

RFC Editor Future Development Program

Brian Rosen

Eliot Lear

(chairs)

IETF 111

Note Well (Break out the reading glasses)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.

If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.

As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.

Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement

As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam.

(<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

[BCP 9](#) (Internet Standards Process)

[BCP 25](#) (Working Group processes)

[BCP 25](#) (Anti-Harassment Procedures)

[BCP 54](#) (Code of Conduct)

[BCP 78](#) (Copyright)

[BCP 79](#) (Patents, Participation)

<https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

Agenda

1. Note Well
2. Agenda Bashing
3. draft-iab-rfcedp-rfced-model-00
4. AOB

Draft Issues to discuss

- Roles previously played by the RSE needing to be reallocated - Issues #62 and #61 if we are okay on #60 along the lines of the text we have for #59
- Interaction between RSWG/RSAB policies and RPC/LLC projects - Issues #57 and #56
- RSEA hiring/firing/management - Issues #40, #47, #49, #55, and perhaps #25
- Defining success - issue #47

Other issues?

- responsibility for the rfc-editor.org website (but this really falls under "roles previously played by the RSE")
- responsibility for creating new streams (#73)
- who has final authority to change the process (#63)

AOB