

IPv6 Deployment Status

draft-v6ops-ipv6-deployment-02

IETF 111, Jul 2021, Online

Giuseppe Fioccola (Huawei)

Paolo Volpato (Huawei)

Nalini Elkins (Inside Products)

Jordi Palet Martinez (The IPv6 Company)

Gyan Mishra (Verizon)

Chongfeng Xie (China Telecom)

Sebastien Lourdez (POST Luxembourg)

Draft History

- Submitted as an individual draft -00 in October 2020
 - Version -01 presented at IETF 109
 - Version -02 presented at IETF 110
- After IETF 110, during revision week requested by the v6ops chairs a lot of comments received and discussed in the mailing list
- In early April 2021 adopted as WG document
 - Version -00 submitted in April 2021 (no major modifications)
 - Version -01 submitted on June 1st to address most of the comments received
- In early June, question by the chairs on WG adoption and new round of comments in the mailing list
 - Version -02 submitted on July 12th

Draft Status

- 7 co-authors (+3 since version 01)
- Thoroughly reviewed to cope with comments suggesting to:
 - Avoid "marketing/sales" language
 - Better specify the scope
 - Remove the unreferenced/unproven advantages of IPv6
 - Introduce the missing references
- Thanks everyone for spending time to read and comment!
- In addition, analysis presented at RIPE 82

Diffs

- The overall structure has been mostly maintained
- Modified specific sub-sections and the relevant text
- The introduction has been entirely rewritten
- The previous "Call for Action" paragraph renamed as "Common IPv6 Challenges" and reviewed accordingly.
- Main changes highlighted in light blue

Version 01 ToC	
1. Introduction	3
2. The global IPv6 picture	4
2.1. IPv4 Address Exhaustion	4
2.2. IPv6 users	5
2.3. IPv6 allocations and networks	5
2.4. IPv6 web content	7
3. A study on IPv6 deployments	8
3.1. Survey among Network Operators	8
3.2. Survey among Enterprises	9
3.2.1. Government, campuses and universities	10
3.3. Application transition	11
3.4. Observations on Content and Cloud Service Providers	11
3.5. Observations on Industrial Internet	11
4. IPv6 overlay service design	11
4.1. IPv6 introduction	12
4.2. IPv6-only service delivery	13
5. IPv6 underlay network deployment	14
6. IPv6 incentives	15
7. Call for action	16
7.1. Transition	16
7.1.1. Service providers	17
7.1.2. Enterprises	18
7.1.3. Cloud and Data Centers	20
7.1.4. CPEs and user devices	20
7.1.5. Industrial Internet	21
7.1.6. Government and Regulators	21
7.2. Network Operations	22
7.3. Performance	22
7.3.1. IPv6 latency	22
7.3.2. IPv6 packet loss	23
7.3.3. Router's performance	23
7.3.4. Customer Experience	23
7.4. IPv6 security	24
7.4.1. Protocols security issues	25
7.4.2. Transition technologies	26
7.4.3. IPv6 Extension Headers and Fragmentation	26
7.4.4. Oversized IPv6 packets	26
8. Security Considerations	27

Version 02 ToC	
1. Introduction	3
2. IPv4 vs IPv6: The Global Picture	5
2.1. IPv4 Address Exhaustion	5
2.2. IPv6 Users	6
2.3. IPv6 Web Content	7
3. A Survey on IPv6 Deployments	7
 3.1. IPv6 Allocations and Networks	7
3.2. IPv6 among Network Operators	9
3.3. IPv6 among Enterprises	11
3.3.1. Government, Campuses and Universities	12
3.4. Observations on Industrial Internet	12
3.5. Observations on Content and Cloud Service Providers	12
3.6. Application Transition	13
4. Towards an IPv6 Overlay Service Design	13
 4.1. IPv6 introduction	14
 4.2. IPv6-only Service Delivery	15
5. IPv6 Underlay Network Deployment	16
6. IPv6 Incentives	17
7. Common IPv6 Challenges	18
7.1. Transition Choices	18
7.1.1. Service Providers	18
7.1.2. Enterprises	19
7.1.3. Industrial Internet	20
7.1.4. Cloud and Data Centers	20
7.1.5. CPEs and user devices	21
 7.2. Government and Regulators	21
 7.3. Network Operations	22
 7.4. Performance	22
 7.4.1. IPv6 packet loss and latency	22
7.4.2. Customer Experience	23
 7.5. IPv6 security	23
7.5.1. Protocols security issues	25
7.5.2. IPv6 Extension Headers and Fragmentation	25
8. Security Considerations	26

More on the Main Changes

- Survey on IPv6 Deployment:
 - Widened the section on the survey among Network Operators
 - Reviewed the survey among Enterprises
- Clear distinction between IPv6-only Service Delivery and IPv6-only underlay network deployment
- Improved section on IPv6 underlay network deployment
- Clarification of the Common IPv6 Challenges and identification of the key categories

The Survey on ISPs and Enterprises

- It is quite exhaustive!
- Considering the total number of customers served by these operators, it is a high number (billions!)
- If not exhaustive, why not to propose a wider poll through the v6ops WG?

Common IPv6 Challenges

- **Transition Choices**

- **Service Providers:** For both fixed and mobile operators the approach is not unique!
 - **Enterprises:** quite late to adopt IPv6
 - **Industrial Internet:** IoT and Industry 4.0 are often mentioned as key driver but adoption is slow.
 - **Cloud and Data Centers:** most CSPs have adopted IPv6 in their internal infrastructure but not yet for external
 - **CPEs and user devices:** most of them are IPv6-enabled but there are exceptions
- **Government and Regulators:** mixed situation. There are countries well ahead and others which are not
 - **Network Operations:** how to handle training to staff and network management change
 - **Performance:** In some cases IPv6 behaving "worse" than IPv4 in terms of packet loss, delay and customer experience
 - **IPv6 security:** to reach the same level of security as it exists nowadays, some aspects need to be taken into account. Issue to be considered are related to the IPv6 associated protocols (e.g. NDP, MLD,...), IPv6 EHs, transition technologies.

Next Steps

- The incentives to IPv6 may still need further thoughts
- Comments and criticism are always welcome
- How to collect further operational input?
- Does it make sense to share this analysis externally?

Thank you!