packages
    +--ro package* [name version]
      // Uses the yang-package-instance grouping defined in
      // ietf-yang-package-types.yang, with location:
      +--ro name                  pkg-name
      +--ro version               pkg-version
      ... remainder of yang-package-instance grouping ...
      +--ro location*             inet:uri
8. YANG Library Package Bindings

The YANG packages module also augments YANG library to allow a server to optionally indicate that a datastore schema is defined by a package, or a union of compatible packages. Since packages can generally be made available offline in instance data files, it may be sufficient for a client to only check that a compatible version of the package is implemented by the server without fetching either the package definition, or downloading and comparing the full list of modules and enabled features.

If a server indicates that a datastore schema maps to a particular package, then it MUST exactly match the schema defined by that package, taking into account enabled features and any deviations.

If a server cannot faithfully implement a package then it can define a new package to accurately report what it does implement. The new package can include the original package as an included package, and the new package can define additional modules containing deviations to the modules in the original package, allowing the new package to accurately describe the server’s behavior. There is no specific mechanism provided to indicate that a mandatory-feature in package definition is not supported on a server, but deviations MAY be used to disable functionality predicated by an if-feature statement.

The "ietf-yl-packages" YANG module has the following structure:

```yang
module: ietf-yl-packages
   augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema:
      ---ro package* [name version]
      ---ro name        -> /pkgs:packages/package/name
      ---ro version     leafref
```

9. YANG packages as schema for YANG instance data document

YANG package definitions can be used as the content schema definition for YANG instance data files. When using a package-based content schema, the name and version of the package MUST be specified, a package URL to the package definition MAY also be provided.

The "ietf-yang-inst-data-pkg" YANG module has the following structure:
module: ietf-yang-inst-data-pkg

    augment-structure /yid:instance-data-set/yid:content-schema/yid:content-schema-
        spec:
            +--:(pkg-schema)
            +-- pkg-schema
                +-- name        pkg-name
                +-- version     pkg-version
                +-- location*   inet:uri

10. YANG Modules

    The YANG module definitions for the modules described in the previous
        sections.

<CODE BEGINS>
    file "ietf-yang-package-types#0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.yang"
    module ietf-yang-package-types {
        yang-version 1.1;
        prefix pkg-types;

        import ietf-yang-revisions {
            prefix rev;
            reference
                "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
        }
        import ietf-yang-types {
            prefix yang;
            rev:revision-or-derived "2019-07-21";
            reference
                "RFC 6991bis: Common YANG Data Types.";
        }
        import ietf-inet-types {
            prefix inet;
            rev:revision-or-derived "2013-07-15";
            reference
                "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types.";
        }
        import ietf-module-tags {
            prefix tags;
            reference
                "RFC 8819: YANG Module Tags.";
        }

        organization
            "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
        contact
            "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>";

This module provides type and grouping definitions for YANG packages.

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication // and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this // note.
revision 2022-03-04 {
  rev:revision-label 0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03;
  description
    "Initial revision";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: YANG Packages";
}

/*
 * Typedefs
 */

typedef pkg-name {
  type yang:yang-identifier;
  description
    "Package names are typed as YANG identifiers.";
}
typedef pkg-version {
  type rev:revision-date-or-label;
  description
    "Package versions SHOULD be a revision-label (e.g. perhaps a
    YANG Semver version string). Package versions MAY also be a
    revision-date";
}

typedef pkg-identifier {
  type rev:name-revision;
  description
    "Package identifiers combine a pkg-name and a pkg-version";
}

typedef scoped-feature {
  type string {
    pattern '[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9\-_]*:[a-zA-Z_][a-zA-Z0-9\-_]*'";
  }
  description
    "Represents a feature name scoped to a particular module,
    identified as the '<module-name>:<feature-name>', where both
    <module-name> and <feature-name> are YANG identifier strings,
    as defined by Section 12 or RFC 6020.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX, YANG Packages.";
}

/*
 * Groupings
 */

grouping yang-pkg-identification-leafs {
  description
    "Parameters for identifying a specific version of a YANG
    package";
  leaf name {
    type pkg-name;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "The YANG package name.";
  }
  leaf version {
    type pkg-version;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "Uniquely identifies a particular version of a YANG package.
      "}
Follows the definition for revision labels defined in
draft-verdt-nemod-yang-module-versioning, section XXX";

)
)


grouping yang-pkg-instance {
  description
    "Specifies the data node for a full YANG package instance
    represented either on a server or as a YANG instance data
    document.";
  uses yang-pkg-identification-leafs;
  leaf timestamp {
    type yang:date-and-time;
    description
      "An optional timestamp for when this package was created.
      This does not need to be unique across all versions of a
      package.";
  }
  leaf organization {
    type string;
    description
      "Organization responsible for this package";
  }
  leaf contact {
    type string;
    description
      "Contact information for the person or organization to whom
      queries concerning this package should be sent.";
  }
  leaf description {
    type string;
    description
      "Provides a description of the package";
  }
  leaf reference {
    type string;
    description
      "Allows for a reference for the package";
  }
  leaf complete {
    type boolean;
    default "true";
    description
      "Indicates whether the schema defined by this package is
      referentially complete.  I.e. all module imports can be
      resolved to a module explicitly defined in this package or
      one of the included packages.";
  }
}
leaf local {
  type boolean;
  default "false";
  description
  "Defines that the package definition is local to the server, and
  the name of the package MAY not be unique, and the
  package definition MAY not be available in an offline file.
  
  Local packages can be used when the schema for the device
  can be changed at runtime through the addition or removal of
  software packages, or hot fixes.";
}

leaf-list tag {
  type tags:tag;
  description
  "Tags associated with a YANG package. Module tags defined in
  XXX, ietf-netmod-module-tags can be used here but with the
  modification that the tag applies to the entire package
  rather than a specific module. See the IANA 'YANG Module
  Tag Prefix' registry for reserved prefixes and the IANA
  'YANG Module IETF Tag' registry for IETF standard tags.";
}

leaf-list mandatory-feature {
  type scoped-feature;
  description
  "Lists features from any modules included in the package that
  MUST be supported by any server implementing the package.

  Features already specified in a 'mandatory-feature' list of
  any included package MUST also be supported by server
  implementations and do not need to be repeated in this list.

  All other features defined in modules included in the
  package are OPTIONAL to implement.

  Features are identified using <module-name>:<feature-name>";
}

list included-package {
  key "name version";
  description
  "An entry in this list represents a package that is included
  as part of the package definition, or an indirectly included
  package that is changed in a non backwards compatible way.

  It can be used to resolve inclusion of conflicting package
  versions by explicitly specifying which package version is
  used.";
If included packages implement different revisions of the same module, then an explicit entry in the module list MUST be provided to select the specific module revision 'implemented' by this package definition.

For import-only modules, the 'replaces-revision' leaf-list can be used to select the specific module revisions used by this package.

uses yang-pkg-identification-leafs;
leaf-list replaces-version {
  type pkg-version;
  description
    "Gives the version of an included package version that
    is replaced by this included package version.";
}
leaf-list location {
  type inet:uri;
  description
    "Contains a URL that represents where an instance data file
    for this YANG package can be found.
    This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available
    for retrieval of the schema for this entry.
    If multiple locations are provided, then the first
    location in the leaf-list MUST be the definitive location
    that uniquely identifies this package";
}

list module {
  key "name";
  description
    "An entry in this list represents a module that must be
    implemented by a server implementing this package, as per
    RFC 7950 section 5.6.5, with a particular set of supported
    features and deviations.
    A entry in this list overrides any module revision
    'implemented' by an included package. Any replaced module
    revision SHOULD also be listed in the 'replaces-revision'
    list.";
  reference
    "RFC 7950: The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language.";
  leaf name {
    type yang:yang-identifier;
    mandatory true;
description
  "The YANG module name.";
}
leaf revision {
  type rev:revision-date-or-label;
  description
  "The YANG module revision date or revision-label.

  If no revision statement is present in the YANG module,
  this leaf is not instantiated.";
}
leaf-list replaces-revision {
  type rev:revision-date-or-label;
  description
  "Gives the revision of an module (implemented or
  import-only) defined in an included package that is
  replaced by this implemented module revision.";
}
leaf namespace {
  type inet:uri;
  description
  "The XML namespace identifier for this module.";
}
leaf-list location {
  type inet:uri;
  description
  "Contains a URL that represents the YANG schema resource
  for this module.

  This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available
  for retrieval of the schema for this entry.";
}
list submodule {
  key "name";
  description
  "Each entry represents one submodule within the
  parent module.";
  leaf name {
    type yang:yang-identifier;
    description
    "The YANG submodule name.";
  }
  leaf revision {
    type rev:revision-date-or-label;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The YANG submodule revision date or revision-label.";
If the parent module include statement for this submodule includes a revision date then it MUST match the revision date specified here or it MUST match the revision-date associated with the revision-label specified here.

leaf-list location {
    type inet:uri;
    description
        "Contains a URL that represents the YANG schema resource for this submodule.

        This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available for retrieval of the schema for this entry."
}

list import-only-module {
    key "name revision";
    description
        "An entry in this list indicates that the server imports reusable definitions from the specified revision of the module, but does not implement any protocol accessible objects from this revision.

        Multiple entries for the same module name MAY exist. This can occur if multiple modules import the same module, but specify different revision-dates in the import statements."

    leaf name {
        type yang:yang-identifier;
        description
            "The YANG module name."
    }

    leaf revision {
        type rev:revision-date-or-label;
        description
            "The YANG module revision date or revision-label.

            If no revision statement is present in the YANG module, this leaf is not instantiated."
    }

    leaf-list replaces-revision {
        type rev:revision-date-or-label;
        description
            "Gives the revision of an import-only-module defined in an included package that is replaced by this import-only-module revision."
    }

    leaf namespace {

type inet:uri;
description
  "The XML namespace identifier for this module."
}
leaf-list location {
type inet:uri;
description
  "Contains a URL that represents the YANG schema resource for this module.

  This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available for retrieval of the schema for this entry."
}
list submodule {
  key "name";
description
  "Each entry represents one submodule within the parent module."
  leaf name {
    type yang:yang-identifier;
description
    "The YANG submodule name."
  }
  leaf revision {
    type yang:revision-identifier;
    mandatory true;
description
    "The YANG submodule revision date. If the parent module include statement for this submodule includes a revision date then it MUST match this leaf’s value."
  }
  leaf-list location {
    type inet:uri;
description
    "Contains a URL that represents the YANG schema resource for this submodule.

    This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available for retrieval of the schema for this entry."
  }
}
<CODE BEGINS>

file "ietf-yang-package-instance#0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.yang"
module ietf-yang-package-instance {
    yang-version 1.1;
    prefix pkg-inst;

    import ietf-yang-revisions {
        prefix rev;
        reference "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
    }

    import ietf-yang-package-types {
        prefix pkg-types;
        rev:revision-or-derived "0.2.0";
        reference "RFC XXX: this RFC.";
    }

    import ietf-yang-structure-ext {
        prefix sx;
        reference "RFC 8791: YANG Data Structure Extensions.";
    }
}

organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    
    Author: Rob Wilton
    <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>"

description
    "This module provides a definition of a YANG package, which is used as the content schema for an YANG instance data document specifying a YANG package.

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see

the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

revision 2022-03-04 {
  rev:revision-label 0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03;
  description
    "Initial revision";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: YANG Packages";
}

/*
 * Top-level structure
 */
sx:structure "package" {
  description
    "Defines the YANG package structure for use in a YANG instance
data document.";
  uses pkg-types:yang-pkg-instance;
}

<CODE ENDS>

<CODE BEGINS>
  file "ietf-yang-packages#0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.yang"
module ietf-yang-packages {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix pkgs;

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
  }

  import ietf-yang-package-types {
    prefix pkg-types;
    rev:revision-or-derived "0.2.0";
  }

import ietf-inet-types {
  prefix inet;
  rev:revision-or-derived "2013-07-15";
  reference
    "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types."
}

organization
  "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>"
  "WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>"
  "Author: Rob Wilton"
  "<mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>"

description
  "This module defines YANG packages on a server implementation."

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here."

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

revision 2022-03-04 {
  rev:revision-label 0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03;
  description
grouping yang-pkg-ref {
  description
    "Defines the leaves used to reference a single YANG package";
  leaf name {
    type leafref {
      path "/pkgs:packages/pkgs:package/pkgs:name";
    }
    description
      "The name of the references package.";
  }
  leaf version {
    type leafref {
      path "/pkgs:packages"
      + "/pkgs:package[pkgs:name = current()/./.name]"
      + "/pkgs:version";
    }
    description
      "The version of the referenced package.";
  }
}


grouping yang-ds-pkg-ref {
  description
    "Defines the list used to reference a set of YANG packages that
     collectively represent a datastore schema.";
  list package {
    key "name version";
    description
      "Identifies the YANG packages that collectively defines the
       schema for the associated datastore.

       The datastore schema is defined as the union of all
       referenced packages, that MUST represent a referentially
       complete schema.

       All of the referenced packages must be compatible with no
       conflicting module versions or dependencies.";
    uses yang-pkg-ref;
  }
}
container packages {
  config false;
  description "All YANG package definitions";
  list package {
    key "name version";
    description "YANG package instance";
    uses pkg-types:yang-pkg-instance;
    leaf-list location {
      type inet:uri;
      description "Contains a URL that represents where an instance data file for this YANG package can be found.

      This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available for retrieval of the schema for this entry.

      If multiple locations are provided, then the first location in the leaf-list MUST be the definitive location that uniquely identifies this package";
    }
  }
}

<CODE BEGINS>
file "ietf-yl-package#0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.yang"
module ietf-yl-packages {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yl-packages";
  prefix yl-pkgs;

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
    reference "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
  }

  import ietf-yang-packages {
    prefix pkgs;
    rev:revision-or-derived "0.2.0";
  }
}<CODE ENDS>
reference
  "RFC XXX: YANG Packages.";
}
import ietf-yang-library {
  prefix yanglib;
  rev:revision-or-derived "2019-01-04";
  reference
    "RFC 8525: YANG Library";
}
organization
  "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
  WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
  Author:  Rob Wilton
           <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>";
description
  "This module provides defined augmentations to YANG library to
  allow a server to report YANG package information.

  Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
  authors of the code. All rights reserved.

  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
  without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
  to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License
  set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents

  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
  the RFC itself for full legal notices.

  The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL
  NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',
  'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
  described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
  they appear in all capitals, as shown here."

  // RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
  // and remove this note.
  // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
  // note.

  revision 2022-03-04 {
augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema" {
  description
    "Allow datastore schema to be related to a set of YANG packages";
  uses pkgs:yang-ds-pkg-ref;
}
"CODE ENDS"
"CODE BEGINS"

file "ietf-yang-inst-data-pkg#0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.yang"
module ietf-yang-inst-data-pkg {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix yid-pkg;

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
  }
  import ietf-yang-package-types {
    prefix pkg-types;
    rev:revision-or-derived "0.2.0";
    reference
      "RFC XXX: this RFC.";
  }
  import ietf-yang-structure-ext {
    prefix sx;
    reference
      "RFC 8791: YANG Data Structure Extensions.";
  }
  import ietf-yang-instance-data {
    prefix yid;
    reference
      "RFC 9195: A File Format for YANG Instance Data.";
  }
}

import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
    reference
        "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types.";
}

organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author: Rob Wilton
    <mailto:rwlinton@cisco.com>";

description
    "The module augments ietf-yang-instance-data to allow package
     definitions to be used to define content schema in YANG instance data
     documents.

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
authors of the code.  All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
to the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License
set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions
Relating to IETF Documents

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL
NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',
'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

revision 2022-03-04 {
    rev:revision-label 0.3.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03;
    description
        "Initial revision";
    reference
"RFC XXXX: YANG Packages";
}

/* Augmentations */
  description "Add package reference to instance data set schema specification";
  case pkg-schema {
    container pkg-schema {
      uses pkg-types:yang-pkg-identification-leafs;
      leaf-list location {
        type inet:uri;
        description "Contains a URL that represents where an instance data file for this YANG package can be found."
        This leaf will only be present if there is a URL available for retrieval of the schema for this entry.
        If multiple locations are provided, then the first location in the leaf-list MUST be the definitive location that uniquely identifies this package";
      }
    }
  }
}<CODE ENDS>

11. Security Considerations

The YANG modules specified in this document defines a schema for data that is accessed by network management protocols such as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC5246].

The NETCONF access control model [RFC6536] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.
Similarly to YANG library [I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis], some of the readable data nodes in these YANG modules may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes.

One additional key different to YANG library, is that the ‘ietf-yang-package’ YANG module defines a schema to allow YANG packages to be defined in YANG instance data files, that are outside the security controls of the network management protocols. Hence, it is important to also consider controlling access to these package instance data files to restrict access to sensitive information.

As per the YANG library security considerations, the module, revision information in YANG packages may help an attacker identify the server capabilities and server implementations with known bugs since the set of YANG modules supported by a server may reveal the kind of device and the manufacturer of the device. Server vulnerabilities may be specific to particular modules, module revisions, module features, or even module deviations. For example, if a particular operation on a particular data node is known to cause a server to crash or significantly degrade device performance, then the YANG packages information will help an attacker identify server implementations with such a defect, in order to launch a denial-of-service attack on the device.

12. IANA Considerations

It is expected that a central registry of standard YANG package definitions is required to support this solution.

It is unclear whether an IANA registry is also required to manage specific package versions. It is highly desirable to have a specific canonical location, under IETF control, where the definitive YANG package versions can be obtained from.

This document requests IANA to registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations are requested.

Registrant Contact: The IESG.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

Registrant Contact: The IESG.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
This document requests that the following YANG modules are added in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020]:

Name: ietf-yang-package-types.yang
Prefix: pkg-types
Reference: RFC XXXX

Name: ietf-yang-package-instance.yang
Prefix: pkg-inst
Reference: RFC XXXX

Name: ietf-yang-packages.yang
Prefix: pkgs
Reference: RFC XXXX

Name: ietf-yl-packages.yang
Prefix: yl-pkgs
Reference: RFC XXXX

Name: ietf-yang-inst-data-pkg.yang
Prefix: yid-pkg
Reference: RFC XXXX

13. Open Questions/Issues

All issues, along with the draft text, are currently being tracked at https://github.com/rgwilton/YANG-Packages-Draft/issues/
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Appendix A. Examples

This section provides various examples of YANG packages, and as such this text is non-normative. The purpose of the examples is to only illustrate the file format of YANG packages, and how package dependencies work. It does not imply that such packages will be defined by IETF, or which modules would be included in those packages even if they were defined. For brevity, the examples exclude namespace declarations, and use a shortened URL of "tiny.cc/ietf-yang" as a replacement for "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/YangModels/yang/master/standard/ietf/RFC".

A.1. Example IETF Network Device YANG package

This section provides an instance data file example of an IETF Network Device YANG package formatted in JSON.

This example package is intended to represent the standard set of YANG modules, with import dependencies, to implement a basic network device without any dynamic routing or layer 2 services. E.g., it includes functionality such as system information, interface and basic IP configuration.

As for all YANG packages, all import dependencies are fully resolved. Because this example uses YANG modules that have been standardized before YANG semantic versioning, the modules are referenced by revision date rather than revision number.
<CODE BEGINS> file "example-ietf-network-device-pkg.json"

========= NOTE: '\' line wrapping per BCP XX (RFC XXXX) ===========

{
    "ietf-yang-instance-data:instance-data-set": {
        "name": "example-ietf-network-device-pkg",
        "content-schema": {
            "pkg-schema": {
                "name": "ietf-yang-package-defn-pkg",
                "version": "0.1.0"
            }
        }
    },
    "description": "YANG package definition",
    "content-data": {
        "ietf-yang-package-instance:yang-package": {
            "name": "example-ietf-network-device-pkg",
            "version": "1.1.2",
            "timestamp": "2018-12-13T17:00:00Z",
            "organization": "IETF NETMOD Working Group",
            "contact": "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>, \n                      WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>",
            "description": "Example IETF network device YANG package.\n\nThis package defines a small sample set of YANG modules that could represent the basic set of modules that a standard network device might be expected to support.",
            "reference": "XXX, draft-rwilton-netmod-yang-packages",
            "location": [ "file://example.org/yang/packages/\n                           ietf-network-device@v1.1.2.json" ],
            "module": [
                {
                    "name": "iana-crypt-hash",
                    "revision": "2014-08-06",
                    "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/\n                                   iana-crypt-hash%402014-08-06.yang" ]
                },
                {
                    "name": "ietf-system",
                    "revision": "2014-08-06",
                    "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/\n                                   ietf-system%402014-08-06.yang" ]
                },
                {
                    "name": "ietf-interfaces",
                    "revision": "2018-02-20",
                    "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/\n                                   ietf-interfaces%402018-02-20.yang" ]
                }
            ]
        }
    }

The above code snippet is a JSON representation of a YANG package definition for the example-ietf-network-device-pkg package. It includes the package name, version, timestamp, organization, contact information, and a list of YANG modules included in the package. The modules included are iana-crypt-hash, ietf-system, and ietf-interfaces, each with their respective revision and location URLs.
A.2. Example IETF Basic Routing YANG package

This section provides an instance data file example of a basic IETF Routing YANG package formatted in JSON.
This example package is intended to represent the standard set of YANG modules, with import dependencies, that builds upon the example-ietf-network-device YANG package to add support for basic dynamic routing and ACLs.

As for all YANG packages, all import dependencies are fully resolved. Because this example uses YANG modules that have been standardized before YANG semantic versioning, they are referenced by revision date rather than revision number. Locations have been excluded where they are not currently known, e.g., for YANG modules defined in IETF drafts. In a normal YANG package, locations would be expected to be provided for all YANG modules.

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "example-ietf-routing-pkg.json"

"name": "example-ietf-routing-pkg",
"content-schema": {
  "pkg-schema": {
    "name": "ietf-yang-package-defn-pkg",
    "version": "0.1.0"
  }
},
"description": "YANG package definition",
"content-data": {
  "ietf-yang-package-instance:yang-package": {
    "name": "example-ietf-routing",
    "version": "1.3.1",
    "timestamp": "2018-12-13T17:00:00Z",
    "description": "This package defines a small sample set of IETF routing YANG modules that could represent the set of IETF routing functionality that a basic IP network device might be expected to support."
  },
  "imported-packages": [
    {
      "name": "ietf-network-device",
      "version": "1.1.2",
      "location": [ "http://example.org/yang/packages/ietf-network-device@v1.1.2.json" ]
    }
  ],
  "module": [
    {
      "name": "ietf-routing",
      "revision": "2018-03-13",
      "location": [ "http://example.org/yang/packages/ietf-routing@v1.3.1.json" ]
    }
  ]
```

"location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    ietf-routing@2018-03-13.yang" ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing",
  "revision": "2018-03-13",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing@2018-03-13.yang" ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing",
  "revision": "2018-03-13",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing@2018-03-13.yang" ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-isis",
  "revision": "2018-12-11",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    " ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-interfaces-common",
  "revision": "2018-07-02",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    " ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-if-l3-vlan",
  "revision": "2017-10-30",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    " ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-routing-policy",
  "revision": "2018-10-19",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    " ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-bgp",
  "revision": "2018-05-09",
  "location": [ "https://tiny.cc/ietf-yang/
    " ],
},
{
  "name": "ietf-access-control-list",
  "revision": "2018-11-06",
}
A.3. Package import conflict resolution example

This section provides an example of how a package can resolve conflicting module revisions from imported packages.
In this example, YANG package 'example-3-pkg' imports both 'example-import-1' and 'example-import-2' packages. However, the two imported packages implement different revisions of 'example-module-A' so the 'example-3-pkg' package selects version '1.2.3' to resolve the conflict. Similarly, for import-only modules, the 'example-3-pkg' package does not require both revisions of example-types-module-C to be imported, so it indicates that it only imports revision '2018-11-26' and not '2018-01-01'.

```
{
  "ietf-yang-instance-data:instance-data-set": {
    "name": "example-import-1-pkg",
    "content-schema": {
      "pkg-schema": {
        "name": "ietf-yang-package-defn-pkg",
        "version": "0.1.0"
      }
    },
    "description": "First imported example package",
    "content-data": {
      "ietf-yang-package-instance:yang-package": {
        "name": "example-import-1",
        "version": "1.0.0",
        "reference": "XXX, draft-rwilton-netmod-yang-packages",
        "revision-date": "2018-01-01",
        "module": [
          {
            "name": "example-module-A",
            "revision": "1.0.0"
          },
          {
            "name": "example-module-B",
            "revision": "1.0.0"
          }
        ],
        "import-only-module": [
          {
            "name": "example-types-module-C",
            "revision": "2018-01-01"
          },
          {
            "name": "example-types-module-D",
            "revision": "2018-01-01"
          }
        ]
      }
    }
  }
}
```
"ietf-yang-instance-data:instance-data-set": {
  "name": "example-import-2-pkg",
  "content-schema": {
    "pkg-schema": {
      "name": "ietf-yang-package-defn-pkg",
      "version": "0.1.0"
    }
  },
  "description": "Second imported example package",
  "content-data": {
    "ietf-yang-package:yang-package": {
      "name": "example-import-2",
      "version": "2.0.0",
      "reference": "XXX, draft-rwilton-netmod-yang-packages",
      "revision-date": "2018-11-26",
      "module": [
        {
          "name": "example-module-A",
          "revision": "1.2.3"
        },
        {
          "name": "example-module-E",
          "revision": "1.1.0"
        }
      ],
      "import-only-module": [
        {
          "name": "example-types-module-C",
          "revision": "2018-11-26"
        },
        {
          "name": "example-types-module-D",
          "revision": "2018-11-26"
        }
      ]
    }
  }
}
}

"ietf-yang-instance-data:instance-data-set": {
  "name": "example-3-pkg",
  "content-schema": {

Appendix B. Possible alternative solutions

This section briefly describes some alternative solutions. It can be removed if this document is adopted as a WG draft.
B.1. Using module tags

Module tags have been suggested as an alternative solution, and indeed that can address some of the same requirements as YANG packages but not all of them.

Module tags can be used to group or organize YANG modules. However, this raises the question of where this tag information is stored. Module tags either require that the YANG module files themselves are updated with the module tag information (creating another versioning problem), or for the module tag information to be hosted elsewhere, perhaps in a centralize YANG Catalog, or in instance data files similar to how YANG packages have been defined in this draft.

One of the principle aims of YANG packages is to be a versioned object that defines a precise set of YANG modules versions that work together. Module tags cannot meet this aim without an explosion of module tags definitions (i.e. a separate module tag must be defined for each package version).

Module tags cannot support the hierachical scheme to construct schema that is proposed in this draft.

B.2. Using YANG library

Another question is whether it is necessary to define new YANG modules to define YANG packages, and whether YANG library could just be reused in an instance data file. The use of YANG packages offers several benefits over just using YANG library:

1. Packages allow schema to be built in a hierarchical fashion. [I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis] only allows one layer of hierarchy (using module sets), and there must be no conflicts between module revisions in different module-sets.

2. Packages can be made available off the box, with a well defined unique name, avoiding the need for clients to download, and construct/check the entire schema for each datastore. YANG library’s use of a 'content-id' is unique only to the device that generated them.

3. Packages may be versioned using a semantic versioning scheme, YANG library does not provide a schema level semantic version number.

4. For a YANG library instance data file to contain the necessary information, it probably needs both YANG library and various augmentations (e.g. to include each module’s semantic version
number), unless a new version of YANG library is defined containing this information. The module definition for a YANG package is specified to contain all of the necessary information to solve the problem without augmentations.

5. YANG library is designed to publish information about the modules, datastores, and datastore schema used by a server. The information required to construct an off box schema is not precisely the same, and hence the definitions might deviate from each other over time.
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This document specifies an algorithm for comparing two revisions of a YANG schema to determine the scope of changes, and a list of changes, between the revisions. The output of the algorithm can be used to help select an appropriate revision-label or YANG semantic version number for a new revision. This document defines a YANG extension that provides YANG annotations to help the tool accurately determine the scope of changes between two revisions.
1. Introduction

Warning, this is an early (-00) draft with the intention of scoping the outline of the solution, hopefully for the WG to back the direction of the solution. Refinement of the solution details is expected, if this approach is accepted by the WG.

This document defines a solution to Requirement 2.2 in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs]. Complementary documents provide a complete solution to the YANG versioning requirements, with the overall relationship of the solution drafts described in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-solutions].

YANG module ‘revision-labels’ [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] and the use of YANG semantic version numbers [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver] can be used to help manage and report changes between revisions of individual YANG modules.
YANG packages [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages] along with YANG semantic version numbers can be used to help manage and report changes between revisions of YANG schema.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] and [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages] define how to classify changes between two module or package revisions, respectively, as backwards compatible or non-backwards-compatible. [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver] refines the definition, to allow backwards compatible changes to be classified as ‘minor changes’ or ‘editorial changes’.

‘Revision-label’s and YANG semantic version numbers, whilst being generally simple and helpful in the mainline revision history case, are not sufficient in all scenarios. For example, when comparing two revisions/versions on independent revision branches, without a direct ancestor relationship between the two revisions/versions. In this cases, an algorithmic comparison approach is beneficial.

In addition, the module revision history’s ‘nbc-changes’ extension statement, and YANG semantic version numbers, effectively declare the worst case scenario. If any non-backwards-compatible changes are restricted to only parts of the module/schema that are not used by an operator, then the operator is able to upgrade, and effectively treat the differences between the two revisions/versions as backwards compatible because they are not materially impacted by the non-backwards-compatible changes.

Hence, this document defines algorithms that can be applied to revisions of YANG modules or versions of YANG schema (e.g., as represented by YANG packages), to determine the changes, and scope of changes between the revisions/versions.
For many YANG statements, programmatic tooling can determine whether
the changes between the statements constitutes a backwards-compatible
or non-backwards-compatible change. However, for some statements, it
is not feasible for current tooling to determine whether the changes
are backwards-compatible or not. For example, in the general case,
tooling cannot determine whether the change in a YANG description
statement causes a change in the semantics of a YANG data node. If
the change is to fix a typo or spelling mistake then the change can
be classified as an editorial backwards-compatible change.
Conversely, if the change modifies the behavioral specification of
the data node then the change would need to be classified as either a
non editorial backwards-compatible change or a non-backwards-
compatible change. Hence, extension statements are defined to
annotate a YANG module with additional information to clarify the
scope of changes in cases that cannot be determined by algorithmic
comparison.

Open issues are tracked at https://github.com/netmod-wg/yang-ver-dt/
issues, tagged with ‘schema-comparison’.

2. Terminology and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and
"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP
14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all
capitals, as shown here.

This document uses terminology introduced in the YANG versioning
requirements document [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs].

This document makes of the following terminology introduced in the
YANG Packages [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages]:

* YANG schema

In addition, this document defines the terminology:

* Change scope: Whether a change between two revisions is classified
  as non-backwards-compatible, backwards-compatible, or editorial.

3. Generic YANG schema tree comparison algorithm

The generic schema comparison algorithm works on any YANG schema.
This could be a schema associated with an individual YANG module, or
a YANG schema represented by a set of modules, e.g., specified by a
YANG package.
The algorithm performs a recursive tree wise comparison of two revisions of a YANG schema, with the following behavior:

The comparison algorithm primarily acts on the parts of the schema defined by unique identifiers.

Each identifier is qualified with the name of the module that defines the identifier.

Identifiers in different namespaces (as defined in 6.2.1 or RFC 7950) are compared separately. E.g., ‘features’ are compared separately from ‘identities’.

Within an identifier namespace, the identifiers are compared between the two schema revisions by qualified identifier name. The ‘renamed-from’ extension allow for a meaningful comparison where the name of the identifier has changed between revisions. The ‘renamed-from’ identifier parameter is only used when an identifier in the new schema revision cannot be found in the old schema revision.

YANG extensions, features, identities, typedefs are checked by comparing the properties defined by their YANG sub-statements between the two revisions.

YANG groupings, top-level data definition statements, rpcs, and notifications are checked by comparing the top level properties defined by their direct child YANG sub-statements, and also by recursively checking the data definition statements.

The rules specified in section 3 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] determine whether the changes are backwards-compatible or non-backwards-compatible.

The rules specified in section 3.2 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages] determine whether backwards-compatible changes are ‘minor’ or ‘editorial’.

For YANG description", "must", and "when" statements, the "backwards-compatible" and "editorial" extension statements can be used to mark instances when the statements have changed in a backwards-compatible or editorial way. Since by default the comparison algorithm assumes that any changes in these statements are non-backwards-compatible. XXX, more info required here, since the revisions in the module history probably need to be available for this to work in the general branched revisions case.
Submodules are not relevant for schema comparison purposes, i.e. the comparison is performed after submodule resolution has been completed.

3.1. YANG module revision scope extension annotations

4. YANG module comparison algorithm

The schema comparison algorithm defined in Section 3 can be used to compare the schema for individual modules, but with the following modifications:

Changes to the module’s metadata information (i.e. module level description, contact, organization, reference) should be checked (as potential editorial changes).

The module’s revision history should be ignored from the comparison.

Changes to augmentations and deviations should be sorted by path and compared.

5. YANG schema comparison algorithms

5.1. Standard YANG schema comparison algorithm

The standard method for comparing two YANG schema versions is to individually compare the module revisions for each module implemented by the schema using the algorithm defined in Section 4 and then aggregating the results together:

* If all implemented modules in the schema have only changed in an editorial way then the schema is changed in an editorial way

* If all implemented modules in the schema have only been changed in an editorial or backwards-compatible way then the schema is changed in a backwards-compatible way

* Otherwise if any implemented module in the schema has been changed in a non-backwards-compatible way then the schema is changed in a non-backwards-compatible way.

The standard schema comparison method is the RECOMMENDED scheme to calculate the version number change for new versions of YANG packages, because it allows the package version to be calculated based on changes to implemented modules revision history (or YANG semantic version number if used to identify module revisions).
5.2. Filtered YANG schema comparison algorithm

Another method to compare YANG schema, that is less likely to report inconsequential differences, is to construct full schema trees for the two schema versions, directly apply a version of the comparison algorithm defined in Section 3. This may be particular useful when the schema represents a complete datastore schema for a server because it allows various filtered to the comparison algorithm to provide a more specific answer about what changes may impact a particular client.

The full schema tree can easily be constructed from a YANG package definition, or alternative YANG schema definition.

Controlled by input parameters to the comparison algorithm, the following parts of the schema trees can optionally be filtered during the comparison:

- All "grouping" statements can be ignored (after all "use" statements have been processed when constructing the schema).
- All module and submodule metadata information (i.e. module level description, contact, organization, reference) can be ignored.
- The comparison can be restricted to the set of features that are of interest (different sets of features may apply to each schema versions).
- The comparison can be restricted to the subset of data nodes, RPCs, notifications and actions, that are of interest (e.g., the subset actually used by a particular client), providing a more meaningful result.
- The comparison could filter out backwards-compatible 'editorial' changes.

In addition to reporting the overall scope of changes at the schema level, the algorithm output can also optionally generate a list of specific changes between the two schema, along with the classification of those individual changes.

6. Comparison tooling

'pyang' has some support for comparison two module revisions, but this is currently limited to a linear module history.

TODO, it would be helpful if there is reference tooling for schema comparison.
7. Module Versioning Extension YANG Modules

YANG module with extension statements for annotating NBC changes, revision label, status description, and importing by version.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-rev-annotations@2019-11-11.yang"
module ietf-yang-rev-annotations {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix rev-ext;

  organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
  contact
    "WG Web:  <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author:   Robert Wilton
              <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>"

  description
    "This YANG 1.1 module contains extensions to annotation to YANG module with additional metadata information on the nature of changes between two YANG module revisions.

    XXX, maybe these annotations could also be included in ietf-yang-revisions?

    Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

    The key words ‘MUST’, ‘MUST NOT’, ‘REQUIRED’, ‘SHALL’, ‘SHALL NOT’, ‘SHOULD’, ‘SHOULD NOT’, ‘RECOMMENDED’, ‘NOT RECOMMENDED’, ‘MAY’, and ‘OPTIONAL’ in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.”;

  // RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication

Wilton                     Expires 5 May 2021                   [Page 8]
revision 2019-11-11 {
  description
      "Initial version.";
  reference
      "XXXX: YANG Schema Comparison";
}

extension backwards-compatible {
  argument revision-date-or-label;
  description
      "Identifies a revision (by revision-label, or revision date if
      a revision-label is not available) where a
      backwards-compatible change has occurred relative to the
      previous revision listed in the revision history.

      The format of the revision-label argument MUST conform to the
      pattern defined for the ietf-yang-revisions
      revision-date-or-label typedef.

      The following YANG statements MAY have zero or more
      `rev-ext:non-backwards-compatible` statements:
      description
        must
        when

      Each YANG statement MUST only a have a single
      non-backwards-compatible, backwards-compatible, or editorial
      extension statement for a particular revision-label, or
      corresponding revision-date.";
  reference
      "XXXX: YANG Schema Comparison;
      Section XXX, XXX";
}

extension editorial {
  argument revision-date-or-label;
  description
      "Identifies a revision (by revision-label, or revision date if
      a revision-label is not available) where an editorial change
      has occurred relative to the previous revision listed in the
      revision history.

      The format of the revision-label argument MUST conform to the
pattern defined for the ietf-yang-revisions
revision-date-or-label typedef.

The following YANG statements MAY have zero or more
'rev-ext:non-backwards-compatible' statements:

description

Each YANG statement MUST only have a single
non-backwards-compatible, backwards-compatible, or editorial
extension statement for a particular revision-label or
corresponding revision-date."

reference
"XXXX: YANG Schema Comparison;
Section XXX, XXX"

extension renamed-from {
  argument yang-identifier;
  description
    "Specifies a previous name for this identifier.
    This can be used when comparing schema to optimize handling
for data nodes that have been renamed rather than naively
treated them as data nodes that have been deleted and
recreated.

The argument 'yang-identifier' MUST take the form of a YANG
identifier, as defined in section 6.2 of RFC 7950.

Any YANG statement that takes a YANG identifier as its
argument MAY have a single 'rev-ext:renamed-from'
sub-statement.

TODO, we should also facilitate identifiers being moved into
other modules, e.g. by supporting a module-name qualified
identifier."

reference
"XXXX: YANG Schema Comparison;
Section XXX, XXX"

}
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9. Security Considerations

The document does not define any new protocol or data model. There are no security impacts.

10. IANA Considerations

10.1. YANG Module Registrations
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1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] puts forth a number of concepts relating to modified rules for updating YANG modules and submodules, a means to signal when a new revision of a module or submodule has non-backwards-compatible (NBC) changes compared to its previous revision, and a scheme that uses the revision history as a lineage for determining from where a specific revision of a YANG module or submodule is derived. Additionally, section 3.4 of

This document defines a revision-label scheme that uses extended semantic versioning rules [SemVer] for YANG artifacts (i.e., YANG modules, YANG submodules, and YANG packages [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages]) as well as the revision label definition for using this scheme. The goal being to add a human readable revision label that provides compatibility information for the YANG artifact without needing to compare or parse its body. The label and rules defined herein represent the RECOMMENDED revision label scheme for IETF YANG artifacts.

Note that a specific revision of the SemVer 2.0.0 specification is referenced here (from June 19, 2020) to provide an immutable version. This is because the 2.0.0 version of the specification has changed over time without any change to the semantic version itself. In some cases the text has changed in non-backwards-compatible ways.

2. Terminology and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Additionally, this document uses the following terminology:

* YANG artifact: YANG modules, YANG submodules, and YANG packages [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages] are examples of YANG artifacts for the purposes of this document.

* YANG Semver: A revision-label identifier that is consistent with the extended set of semantic versioning rules, based on [SemVer], defined within this document.

3. YANG Semantic Versioning

This section defines YANG Semantic Versioning, explains how it is used with YANG artifacts, and describes the rules associated with changing an artifact’s semantic version when its contents are updated.
3.1. YANG Semver Pattern

YANG artifacts that employ semantic versioning as defined in this document MUST use a version string (e.g., in revision-label or as a package version) that corresponds to the following pattern: ‘X.Y.Z_COMPAT’. Where:

* X, Y and Z are mandatory non-negative integers that are each less than or equal to 2147483647 (i.e., the maximum signed 32-bit integer value) and MUST NOT contain leading zeroes,

* The ‘.’ is a literal period (ASCII character 0x2e),

* The ‘_’ is an optional single literal underscore (ASCII character 0x5f) and MUST only be present if the following COMPAT element is included,

* COMPAT, if specified, MUST be either the literal string "compatible" or the literal string "non_compatible".

Additionally, [SemVer] defines two specific types of metadata that may be appended to a semantic version string. Pre-release metadata MAY be appended to a semver string after a trailing ‘-’ character. Build metadata MAY be appended after a trailing ‘+’ character. If both pre-release and build metadata are present, then build metadata MUST follow pre-release metadata. While build metadata MUST be ignored when comparing YANG semantic versions, pre-release metadata MUST be used during module and submodule development as specified in Section 5. Both pre-release and build metadata are allowed in order to support all the [SemVer] rules. Thus, a version lineage that follows strict [SemVer] rules is allowed for a YANG artifact.

To signal the use of this versioning scheme, modules and submodules MUST set the revision-label-scheme extension, as defined in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning], to the identity "yang-semver". That identity value is defined in the ietf-yang-semver module below.

Additionally, this ietf-yang-semver module defines a typedef that formally specifies the syntax of the YANG Semver.

3.2. Semantic Versioning Scheme for YANG Artifacts

This document defines the YANG semantic versioning scheme that is used for YANG artifacts that employ the YANG Semver label. The versioning scheme has the following properties:
* The YANG semantic versioning scheme is extended from version 2.0.0 of the semantic versioning scheme defined at semver.org [SemVer] to cover the additional requirements for the management of YANG artifact lifecycles that cannot be addressed using the semver.org 2.0.0 versioning scheme alone.

* Unlike the [SemVer] versioning scheme, the YANG semantic versioning scheme supports updates to older versions of YANG artifacts, to allow for bug fixes and enhancements to artifact versions that are not the latest. However, it does not provide for the unlimited branching and updating of older revisions which are documented by the general rules in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning].

* YANG artifacts that follow the [SemVer] versioning scheme are fully compatible with implementations that understand the YANG semantic versioning scheme defined in this document.

* If updates are always restricted to the latest revision of the artifact only, then the version numbers used by the YANG semantic versioning scheme are exactly the same as those defined by the [SemVer] versioning scheme.

Every YANG module and submodule versioned using the YANG semantic versioning scheme specifies the module’s or submodule’s semantic version as the argument to the ‘rev:revision-label’ statement.

Because the rules put forth in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] are designed to work well with existing versions of YANG and allow for artifact authors to migrate to this scheme, it is not expected that all revisions of a given YANG artifact will have a semantic version label. For example, the first revision of a module or submodule may have been produced before this scheme was available.

YANG packages that make use of this YANG Semver will reflect that in the package metadata.

As stated above, the YANG semantic version is expressed as a string of the form: ’X.Y.Z_COMPAT’.

* ’X’ is the MAJOR version. Changes in the MAJOR version number indicate changes that are non-backwards-compatible to versions with a lower MAJOR version number.
* 'Y' is the MINOR version. Changes in the MINOR version number indicate changes that are backwards-compatible to versions with the same MAJOR version number, but a lower MINOR version number and no PATCH "_compatible" or "_nonCompatible" modifier.

* 'Z_COMPAT' is the PATCH version and modifier. Changes in the PATCH version number can indicate editorial, backwards-compatible, or non-backwards-compatible changes relative to versions with the same MAJOR and MINOR version numbers, but lower PATCH version number, depending on what form modifier '_COMPAT' takes:

- If the modifier string is absent, the change represents an editorial change. An editorial change is defined to be a change in the YANG artifact’s content that does not affect the semantic meaning or functionality provided by the artifact in any way. Some examples include correcting a spelling mistake in the description of a leaf within a YANG module or submodule, non-significant whitespace changes (e.g., realigning description statements or changing indentation), or changes to YANG comments. Note: restructuring how a module uses, or does not use, submodules is treated as an editorial level change on the condition that there is no change in the module’s semantic behavior due to the restructuring.

- If, however, the modifier string is present, the meaning is described below:

  - "_compatible" - the change represents a backwards-compatible change

  - "_nonCompatible" - the change represents a non-backwards-compatible change

The '_COMPAT' modifier string is "sticky". Once a revision of a module has a modifier in the revision label, then all descendants of that revision with the same X.Y version digits will also have a modifier. The modifier can change from "_compatible" to "_nonCompatible" in a descendant revision, but the modifier MUST NOT change from "_nonCompatible" to "_compatible" and MUST NOT be removed. The persistence of the "_nonCompatible" modifier ensures that comparisons of revision labels do not give the false impression of compatibility between two potentially non-compatible revisions. If "_nonCompatible" was removed, for example between revisions "3.3.2_nonCompatible" and "3.3.3" (where "3.3.3" was simply an editorial change), then comparing revision labels of "3.3.3" back to an ancestor "3.0.0" would look like they are backwards compatible when they are not (since "3.3.2_nonCompatible" was in the chain of ancestors and introduced a non-backwards-compatible change).
The YANG artifact name and YANG semantic version uniquely identify a revision of said artifact. There MUST NOT be multiple instances of a YANG artifact definition with the same name and YANG semantic version but different content (and in the case of modules and submodules, different revision dates).

There MUST NOT be multiple versions of a YANG artifact that have the same MAJOR, MINOR and PATCH version numbers, but different patch modifier strings. E.g., artifact version "1.2.3_non_compatible" MUST NOT be defined if artifact version "1.2.3" has already been defined.

3.2.1. YANG Semver with submodules

YANG Semver MAY be used to version submodules. Submodule version are separate of any version on the including module, but if a submodule has changed, then the version of the including module MUST also be updated.

The rules for determining the version change of a submodule are the same as those defined in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 as applied to YANG modules, except they only apply to the part of the module schema defined within the submodule’s file.

One interesting case is moving definitions from one submodule to another in a way that does not change the resultant schema of the including module. In this case:

1. The including module has editorial changes
2. The submodule with the schema definition removed has non-backwards-compatible changes
3. The submodule with the schema definitions added has backwards-compatible changes

Note that the meaning of a submodule may change drastically despite having no changes in content or revision due to changes in other submodules belonging to the same module (e.g. groupings and typedefs declared in one submodule and used in another).

3.2.2. Examples for YANG semantic versions

The following diagram and explanation illustrate how YANG semantic versions work.

YANG Semantic versions for an example module:
The tree diagram above illustrates how the version history might evolve for an example module. The tree diagram only shows the parent/child ancestry relationships between the revisions. It does not describe the chronology of the revisions (i.e. when in time each revision was published relative to the other revisions).

The following description lists an example of what the chronological order of the revisions could look like, from oldest revision to newest:

0.1.0 - first pre-release module version
0.2.0 - second pre-release module version (with NBC changes)
1.0.0 - first release (may have NBC changes from 0.2.0)
1.1.0 - added new functionality, leaf "foo" (BC)
1.2.0 - added new functionality, leaf "baz" (BC)
2.0.0 - change existing model for performance reasons, e.g. re-key list (NBC)
1.3.0 - improve existing functionality, added leaf "foo-64" (BC)
1.1.1_compatible - backport "foo-64" leaf to 1.1.x to avoid implementing "baz" from 1.2.0. This revision was created after 1.2.0 otherwise it may have been released as 1.2.0. (BC)
3.0.0 - NBC bugfix, rename "baz" to "bar"; also add new BC leaf "wibble"; (NBC)
1.3.1_non_compatible - backport NBC fix, rename "baz" to "bar" (NBC)

1.2.1_non_compatible - backport NBC fix, rename "baz" to "bar" (NBC)

1.1.2_non_compatible - NBC point bug fix, not required in 2.0.0 due to model changes (NBC)

1.4.0 - introduce new leaf "ghoti" (BC)

3.1.0 - introduce new leaf "wobble" (BC)

1.2.2_non_compatible - backport "wibble". This is a BC change but "non_compatible" modifier is sticky. (BC)

The partial ancestry relationships based on the semantic versioning numbers are as follows:

1.0.0 < 1.1.0 < 1.2.0 < 2.0.0 < 3.0.0 < 3.1.0

1.0.0 < 1.1.0 < 1.1.1_compatible < 1.1.2_non_compatible

1.0.0 < 1.1.0 < 1.2.0 < 1.2.1_non_compatible < 1.2.2_non_compatible

1.0.0 < 1.1.0 < 1.2.0 < 1.3.0 < 1.3.1_non_compatible

1.0.0 < 1.1.0 < 1.2.0 < 1.3.0 < 1.4.0

There is no ordering relationship between "1.1.1_non_compatible" and either "1.2.0" or "1.2.1_non_compatible", except that they share the common ancestor of "1.1.0".

Looking at the version number alone does not indicate ancestry. The module definition in "2.0.0", for example, does not contain all the contents of "1.3.0". Version "2.0.0" is not derived from "1.3.0".

3.3. YANG Semantic Version Update Rules

When a new revision of an artifact is produced, then the following rules define how the YANG semantic version for the new artifact revision is calculated, based on the changes between the two artifact revisions, and the YANG semantic version of the base artifact revision from which the changes are derived.

The following four rules specify the RECOMMENDED, and REQUIRED minimum, update to a YANG semantic version:
1. If an artifact is being updated in a non-backwards-compatible way, then the artifact version "X.Y.Z[compatible|_non_compatible]" SHOULD be updated to "X+1.0.0" unless that version has already been used for this artifact but with different content, in which case the artifact version "X.Y.Z+1_non_compatible" SHOULD be used instead.

2. If an artifact is being updated in a backwards-compatible way, then the next version number depends on the format of the current version number:
   i    "X.Y.Z" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y+1.0", unless that version has already been used for this artifact but with different content, when the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1_compatible" instead.
   ii   "X.Y.Z_compatible" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1_compatible".
   iii  "X.Y.Z_non_compatible" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1_non_compatible".

3. If an artifact is being updated in an editorial way, then the next version number depends on the format of the current version number:
   i    "X.Y.Z" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1"
   ii   "X.Y.Z_compatible" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1_compatible".
   iii  "X.Y.Z_non_compatible" - the artifact version SHOULD be updated to "X.Y.Z+1_non_compatible".

4. YANG artifact semantic version numbers beginning with 0, i.e., "0.X.Y", are regarded as pre-release definitions and need not follow the rules above. Either the MINOR or PATCH version numbers may be updated, regardless of whether the changes are non-backwards-compatible, backwards-compatible, or editorial. See Section 5 for more details on using this notation during module and submodule development.

5. Additional pre-release rules for modules that have had at least one release are specified in Section 5.
Although artifacts SHOULD be updated according to the rules above, which specify the recommended (and minimum required) update to the version number, the following rules MAY be applied when choosing a new version number:

1. An artifact author MAY update the version number with a more significant update than described by the rules above. For example, an artifact could be given a new MAJOR version number (i.e., X+1.0.0), even though no non-backwards-compatible changes have occurred, or an artifact could be given a new MINOR version number (i.e., X.Y+1.0) even if the changes were only editorial.

2. An artifact author MAY skip version numbers. That is, an artifact’s revision history could be 1.0.0, 1.1.0, and 1.3.0 where 1.2.0 is skipped. Note that skipping versions has an impact when importing modules by revision-or-derived. See Section 4 for more details on importing modules with revision-label version gaps.

Although YANG Semver always indicates when a non-backwards-compatible, or backwards-compatible change may have occurred to a YANG artifact, it does not guarantee that such a change has occurred, or that consumers of that YANG artifact will be impacted by the change. Hence, tooling, e.g., [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-schema-comparison], also plays an important role for comparing YANG artifacts and calculating the likely impact from changes.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] defines the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement to indicate where non-backwards-compatible changes have occurred in the module revision history. If a revision entry in a module’s revision history includes the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statement then that MUST be reflected in any YANG semantic version associated with that revision. However, the reverse does not necessarily hold, i.e., if the MAJOR version has been incremented it does not necessarily mean that a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statement would be present.

3.4. Examples of the YANG Semver Label

3.4.1. Example Module Using YANG Semver

Below is a sample YANG module that uses the YANG Semver revision-label based on the rules defined in this document.
module example-versioned-module {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:example:versioned:module";
    prefix "exvermod";
    rev:revision-label-scheme "ysver:yang-semver";

    import ietf-yang-revisions { prefix "rev"; }
    import ietf-yang-semver { prefix "ysver"; }

description
    "to be completed";

revision 2017-08-30 {
    description "Backport 'wibble' leaf";
    rev:revision-label 1.2.2_non_compatible;
}

revision 2017-07-30 {
    description "Rename 'baz' to 'bar'";
    rev:revision-label 1.2.1_non_compatible;
    rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}

revision 2017-04-20 {
    description "Add new functionality, leaf 'baz'";
    rev:revision-label 1.2.0;
}

revision 2017-04-03 {
    description "Add new functionality, leaf 'foo'";
    rev:revision-label 1.1.0;
}

revision 2017-02-07 {
    description "First release version.";
    rev:revision-label 1.0.0;
}

// Note: semver rules do not apply to 0.X.Y labels.
// The following pre-release revision statements would not
// appear in any final published version of a module. They
// are removed when the final version is published.
// During the pre-release phase of development, only a
// single one of these revision statements would appear

// revision 2017-01-30 {
//    description "NBC changes to initial revision";
//    rev:revision-label 0.2.0;
3.4.2. Example of Package Using YANG Semver

Below is an example YANG package that uses the semver revision label based on the rules defined in this document.

```{json}
"ietf-yang-instance-data:instance-data-set": {
  "name": "example-yang-pkg",
  "target-ptr": "TBD",
  "timestamp": "2018-09-06T17:00:00Z",
  "description": "Example IETF package definition",
  "content-data": {
    "ietf-yang-package:yang-package": {
      "name": "example-yang-pkg",
      "version": "1.3.1",
      ...
    }
  }
}
```

4. Import Module by Semantic Version

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning] allows for imports to be done based on a module or a derived revision of a module. The rev:revision-or-derived statement can specify either a revision date or a revision label. The YANG Semver revision-label value can be used as the argument to rev:revision-or-derived. When used as such, any module that contains exactly the same YANG semantic version in its revision history may be used to satisfy the import requirement. For example:

```{json}
import example-module {
  rev:revision-or-derived 3.0.0;
}
```
Note: the import lookup does not stop when a non-backward-compatible change is encountered. That is, if module B imports a module A at or derived from version 2.0.0, resolving that import will pass through a revision of module A with version "2.1.0_non_compatible" in order to determine if the present instance of module A derives from "2.0.0".

If an import by revision-or-derived cannot locate the specified revision-label in a given module’s revision history, that import will fail. This is noted in the case of version gaps. That is, if a module’s history includes "1.0.0", "1.1.0", and "1.3.0", an import from revision-or-derived at "1.2.0" will be unable to locate the specified revision entry and thus the import cannot be satisfied.

5. Guidelines for Using Semver During Module Development

This section and the IETF-specific sub-section below provides YANG Semver-specific guidelines to consider when developing new YANG modules. As such this section updates [RFC8407].

Development of a brand new YANG module or submodule outside of the IETF that uses YANG Semver as its revision-label scheme SHOULD begin with a 0 for the MAJOR version component. This allows the module or submodule to disregard strict SemVer rules with respect to non-backwards-compatible changes during its initial development. However, module or submodule developers MAY choose to use the SemVer pre-release syntax instead with a 1 for the MAJOR version component. For example, an initial module or submodule revision-label might be either 0.0.1 or 1.0.0-alpha.1. If the authors choose to use the 0 MAJOR version component scheme, they MAY switch to the pre-release scheme with a MAJOR version component of 1 when the module or submodule is nearing initial release (e.g., a module’s or submodule’s revision label may transition from 0.3.0 to 1.0.0-beta.1 to indicate it is more mature and ready for testing).

When using pre-release notation, the format MUST include at least one alphabetic component and MUST end with a ‘.’ or ‘-’ and then one or more digits. These alphanumeric components will be used when deciding pre-release precedence. The following are examples of valid pre-release versions

1.0.0-alpha.1
1.0.0-alpha.3
2.1.0-beta.42
3.0.0-202007.rc.1
When developing a new revision of an existing module or submodule using the YANG semver revision-label scheme, the intended target semantic version MUST be used along with pre-release notation. For example, if a released module or submodule which has a current revision-label of 1.0.0 is being modified with the intent to make non-backwards-compatible changes, the first development MAJOR version component must be 2 with some pre-release notation such as -alpha.1, making the version 2.0.0-alpha.1. That said, every publicly available release of a module or submodule MUST have a unique YANG semver revision-label (where a publicly available release is one that could be implemented by a vendor or consumed by an end user). Therefore, it may be prudent to include the year or year and month development began (e.g., 2.0.0-201907-alpha.1). As a module or submodule undergoes development, it is possible that the original intent changes. For example, a 1.0.0 version of a module or submodule that was destined to become 2.0.0 after a development cycle may have had a scope change such that the final version has no non-backwards-compatible changes and becomes 1.1.0 instead. This change is acceptable to make during the development phase so long as pre-release notation is present in both versions (e.g., 2.0.0-alpha.3 becomes 1.1.0-alpha.4). However, on the next development cycle (after 1.1.0 is released), if again the new target release is 2.0.0, new pre-release components must be used such that every revision-label for a given module or submodule MUST be unique throughout its entire lifecycle (e.g., the first pre-release version might be 2.0.0-202005-alpha.1 if keeping the same year and month notation mentioned above).

5.1. Pre-release Version Precedence

As a module or submodule is developed, the scope of the work may change. That is, while a ratified module or submodule with revision-label 1.0.0 is initially intended to become 2.0.0 in its next ratified version, the scope of work may change such that the final version is 1.1.0. During the development cycle, the pre-release versions could move from 2.0.0-some-pre-release-tag to 1.1.0-some-pre-release-tag. This downwards changing of version numbers makes it difficult to evaluate semantic version rules between pre-release versions. However, taken independently, each pre-release version can be compared to the previously ratified version (e.g., 1.1.0-some-pre-release-tag and 2.0.0-some-pre-release-tag can each be compared to 1.0.0). Module and submodule developers SHOULD maintain only one revision statement in a pre-released module or submodule that reflects the latest revision. IETF authors MAY choose to include an appendix in the associated draft to track overall changes to the module or submodule.
5.2. YANG Semver in IETF Modules

All published IETF modules and submodules MUST use YANG semantic versions for their revision-labels.

Development of a new module or submodule within the IETF SHOULD begin with the 0 MAJOR number scheme as described above. When revising an existing IETF module or submodule, the revision-label MUST use the target (i.e., intended) MAJOR and MINOR version components with a 0 PATCH version component. If the intended ratified release will be non-backward-compatible with the current ratified release, the MINOR version component MUST be 0.

All IETF modules and submodules in development MUST use the whole document name as a pre-release version string, including the current document revision. For example, if a module or submodule which is currently released at version 1.0.0 is being revised to include non-backwards-compatible changes in draft-user-netmod-foo, its development revision-labels MUST include 2.0.0-draft-user-netmod-foo followed by the document’s revision (e.g., 2.0.0-draft-user-netmod-foo-02). This will ensure each pre-release version is unique across the lifecycle of the module or submodule. Even when using the 0 MAJOR version for initial module or submodule development (where MINOR and PATCH can change), appending the draft name as a pre-release component helps to ensure uniqueness when there are perhaps multiple, parallel efforts creating the same module or submodule.

For IETF YANG modules and submodules that have already been published, revision-labels MUST be retroactively applied to all existing revisions when the next new revision is created, starting at version "1.0.0" for the initial published revision, and then incrementing according to the YANG Semver version rules specified in Section 3.3. For example, if a module or submodule started out in the pre-NMDA ([RFC8342]) world, and then had NMADA support added without removing any legacy "state" branches -- and you are looking to add additional new features -- a sensible choice for the target YANG Semver would be 1.2.0 (since 1.0.0 would have been the initial, pre-NMADA release, and 1.1.0 would have been the NMADA revision).

See Appendix A for a detailed example of IETF pre-release versions.

6. YANG Module

This YANG module contains the typedef for the YANG semantic version and the identity to signal its use.
module ietf-yang-semver {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix ysver;
  rev:revision-label-scheme "yang-semver";

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
  }
}

organization
  "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
  WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
  Author:  Joe Clarke <mailto:jclarke@cisco.com>
  Author:  Robert Wilton <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>
  Author:  Reshad Rahman <mailto:reshad@yahoo.com>
  Author:  Balazs Lengyel <mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com>
  Author:  Jason Sterne <mailto:jason.sterne@nokia.com>
  Author:  Benoit Claise <mailto:benoit.claise@huawei.com>"

description
  "This module provides type and grouping definitions for YANG packages.

  Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

  // RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
  // and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.
// RFC Ed. update the rev:revision-label to "1.0.0".

revision 2021-11-04 {
  rev:revision-label "1.0.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-semver-05";
  description
    "Initial revision";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: YANG Semantic Versioning.";
}

/*
 * Identities
 */

identity yang-semver {
  base rev:revision-label-scheme-base;
  description
    "The revision-label scheme corresponds to the YANG Semver scheme
     which is defined by the pattern in the 'version' typedef below.
     The rules governing this revision-label scheme are defined in the
     reference for this identity.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: YANG Semantic Versioning.";
}

/*
 * Typedefs
 */
typedef version {
  type rev:revision-label {
    pattern '\([0-9]+\.\[0-9]+\.\[0-9]+(_(non_)?compatible)?\)\)?
      + '\([-A-Za-z0-9-9-\.]+\[.-\][0-9]+\)?\{[+]\[A-Za-z0-9-9-\.]+\)\)?';
  }
  description
    "Represents a YANG semantic version. The rules governing the
     use of this revision label scheme are defined in the reference for
     this typedef.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: YANG Semantic Versioning.";
}

<CODE ENDS>
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Discussions on the use of SemVer for YANG versioning has been held with authors of the OpenConfig YANG models based on their own [openconfigsemver] . We would like thank both Anees Shaikh and Rob Shakir for their input into this problem space.

8. Security Considerations

The document does not define any new protocol or data model. There are no security impacts.

9. IANA Considerations

9.1. YANG Module Registrations

This document requests IANA to register a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688] . Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested.


Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

The following YANG module is requested to be registered in the "IANA Module Names" [RFC6020] . Following the format in RFC 6020, the following registrations are requested:

The ietf-yang-semver module:

Name: ietf-yang-semver


Prefix: ysver
9.2.  Guidance for YANG Semver in IANA maintained YANG modules and submodules

Note for IANA (to be removed by the RFC editor): Please check that the registries and IANA YANG modules and submodules are referenced in the appropriate way.

IANA is responsible for maintaining and versioning some YANG modules and submodules, e.g., iana-if-types.yang [IfTypeYang] and iana-routing-types.yang [RoutingTypesYang].

In addition to following the rules specified in the IANA Considerations section of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning], IANA maintained YANG modules and submodules MUST also include a YANG Semver revision label for all new revisions, as defined in Section 3.

The YANG Semver version associated with the new revision MUST follow the rules defined in Section 3.3.

Note: For IANA maintained YANG modules and submodules that have already been published, revision labels MUST be retroactively applied to all existing revisions when the next new revision is created, starting at version "1.0.0" for the initial published revision, and then incrementing according to the YANG Semver rules specified in Section 3.3.

Most changes to IANA maintained YANG modules and submodules are expected to be backwards-compatible changes and classified as MINOR version changes. The PATCH version may be incremented instead when only editorial changes are made, and the MAJOR version would be incremented if non-backwards-compatible changes are made.

Given that IANA maintained YANG modules are versioned with a linear history, it is anticipated that it should not be necessary to use the "_compatible" or "_non_compatible" modifiers to the "Z_COMPAT" version element.

10.  References

10.1.  Normative References

10.2. Informative References


Appendix A. Example IETF Module Development

Assume a new YANG module is being developed in the netmod working group in the IETF. Initially, this module is being developed in an individual internet draft, draft-jdoe-netmod-example-module. The following represents the initial version tree (i.e., value of revision-label) of the module as it’s being initially developed.

Version lineage for initial module development:

```
0.0.1-draft-jdoe-netmod-example-module-00
  `-- 0.1.0-draft-jdoe-netmod-example-module-01
    `-- 0.2.0-draft-jdoe-netmod-example-module-02
      `-- 0.2.1-draft-jdoe-netmod-example-module-03
```

At this point, development stabilizes, and the workgroup adopts the draft. Thus now the draft becomes draft-ietf-netmod-example-module. The initial pre-release lineage continues as follows.

Continued version lineage after adoption:
At this point, the draft is ratified and becomes RFC 12345 and the YANG module version becomes 1.0.0.

A time later, the module needs to be revised to add additional capabilities. Development will be done in a backwards-compatible way. Two new individual drafts are proposed to go about adding the capabilities in different ways: draft-jdoe-netmod-exmod-enhancements and draft-jadoe-netmod-exmod-changes. These are initially developed in parallel with the following versions.

Parallel development for next module revision:

```
1.1.0-draft-jdoe-netmod-exmod-enhancements-00 || 1.1.0-draft-jadoe-netmod-exmod-changes-00
1.1.0-draft-jdoe-netmod-exmod-enhancements-01 || 1.1.0-draft-jadoe-netmod-exmod-changes-01
```

At this point, the WG decides to merge some aspects of both and adopt the work in jadoe’s draft as draft-ietf-netmod-exmod-changes. A single version lineage continues.

```
1.1.0-draft-ietf-netmod-exmod-changes-00
1.1.0-draft-ietf-netmod-exmod-changes-01
1.1.0-draft-ietf-netmod-exmod-changes-02
1.1.0-draft-ietf-netmod-exmod-changes-03
```

The draft is ratified, and the new module version becomes 1.1.0.
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System Configuration Data Handling Behavior
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Abstract

This document defines an optional "system" datastore to allow clients populate the system configuration into running datastore in the device. It also defines a capability-based extension to the NETCONF protocol that helps the NETCONF client identify how system configuration are processed by the server.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 24, 2021.
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This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of
1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol [RFC6241] defines ways to read configuration and state data from a NETCONF server.

In some cases, a client-configured data item refers to a system generated data item (e.g., the auto-created interfaces "eth1"), which is only present in the <operational> datastore [RFC8342]. In order for it being referenced, the duplicated system configured data item needs to be retrieved from <operational> and overridden by the client.
In some other cases, a system generated configured data item is in the when/must statement, the similar operation should also be performed to make sure a successful validation, which is cumbersome.

Furthermore, when the system generated data item gets updated, there is no way to synchronize the update into <running> and the client can’t detect the update automatically.

This document defines a "system" datastore to hold all the configurations provided by the system itself. It also defines a capability-based extension to the NETCONF protocol that allows the configuration synchronization between <system> and <running> both automatically and explicitly.

1.1. Terminology

This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the contents of [RFC6241], [RFC7950], [RFC8342], [RFC8407], and [RFC8525] and uses terminologies from those documents.

The following terms are defined in this document as follows:

System configuration: Configuration that is provided by the system itself [RFC8342].

System configuration datastore: A configuration datastore holding the complete configuration provided by the system itself. This datastore is referred to as "<system>".

Physical resource independent system configuration: When the device is powered on, the pre-provisioned configuration will be activated and provided, irrespective of physical resource present or not, sometimes the pre-provisioned configuration will be provided without must/when statement constraint (e.g., loop back interface activation), sometimes not, e.g., only provided when a special functionality is enabled.

Physical resource dependent system configuration: When the device is powered on and the physical resource is present (e.g., insert interface card), the system will automatically detect it and load pre-provisioned configuration; when the physical resource is not present (remove interface card), the system configuration will be automatically cleared.
1.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. System Configuration Datastore

Following guidelines for defining Datastores in the appendix A of [RFC8342], this document introduces a new datastore resource named ‘system’ that represents the system configuration.

- **Name**: "system"
- **YANG modules**: all
- **YANG nodes**: all "config true" data nodes
- **Management operations**: The content of the datastore is set by the server in an implementation dependent manner. The content can not be changed by management operations via NETCONF, RESTCONF, the CLI, etc unless specialized, dedicated operations are provided. The datastore can be read using the standard NETCONF/RESTCONF protocol operations.
- **Origin**: This document does not define any new origin identity when it interacts with <operational> datastore. The system origin Metadata Annotation is used to indicate the origin of a data item.
- **Protocols**: RESTCONF, NETCONF and other management protocol.
- **Defining YANG module**: "ietf-netconf-with-system".

The datastore content is usually defined by the device vendor. It is static at most of time and MAY change e.g., depending on external factors like HW available or during device upgrade. <system> does not persist across reboots. It will be automatically loaded when the device is powered on or the physical resource is present.

2.1. Life Cycle of the system configuration management

When the device is powered on, physical resource independent system configuration will be created in <system> automatically by the system if there is no when/must statement constraint associated with system configuration data or provided only when a special functionality is enabled.
When the device is powered on and the physical resource is inserted into the device, physical resource dependent system configuration will be automatically loaded into <system>;

When the physical resource is removed from the device, the physical resource dependent system configuration will be automatically removed from <system>;

2.2. "Factory-Reset" RPC Impact on System Configuration Datastore

[RFC8808] defines a "factory-reset" RPC to allow clients to reset a server back to its factory-default condition. Upon receiving the RPC, all supported conventional read-write configuration datastore (i.e., <running>, <startup> and <candidate>) are reset to the contents of <factory-default>. <system> should also immediately reset to its factory default state. That’s to say, any system configurations generated due to system upgrading or client-enabled functionality should be discarded. System configuration which is generated at the first time when it boots after being shipped from factory should be retained.

3. System Configuration Data Handling Basic Modes

Not all server implementations treat system configuration data in the same way. Instead of forcing a single implementation strategy, this document allows a server advertise a particular style of system configuration data handling, and the client can adjust behavior accordingly.

This document specifies two standard system configuration handling basic modes that a server implementor may choose from:

- auto-populate
- no-populate

A server that uses the ‘auto-populate’ basic mode MUST automatically

- Update <running> with the system configuration change, after the "system" configuration has been altered as a consequence of a plug and play operation or device powering on operation. However the configurations in <running> can not be removed automatically when configuration data nodes in <system> is deleted since those configurations in <running> are likely to have already been modified or referenced.

- The system configuration doesn’t need to be explicitly set by the client first before the system configuration needs to be updated.
with client set configuration or referenced by client set configuration.

A server that uses the ‘no-populate’ basic mode

- MUST not update <running> with the system configuration.
- The system configuration MUST be explicitly set by the client first before the system configuration needs to be updated with client set configuration or referenced by client set configuration.

3.1. ‘auto-populate’ Initialization During Reboot

The contents of <system> don’t have to be persist across reboots, even in the presence of non-volatile storage.

For the NETCONF server which implements the <factory-default> datastore [RFC8808], it may load <factory-default> at the first time when it boots after being shipped from factory or reset to its factory default condition. Then it’s just like each normal boot time, the device generates system configurations and saves into <system>. Then the device loads the saved startup configuration (if <startup> exists) into <running>. Lastly, the device loads <system> into <running>. If there exists any conflict, the configuration in the <running> should succeed.

3.2. ‘auto-populate’ <edit-config> Behavior towards <running>

For a data node that is loaded from <system> automatically, the server MUST consider it to exist.

- A valid ‘create’ operation attribute for a data node that is loaded from <system> and set by the server MUST fail with a ‘data-exists’ error-tag;
- A valid ‘delete’ operation attribute for a data node that is loaded from <system> and set by the server MUST succeed. The deleted system configuration MUST be reloaded into <running> immediately if the system configuration is still present in the <system>;
- A valid ‘merge’ operation attribute for a data node that is loaded from <system> and set by the server MUST succeed.
3.3. ‘no-populate’ <edit-config> Behavior towards <running>

The server MUST NOT consider any system configuration data node to exist in <running> configuration datastore, except those explicitly set by the client.

- A valid ‘create’ operation attribute for a data node that is set by the server MUST succeed since the system configuration data is not present in the <running> configuration datastore.

- A valid ‘merge’ operation attribute for a data node that is set by the server MUST succeed even though the name of data node in <system> is same as name of data node explicitly set by the client.

- A valid ‘delete’ operation attribute for a data node that is set by the client MUST succeed even though the name of data node in <system> is same as name of data node explicitly set by the client. A valid ‘delete’ operation attribute for a data node that is not explicitly set by the client MUST fail since system configuration is not loaded into <running>.

4. Retrieval of System Configuration Data
TBD

5. With System Capability

5.1. Overview

The :with-system capability indicates which system-data-handling basic mode is supported by the server. These basic modes allow a NETCONF client to control whether system configuration data is returned by the server. Sending of system configuration data is controlled for each individual operation separately.

A NETCONF server implementing the :with-system capability:

- MUST indicate its basic mode behavior by including the ‘basic-mode’ parameter in the capability URI;

- MUST support the YANG module defined in Section 6 for the system configuration data handling mode indicated by the ‘basic-mode’ parameter.
5.2. Capability Identifier

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-system:1.0

The identifier MUST have a parameter: "basic-mode". This indicates how the server will treat system configuration data, as defined in Section 3. The allowed values of this parameter are 'auto-populate', and 'no-populate', as defined in Section 3.

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-system:1.0?basic-mode=no-populate

5.3. Modifications to Existing Operations

5.3.1. <get-data> Operations

As defined in Section 6, retrieval of system configuration in <system> can be used through <get-data> operation with the "datastore" parameter set to "system".

5.3.2. <edit-config> Operation

The <edit-config> operation has several editing modes. The 'create', and 'delete' editing operations are affected by the system configuration data handling basic mode. The other enumeration values for the NETCONF operation attribute are not affected.

If the operation attribute contains the value 'create', and the data node already exists in the target configuration datastore, then the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with a 'invalid-value' error-tag.

If the client sets a data node that is explicitly set by the server, the server MUST accept the request if it is valid. The server MUST keep or discard the new value based on its system configuration data handling basic mode.

6. YANG Module

This YANG module uses the "datastore" identity [RFC8342]. Every NETCONF server which supports :with-system capability MUST implement this YANG module.

<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-netconf-with-system@2021-05-14.yang"
module ietf-netconf-with-system {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix ncws;

import ietf-datastores {
    prefix ds;
    reference
        "RFC 8342: Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA)";
}

organization
    "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration Protocol) Working Group";

contact
    "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/>
    WG List:  <mailto:netconf@ietf.org>
    WG Chair:
    Editor:
        ""

description
    "This module defines an extension to the NETCONF protocol that allows the NETCONF client to control how system configuration data are handled by the server in particular NETCONF operations.

    Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note

revision 2021-05-14 {
    description
        "Initial version.";
    reference
        "RFC XXXX: With-system capability for NETCONF";
}

feature system-datastore {
    description
        "Indicates that the system configuration is available as a datastore.";
}

identity system {
    if-feature "system-datastore";
base ds:datastore;
description
"This read-only datastore contains the system configuration for the
device that will be loaded into <running> automatically in the" "auto-populate" basic mode.";
}
}
<CODE ENDS>

7. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following capability identifier URN in
the 'Network Configuration Protocol Capability URNs registry':

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-system:1.0

This document registers two XML namespace URNs in the 'IETF XML
registry', following the format defined in [RFC3688].


Registrant Contact: The NETCONF WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URIs are XML namespaces.

This document registers one module name in the 'YANG Module Names'
registry, defined in [RFC6020].

name: ietf-netconf-with-system
prefix: ncws
RFC: XXXX // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX and remove this comment

8. Security Considerations

The YANG module specified in this document defines a schema for data
that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such
as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer
is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure
transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer
is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS
[RFC8446].

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC8341]
provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or
RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or
RESTCONF protocol operations and content.
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Appendix A. Changes between Revisions

v01 - v02

- Remove System configuration data retrieval behavior in the mainbody and examples in the appendix.
- Remove <get> operation and <get-config> operation extension from the YANG data model.
- Change basic mode values into auto-populate, no-populate.
- Consider <factory-default> to work together with <system>.

Appendix B. Open Issues tracking

- Do we need to define RPC to allow the server loads <system> configuration data into <running>?
- Can we introduce better terminology?
- Should we define a standard operation of system configuration retrieval?
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