• Status: because we made a major change to integrity mechanism in 11 version, we wanted to give a bit more review time before moving back into WGLC

• Had a brief conversation about “iss” subject identification, added following text based on that conversation

• The explicit mechanism for reflecting the Subject Name field of the certificate is out of scope of this document and left to the certificate governance policies that define how to map the "iss" value in the PASSporT to the Subject Name field in the certificate.
• There has already been quite a bit of implementation and review by vendors and providers. Have gotten good feedback on where we are.

• Will refresh draft and ask on sipcore list about WGLC for draft-ietf-sipcore-callinfo-rcd-02, which we think is equally in good shape.