

ISSUE: SVCB AT PARENT

DPRIVE, IETF 111
July 2021

Paul Wouters

Drafts involved:

- draft-rescorla-dprive-adox-latest-00
- DNS client performs query for NS, receives NS, glue and and SVCB specifying (encrypted) DNS transports to client nameservers.
- draft-schwartz-dprive-name-signal-00
- Suggests “temporary” workaround until serving SVCB at parent works

Deployment is a 10+ year problem

- To serve SVCB records at the parent (that is at the 'wrong' end of the zone cut), we need:
 - Update all major DNS software
 - Deploy DNS updates at authoritative servers worldwide
 - Deploy DNS updates at recursive servers worldwide
 - Applications must bypass System DNS to avoid leaks when system might be pointing to old DNS recursor.

Deployment is a 10+ year problem

- To serve SVCB records at the parent (that is at the 'wrong' end of the zone cut), we need:
 - Update EPP protocol (I see no draft for this)
 - Deploy updated EPP protocols at Registries and Registrars
 - Update Web Portals at (sub)Registrars
 - Write up a CDS/CDNSKEY/CSYNC solution for SVCB because Registrants are not DNS Hosters

Results of SVCB 'at parent'

- SVCB at the parent is not going to be reliable or available for many years
 - “Interim” workarounds will become permanent protocol
- OR
- Two tier DNS is created where browsers will only use one “trusted” tier
 - Further centralization of DNS resolvers.
 - Splitting the DNS world into “browser DNS” and “other DNS”

Issues with draft-schwartz-dprive-name-signal

- Encodes SVCB in QNAME of NS record (“dnscurve hack”)
- NS keys can never change, as you can’t get 10k customers to all update their NS record for your nameserver’s key.
- NS at parent is glue, so is unauthenticated and unsigned
- Facilitates easy encrypted DNS intercept
 - Inject SVCB-style NS records to your encrypted server
 - Could be done by Nation States or ISPs
- See earlier discussion on encoding something like this inside DS record:
 - Requires no DNS software modification
 - Secured with DNSSEC against abuse
 - CDS/CDNSKEY sees some deployment, CSYNC does not?

Advise to WG: Reality Check

- Let's not pretend SVCB at parent is a feasible solution
- Let's not write "temporary workarounds" until it would.