# **RPC-over-QUIC Kick-off**Document Strategy

Chuck Lever < chuck.lever@oracle.com>

## **AQUIC Primer**

- QUIC is a secure connection-oriented network transport that runs over UDP, originally designed for web applications.
- The QUIC "streams" abstraction provides an ordered byte-stream service to applications. Streams can be unidirectional or bidirectional, and can be created by either endpoint, and there can be billions of streams per connection.
- A QUIC connection can migrate across multiple network paths. Connections have connection IDs that are independent of peer addresses.
- Confidentiality, peer and connection ID authentication, and endpoint address validation are built in.



## Who Wants RPC-over-QUIC and Why?

 There could be significant functional overlap between RPC-with-TLS and RPC on QUIC

- We don't yet have a clear answer to these questions
  - We do know that storage protocol implementers are already experimenting
  - But there are costs and benefits...



## **Potential Benefits of RPC over QUIC**

- Separate streams for forward- and reverse- direction RPC transactions
- Fast recovery after network packet loss
  - Network path migration is transparent to RPC consumers
  - Advanced error and congestion detection and control (e.g., ECN)
- Transport headers and other metadata are deeply obscured
  - Also, no need for an RPC\_AUTH\_TLS probe

## **Challenges for RPC**

- TLS is always on for now, introducing unwanted overhead in some cases
  - In fact, QUIC replaces the TLS record protocol, making it unsupported on the current class of offload NICs. One design goal for RPC-with-TLS was to be offload-enabled to reduce deployment costs
- Most QUIC implementations are in user space, which does not efficiently serve kernel RPC consumers such as storage ULPs

## **No Expected Benefit**

- interesting for typical consumers of RPC such as NFS
- RPC record fragment framing is still necessary

## RPC connections are typically long-lived, so 0-RTT reconnect is unlikely to be

#### **QUIC-Specific Standards Action An RPC-over-QUIC binding document**

- RPC-related
  - RPC message framing on top of QUIC streams
  - An IANA request to assign appropriate netids
  - Multiple reliable and in-order flows per connection
    - Guidelines for RPC consumers that wish to utilize multiple flows
    - Update TI-RPC transport nomenclature  $\bullet$

#### **QUIC-Specific Standards Action** An RPC-over-QUIC binding document, continued

- QUIC-related
  - Guidelines for receivers to distinguish RPC-over-QUIC from RPC-over-UDP • traffic and route QUIC connection IDs properly
  - Special requirements for utilizing QUIC's Transport Layer Security
    - QUICv1 utilizes TLSv1.3 handshake
    - RPC-with-TLS ALPN and certificate usage guidelines apply
    - Always-on means some RPC-with-TLS security policies can't be used

#### **Proposed Standards Action NFS on QUIC**

- QUIC is in a class of network transport services that separate the connection abstraction from the flow/stream abstraction:
  - A QUIC stream is a reliable connection-oriented network transport that meets the suitability requirements outlined in RFC 8881 Section 2.9, but what about a QUIC connection?
  - How does NFS (in particular, NFSv4 sessions) make use of multiple streams per connection? For example, what does BIND\_CONN\_TO\_SESSION do in this world? Can each session slot use one stream?
  - How is a server-dropped RPC transaction reported?



#### **Proposed Standards Action NFS on QUIC - Authentication**

- QUIC is in a class of network transport services that manage peer authentication, formerly handled by RPCSEC GSS.
  - friends

Copyright © 2021 IETF Trust and the author of this presentation. All rights reserved.

Use of peer authentication material to authenticate EXCHANGE\_ID and

SECINFO (and MNT) will need to advertise the required TLS security level



### WG Bureaucratic Actions

- a charter update necessary?
- **RPC-over-QUIC**
- If approved, assignment of milestones and document authors

Copyright © 2021 IETF Trust and the author of this presentation. All rights reserved.

Does this work fall under the existing Extension or Maintenance clauses, or is

WG consensus to begin work on the proposed new document that specifies

## Supplemental Material

## Bibliography

- RFC 8166 RPC over an RDMA Transport
- RFC 9000 The QUIC Transport Protocol
- RFC 9002 Using TLS to Secure QUIC
- <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-quic-http-34</u>
- <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-quic-datagram/</u>
- <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-nfsv4-rpc-tls/</u>

