Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Agenda

- Intro and Note Well: 5 min
- Core: 10 min
  - draft-gondwana-jmap-blob - 10 min
- Mail: 5 min
  - draft-melnikov-jmap-smime-advanced - 5 min
- Calendar: 30 min
  - draft-ietf-jmap-calendars - 20 min
  - draft-baum-jmap-tasks - 10 min
- Contacts: 30 min
  - draft-ietf-jmap-jscontact (+vcard) - 30 min
- Discussion topic: 20 min
  - the large email problem - 20 min
- Any other business: 15 min
- Milestone review: 5 min
Blob

- Draft adopted: draft-ietf-jmap-blob-00
  - Now with concatenation support
  - API changed to allow for named datatypes
  - Adds IANA registry for datatypes
  - TODO: implementation experience
Blob IANA registry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datatype name</th>
<th>Can</th>
<th>Can</th>
<th>Capability</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Blobs</td>
<td>use</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:core</td>
<td>[RFC8620]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PushSubscription</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:core</td>
<td>[RFC8620]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailbox</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mail</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thread</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mail</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mail</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmailDelivery</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mail</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SearchSnippet</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mail</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:submission</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EmailSubmission</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:submission</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VacationResponse</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:vacationresponse</td>
<td>[RFC8621]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDN</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>urn:ietf:params:jmap:mdn</td>
<td>[RFC9007]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Blob questions

• Do we need the IANA registry?
  - Datatypes are already defined by the capabilities requested, and they have a registry.
  - Nail down the terminology on ‘datatype’ at least, because we need a type for it in the doc.

• Do we need limits?
  - MaximumBlobSize etc
draft-melnikov-jmap-smime-advanced

• Alexey has a conflict → chairing ohttp!
  
  • https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-melnikov-jmap-smime-advanced/
  
• Please review!

• Will issue a call for adoption.
JMAP Calendars

• See Neil’s Slides
JMAP Tasks

- draft-baum-jmap-tasks has been adopted
- There’s lots of TODO in there, but please review.
JSContact

• See Robert’s Slides
Discussion Topic – Big Files

• Mentioned in DISPATCH, probable outcome is another working group.

• Topics of interest for this group:
  – The large file upload problem (Blob catenate can help, but need a way to resume large uploads more generally)
  – Mail, Calendars, Contacts, Tasks – all need a way to attach files larger than their serialisation format likes embedding.
Milestone Review
Other business