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1. Introduction

The IETF LPWAN WG defined the necessary operations to enable IPv6 over selected Low-Power Wide Area Networking (LPWAN) radio technologies. [rfc8376] presents an overview of those technologies.

The Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) [rfc8724] technology is the core product of the IETF LPWAN working group. [rfc8724] defines a generic framework for header compression and fragmentation, based on a static context that is pre-installed on the SCHC endpoints.

This document details the constitutive elements of a SCHC-based solution, and how the solution can be deployed. It provides a general architecture for a SCHC deployment, positioning the required specifications, describing the possible deployment types, and indicating models whereby the rules can be distributed and installed to enable reliable and scalable operations.

2. LPWAN Technologies and Profiles

Because LPWAN technologies [rfc8376] have strict yet distinct constraints, e.g., in terms of maximum frame size, throughput, and/or directionality, a SCHC instance must be profiled to adapt to the specific necessities of the technology to which it is applied.

Appendix D. "SCHC Parameters" of [rfc8724] lists the information that an LPWAN technology-specific document must provide to profile SCHC for that technology.

As an example, [rfc9011] provides the SCHC profile for LoRaWAN networks.
3. The Static Context Header Compression

SCHC [rfc8724] specifies an extreme compression capability based on a state that must match on the compressor and decompressor side. This state comprises a set of Compression/Decompression (C/D) rules.

The SCHC Parser analyzes incoming packets and creates a list of fields that it matches against the compression rules. The rule that matches best is used to compress the packet, and the rule identifier (RuleID) is transmitted together with the compression residue to the decompressor. Based on the RuleID and the residue, the decompressor can rebuild the original packet and forward it in its uncompressed form over the Internet.

[rfc8724] also provides a Fragmentation/Reassembly (F/R) capability to cope with the maximum frame size of a Link, which is extremely constrained in the case of an LPWAN network.

If a SCHC-compressed packet is too large to be sent in a single Link-Layer PDU, the SCHC fragmentation can be applied on the compressed packet. The process of SCHC fragmentation is similar to that of compression; the fragmentation rules that are programmed for this device are checked to find the most appropriate one, regarding the SCHC packet size, the link error rate, and the reliability level required by the application.

The nature of a ruleID allows to determine if it is a compression or fragmentation rule.

4. SCHC Endpoints

Section 3 of [rfc8724] depicts a typical network architecture for an LPWAN network, simplified from that shown in [rfc8376] and reproduced in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Typical LPWAN Network Architecture](image)

Typically, an LPWAN network topology is star-oriented, which means that all packets between the same source-destination pair follow the same path from/to a central point. In that model, highly constrained...
Devices (Dev) exchange information with LPWAN Application Servers (Apps) through a central Network Gateway (NGW), which can be powered and is typically a lot less constrained than the Devices. Because devices embed built-in applications, the traffic flows to be compressed are known in advance and the location of the C/D and F/R functions (e.g., at the Dev and NGW), and the associated rules, can be pre provisionned in the network.

Then again, SCHC is very generic and its applicability is not limited to star-oriented deployments and/or to use cases where applications are very static and the state can provisionned in advance. [I-D.thubert-intarea-schc-over-ppp] describes an alternate deployment where the C/D and/or F/R operations are performed between peers of equal capabilities over a PPP [rfc2516] connection. SCHC over PPP illustrates that with SCHC, the protocols that are compressed can be discovered dynamically and the rules can be fetched on-demand by both parties from the same Uniform Resource Name (URN) [rfc8141], ensuring that the peers use the exact same set of rules.

5. SCHC Instances

The rule database contains a set of rules that are specific per device. There is thus a SCHC instance per pair of endpoints. [rfc8724] states that a SCHC instance obtains the rules to process C/D and F/R before the session starts, and that rules cannot be modified during the session.

[rfc8724] was defined to compress IPv6 [rfc8200] and UDP; but SCHC really is a generic compression and fragmentation technology. As such, SCHC is agnostic to which protocol it compresses and at which layer it is operated. The C/D peers may be hosted by different entities for different layers, and the F/R operation may also be performed between different parties, or different sub-layers in the same stack, and/or managed by different organizations.

If a protocol or a layer requires additional capabilities, it is always possible to document more specifically how to use SCHC in that context, or to specify additional behaviours. For instance,
[I-D.ietf-lpwan-coap-static-context-hc] extends the compression to CoAP [RFC7252] and OSCORE [RFC8613].

As represented figure Figure 3, the fragmentation and the compression of the IP and UDP headers may be operated by a network SCHC instance whereas the end-to-end compression of the application payload happens between the device and the application. The compression of the application payload may be split in two instances to deal with the encrypted portion of the application PDU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(device)</th>
<th>(NGW)</th>
<th>(App)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A S</td>
<td>CoAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p C</td>
<td>inner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p H</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. C</td>
<td>SCHC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inner</td>
<td>cryptographical boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A S</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p C</td>
<td>CoAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p H</td>
<td>outer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. C</td>
<td>SCHC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>outer</td>
<td>layer / functional boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>UDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>...........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>IPv6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w S</td>
<td>.........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o C</td>
<td>SCHC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r H</td>
<td>.........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k C</td>
<td>LPWAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.........</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(((LPWAN)))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3: Different SCHC instances in a global system**

This document defines a generic architecture for SCHC that can be used at any of these levels. The goal of the architectural document is to orchestrate the different protocols and data model defined by the LPWAN working group to design an operational and interoperable framework for allowing IP application over constrained networks.

6. SCHC Data Model

A SCHC instance, summarized in the Figure 4, implies C/D and/or F/R present in both end and that both ends are provisionned with the same set of rules.
To be able to provision end-points from different vendors, a common rule representation is needed that expresses the SCHC rules in an interoperable fashion. To that effect, [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model] defines a rule representation using the YANG [1] formalism.

[I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model] defines an YANG data model to represent the rules. This enables the use of several protocols for rule management, such as NETCONF[RFC6241], RESTCONF[RFC8040], and CORECONF[I-D.ietf-core-comi]. NETCONF uses SSH, RESTCONF uses HTTPS, and CORECONF uses CoAP(s) as their respective transport layer protocols. The data is represented in XML under NETCONF, in JSON[RFC8259] under RESTCONF and in CBOR[RFC8949] under CORECONF.

The Rule Manager (RM) is in charge of handling data derived from the YANG Data Model and apply changes to the rules database Figure 5.

The RM is a application using the Internet to exchange information, therefore:

- for the network-level SCHC, the communication does not require routing. Each of the end-points having an RM and both RMs can be
viewed on the same link, therefore wellknown Link Local addresses can be used to identify the device and the core RM. L2 security MAY be deemed as sufficient, if it provides the necessary level of protection.

o for application-level SCHC, routing is involved and global IP addresses SHOULD be used. End-to-end encryption is RECOMMENDED.

Management messages can also be carried in the negotiation protocol as proposed in [I-D.thubert-intarea-schc-over-ppp]. The RM traffic may be itself compressed by SCHC, especially if CORECONF is used, [I-D.ietf-lpwan-coap-static-context-hc] can be used.

7. Security Considerations

SCHC is sensitive to the rules that could be abused to form arbitrary long messages or as a form of attack against the C/D and/or F/R functions, say to generate a buffer overflow and either modify the device or crash it. It is thus critical to ensure that the rules are distributed in a fashion that is protected against tempering, e.g., encrypted and signed.

8. IANA Consideration

This document has no request to IANA
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Abstract

The present document describes an extension to the SCHC (Static Header Compression and Fragmentation) protocol [RFC8724]. It defines a SCHC Compound ACK message format, which is intended to reduce the number of downlink transmissions (i.e., SCHC ACKs) by accumulating bitmaps of several windows in a single SCHC message (i.e., the SCHC Compound ACK).

The message format is generic, and can be used for instance by any of the four LWPAN technologies defined in [RFC8376], being Sigfox, LoRaWAN, NB-IoT and IEEE 802.15.4w.
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1. Introduction

The Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation (SCHC) specification [RFC8724] describes two mechanisms: i) an application header compression scheme, and ii) a frame fragmentation and loss recovery functionality. Either can be used on top of radio technologies such as the four LPWAN defined in [RFC8376], being Sigfox, LoRaWAN, NB-IoT and IEEE 802.15.4w. These LPWANs have similar characteristics such as star-oriented topologies, network architecture, connected devices with built-in applications, etc.

SCHC offers a great level of flexibility to accommodate all these LPWAN technologies. Even though there are a great number of similarities between them, some differences exist with respect to the transmission characteristics, payload sizes, etc. Hence, there are optimal parameters and modes of operation that can be used when SCHC is used on top of a specific LPWAN technology.
The present document describes an extension to the SCHC protocol. It defines a SCHC Compound ACK format, which is intended to reduce the number of downlink transmissions (i.e., SCHC ACKs) in the ACK-on-Error mode of SCHC. The SCHC Compound ACK extends the SCHC ACK message format so that it can contain several bitmaps, each bitmap being identified by its corresponding window number.

The SCHC Compound ACK:

- provides feedback only for windows with fragment losses,
- has a variable size that depends on the number of windows with fragment losses being reported in the single Compound SCHC ACK,
- includes the window number (i.e., W) of each bitmap,
- has the same SCHC ACK format defined in [RFC8724] when only one window with losses is reported,
- might not cover all windows with fragment losses of a SCHC Packet,
- is distinguishable from the SCHC Receiver-Abort.

2. Terminology

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the terms and mechanisms defined in [RFC8376] and in [RFC8724].

3. SCHC Compound ACK

The SCHC Compound ACK is a SCHC ACK message that can contain several bitmaps, each bitmap being identified by its corresponding window number.

When the ACK-on-Error mode is used for uplink fragmentation, SCHC Compound ACKs MAY be used in the downlink responses.

The SCHC Compound ACK groups the window number (W) with its corresponding bitmap. The included window numbers and corresponding bitmaps MUST be ordered from the lowest-numbered to the highest-numbered window.

3.1. SCHC Compound ACK Message Format

Figure 1 shows the regular SCHC ACK format when all fragments have been correctly received (C=1), as defined in [RFC8724].
In case SCHC fragment losses are found in any of the windows of the SCHC Packet (C=0), the SCHC Compound ACK MAY be used. The SCHC Compound ACK message format is shown in Figure 2.

The window numbered 00, if present in the SCHC Compound ACK, MUST be placed between the Rule ID and the C bit to avoid confusion with padding bits. If padding is needed for the SCHC Compound ACK, padding bits MUST be 0 to make subsequent window numbers and bitmaps distinguishable.

3.2. SCHC Compound ACK Examples

Figure 3 shows an example transmission of a SCHC Packet in ACK-on-Error mode using the SCHC Compound ACK. In the example, the SCHC Packet is fragmented in 14 tiles, with N=3, WINDOW_SIZE=7, M=2 and two lost SCHC fragments. Only 1 compound SCHC ACK is generated.
 Sender                      Receiver
|-----W=0, FCN=6 ----->|      |-----W=0, FCN=6 ----->|
|-----W=0, FCN=5 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=5 ----->|
|-----W=0, FCN=4 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=4 ----->|
|-----W=0, FCN=3 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=3 ----->|
|-----W=0, FCN=2 --X-->|      |-----W=1, FCN=2 ----->|
|-----W=0, FCN=1 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=1 --X-->|
|-----W=0, FCN=0 ----->|      |-- W=1, FCN=7 + RSC -><---- Compound ACK ----|  C=0, W=0 - Bitmap:1111011, W=1 - Bitmap:111110

(no ACK)
|-----W=1, FCN=6 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=6 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=5 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=5 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=4 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=4 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=3 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=3 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=2 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=2 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=1 --X-->|      |-- W=1, FCN=7 + RSC -><---- Compound ACK ----|  Integrity check: failure

C=0, W=0 - Bitmap:1111011, W=1 - Bitmap:111110

1
|-----W=0, FCN=2 ----->|      |-----W=0, FCN=2 ----->|
|-----W=1, FCN=1 ----->|      |-----W=1, FCN=1 ----->|
<---- ACK, W=1, C=1 ---  Integrity check: success

C=0

(End)

Figure 3: SCHC Compound ACK message sequence example

|-- SCHC ACK Header ---| - W=00 --|----- W=01 -----|
+----------------------| +------- + ---- + ------- + ------------- +
| RuleID | W=00 | C=0 | 1111011 | W=01 | 1111011 | b’0-pad (opt) |
+------- +------- + -- +------- + ---- +------- + -------------- +

On top are denoted the window numbers of the corresponding bitmap. Losses are found in windows 00 and 01.

Figure 4: SCHC Compound ACK message format example

3.3. SCHC Compound ACK YANG Data Model

The present document also extends the YANG data model defined in [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-yang-data-model] by including a new leaf in the Ack-on-Error fragmentation mode to describe the option to use the SCHC Compound ACK, as well as its bitmap format. Figure 5 shows this definition:

// --- Bitmap format

    identity bitmap-format-base-type {

Zuniga, et al.          Expires April 21, 2022
description "Define how the bitmap is defined in ACK messages."
}

identity RFC8724-bitmap {
    base bitmap-format-base-type;
    description "Bitmap as defined in RFC8724.";
}

identity compound-ack-bitmap {
    base bitmap-format-base-type;
    description "Compound Ack.";
}

typedef bitmap-format-type {
    type identityref {
        base RCS-algorithm-base-type;
    }
    description "type used in rules";
}

// --------------

choice mode {
    case no-ack;
    case ack-always;
    case ack-on-error {
        leaf tile-size {
            type uint8;
            description "size in bit of tiles, if not specified or set to 0: tile fills the fragment.";
        }
        leaf tile-in-All1 {
            type schc:all1-data-type;
            description "When true, sender and receiver except a tile in All-1 frag";
        }
        leaf ack-behavior {
            type schc:ack-behavior-type;
            description "Sender behavior to acknowledge, after All-0, All-1 or when the LPWAN allows it (Always)";
        }
        leaf bitmap-format {
            type schc:bitmap-format-type,
            default schc:RFC8724-bitmap;
            description "how the bitmaps are included in the Ack message."
        }
    }
}

description "RFC 8724 defines 3 fragmentation modes";
4. Security considerations

The current document specifies a message format extension for SCHC. Hence, the same Security Considerations defined in [RFC8724] apply.
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Abstract

The Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) specification describes
header compression and fragmentation functionalities for LPWAN (Low
Power Wide Area Networks) technologies.
The Narrow Band Internet of Things (NB-IoT) architecture may adapt
SCHC to improve its capacities.

This document describes the use of SCHC over the NB-IoT wireless
access and provides elements for efficient parameterization.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the
provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering
Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute
working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-
Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months
and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any
time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference
material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the
document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect
Internet-Draft                 SCHC NB-IoT                  October 2021

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must
include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of
the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as
described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.  Introduction ................................................. 3
2.  Terminology ................................................ 3
3.  Architecture ............................................... 4
4.  Data Transmission ......................................... 5
5.  IP based Data Transmission ............................... 6
   5.1. SCHC over the Radio link .............................. 6
   5.1.1. SCHC Entities Placing ............................... 6
   5.2. SCHC over No-Access Stratum (NAS) ................. 7
   5.2.1. SCHC Entities Placing ............................... 8
5.3. Parameters for Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) . 9
   5.3.1. SCHC Context initialization ....................... 9
   5.3.2. SCHC Rules .......................................... 9
   5.3.3. Rule ID ............................................ 9
   5.3.4. SCHC MAX_PACKET_SIZE ............................. 10
   5.3.5. Fragmentation ..................................... 10
6.  End-to-End Compression ................................. 10
   6.1. SCHC Entities Placing ............................... 11
6.2. Parameters for Static Context Header Compression ...... 11
   6.2.1. SCHC Context initialization ....................... 11
   6.2.2. SCHC Rules .......................................... 12
   6.2.3. Rule ID ............................................ 12
   6.2.4. SCHC MAX_PACKET_SIZE ............................. 12
6.3. Fragmentation ........................................... 12
   6.3.1. Fragmentation modes ............................... 12
   6.3.2. Fragmentation Parameters ......................... 13
7.  Padding ................................................. 13
8.  Security considerations .................................. 13
9.  3GPP References .......................................... 14
10. Appendix ................................................. 14
10.1. NB-IoT User Plane protocol architecture ............ 14
   10.1.1. Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP) ........ 14
   10.1.2. Radio Link Protocol (RLC) ....................... 15
   10.1.3. Medium Access Control (MAC) ..................... 16
10.2. NB-IoT Data over NAS (DoNAS) ......................... 17
11. Normative References .................................... 20
Authors’ Addresses ........................................... 21
1. Introduction

The Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) [RFC8724] defines a header compression scheme, and fragmentation functionality suitable for the Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) networks defined in [RFC8376].

In an NB-IoT network, header compression efficiently brings Internet connectivity to the node. This document describes the SCHC parameters used to perform the static context header compression into the NB-IoT wireless access. This document assumes functionality for NB-IoT of 3GPP release 15. Otherwise, the text explicitly mentions other versions’ functionality.

2. Terminology

This document will follow the terms defined in [RFC8724], in [RFC8376], and the TGPP23720.

- CIoT. Cellular IoT
- NGW-C-SGN. Network Gateway - CIoT Serving Gateway Node
- Dev-UE. Device - User Equipment
- RGW-eNB. Radio Gateway - Node B. Base Station that controls the UE
- EPC. Evolved Packet Connectivity. Core network of 3GPP LTE systems.
- NGW-MME. Network Gateway - Mobility Management Entity. Handle mobility of the UE
- NB-IoT. Narrow Band IoT. Referring to 3GPP LPWAN technology based in LTE architecture but with additional optimization for IoT and using a Narrow Band spectrum frequency.
- NGW-SGW. Network Gateway - Serving Gateway. Routes and forwards the user data packets through the access network
- HSS. Home Subscriber Server. It is a database that performs mobility management
NGW-PGW. Network Gateway - Packet Data Node Gateway. An interface between the internal with the external network

PDU. Protocol Data Unit. Data packets including headers that are transmitted between entities through a protocol.

SDU. Service Data Unit. Data packets (PDUs) from higher layers protocols used by lower layer protocols as a payload of their own PDUs that has not yet been encapsulated.

IWK-SCEF. InterWorking Service Capabilities Exposure Function. Used in roaming scenarios and serves for interconnection with the SCEF of the Home PLMN and is located in the Visited PLMN

NGW-SCEF. Network Gateway - Service Capability Exposure Function. EPC node for exposure of 3GPP network service capabilities to 3rd party applications.

3. Architecture

![3GPP network architecture diagram]

Figure 1: 3GPP network architecture

The Narrow Band Internet of Things (NB-IoT) architecture has a more complex structure. It relies on different NGWs from different providers and can send data by different paths, each path with different characteristics such as bandwidths, acknowledgments, and layer two reliability and segmentation.

Figure 1 shows this architecture where the Network Gateway Cellular Internet of Things Serving Gateway Node (NGW-CSGN) optimizes co-
locating entities in different paths. For example, a Dev using the
path form by the Network Gateway Mobility Management Entity (NGW-
MME), the NGW-CSGW, and Network Gateway Packet Data Node Gateway
(NGW-PGW) may get a limited bandwidth transmission from few bytes/s
to one thousand bytes/s only.

Another node introduced in the NB-IoT architecture is the Network
Gateway Service Capability Exposure Function (NGW-SCEF), which
securely exposes service and network capabilities to entities
external to the network operator. OMA and OneM2M define the
northbound APIS [TGPP33203]. In this case, the path is small for
data transmission. The main functions of the NGW-SCEF are:

- Connectivity path
- Device Monitoring

4. Data Transmission

NB-IoT networks deal with end-to-end user data and in-band signaling
between the nodes and functions to configure, control, and monitor
the system functions and behaviors. The signaling data uses a
different path with specific protocols, handling processes, and
entities but can transport end-to-end user data for IoT services. In
contrast, the end-to-end application only transports end-to-end data.

The maximum recommended MTU size is 1358 Bytes. The radio network
protocols limit the packet sizes over the air, including radio
protocol overhead to 1600 Bytes. However, the MTU is smaller to
avoid fragmentation in the network backbone due to the payload
encryption size (multiple of 16) and the additional core transport
overhead handling.

3GPP standardizes NB-IoT and, in general, the cellular technologies
interfaces and functions. Therefore the introduction of SCHC
entities to Dev, RGW-eNB, and NGW-CSGN needs to be specified in the
NB-IoT standard, which implies that standard specifying SCHC support
would not be backward compatible. A terminal or a network supporting
a version of the standard without SCHC or without capability
implementation (in case of not being standardized as mandatory
capability) cannot utilize the compression services with this
approach.

SCHC could be deployed differently depending on where the header
compression and the fragmentation are applied. The SCHC
functionalities can be used over the radio transmission only, between
the Dev and the RGW-eNB. Alternatively, the packets transmitted over
the end-to-end link can use SCHC. Else, when the transmissions over
the NGW-MME or NGW-SCEF, the NGW-CSGN uses SCHC entity. For these two cases, the functions are to be standardized by 3GPP.

Another possibility is to apply SCHC functionalities to the end-to-end connection or at least up to the operator network edge. SCHC functionalities are available in the application layer of the Dev and the Application Servers or a broker function at the edge of the operator network. The radio network transmits the packets as non-IP traffic using IP tunneling or SCEF services. Since this option does not necessarily require 3GPP standardization, it is possible to also benefit legacy devices with SCHC by using the non-IP transmission features of the operator network.

5. IP based Data Transmission

5.1. SCHC over the Radio link

Deploying SCHC only over the radio link would require placing it as part of the protocol stack for data transfer between the Dev and the RGW-eNB. This stack is the functional layer responsible for transporting data over the wireless connection and managing radio resources. There is support for features such as reliability, segmentation, and concatenation. The transmissions use link adaptation, meaning that the system will optimize the transport format used according to the radio conditions, the number of bits to transmit, and the power and interference constraints. That means that the number of bits transmitted over the air depends on the Modulation and Coding Schemes (MCS) selected. A Transport Block (TB) transmissions happen in the physical layer at network synchronized intervals called Transmission Time Interval (TTI). Each Transport Block has a different MCS and number of bits available to transmit. The MAC layer [TGPP36321] defines the Transport Blocks characteristics. The Radio link Figure 2 stack comprises the Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP) [TGPP36323], Radio Link Protocol (RLC) [TGPP36322], Medium Access Control protocol (MAC) [TGPP36321], and the Physical Layer [TGPP36201]. The Appendix gives more details of these protocols.

5.1.1. SCHC Entities Placing

The current architecture provides support for header compression in PDCP with RoHC [RFC5795]. Therefore SCHC entities can be deployed similarly without the need for significant changes in the 3GPP specifications.

In this scenario, RLC takes care of fragmentation unless for the transparent mode. When packets exceed the transport block size at the time of transmission, SCHC fragmentation is unnecessary and
should not be used to avoid the additional protocol overhead. It is not common to configure RLC in Transparent Mode for IP-based data. However, given the case in the future, SCHC fragmentation may be used. In that case, a SCHC tile would match the minimum transport block size minus the PDCP and MAC headers.

```
+---------+                              +---------+  |
|IP/non-IP|-------------------------------|IP/non-IP|->+
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| PDCP    +-------+ PDCP  | GTP|U  +------+ GTP-U   |->+
| (SCHC)  +       + (SCHC)|       +      +         |  |
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| RLC     +-------+ RLC   |UDP/IP +------+ UDP/IP  +->+
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| MAC     +-------+ MAC   |L2    +------+ L2      +->+
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| PHY     +-------+ PHY   | PHY     +------+ PHY     +->+
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| PHY     +-------+ PHY   | PHY     +------+ PHY     +->+
|---------|   |   +---------------+   |  +---------+  |
| PHY     +-------+ PHY   | PHY     +------+ PHY     +->+

+---------+        +---------+        +---------+        +---------+  |
| C-Uu/    |        | S1-U        |        | SGi        |
```

Figure 2: SCHC over the Radio link

5.2. SCHC over No-Access Stratum (NAS)

The NGW-MME conveys mainly control signaling between the Dev and the cellular network [TGPP24301]. The network transports this traffic on top of the radio link.

This kind of flow supports data transmissions to reduce the overhead when transmitting infrequent small quantities of data. This transmission is known as Data over No-Access Stratum (DoNAS) or Control Plane CIoT EPS optimization. In DoNAS, the Dev uses the pre-established security and piggyback small uplink data into the initial uplink message and uses an additional message to receive downlink small data response.

The NGW-MME performs the data encryption from the network side in a DoNAS PDU. Depending on the data type signaled indication (IP or non-IP data), the network allocates an IP address or establishes a direct forwarding path. DoNAS is regulated under rate control upon previous agreement, meaning that a maximum number of bits per unit of time is agreed upon per device subscription beforehand and configured in the device.
The system will use DoNAS when a terminal in a power-saving state requires a short transmission and receives an acknowledgment or short feedback from the network. Depending on the size of buffered data to transmit, the Dev might deploy the connected mode transmissions instead, limiting and controlling the DoNAS transmissions to predefined thresholds and a good resource optimization balance for the terminal and the network. The support for mobility of DoNAS is present but produces additional overhead. The Appendix gives additional details of DoNAS.

5.2.1. SCHC Entities Placing

SCHC may reside in the Non-Access Stratum (NAS) protocol layer in this scenario. The same principles as for Radio link transmissions apply here as well. The main difference is the physical placing of the SCHC entities on the network side as the NGW-MME resides in the core network and is the terminating node for NAS instead of the eNB.

*PDCP is bypassed until AS security is activated TGPP36300.

Figure 3
5.3. Parameters for Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)

5.3.1. SCHC Context initialization

RRC (Radio Resource Control) protocol is the main tool used to configure the parameters of the Radio link. It will configure SCHC and the static context distribution as it has made for RoHC operation [TGPP36323].

5.3.2. SCHC Rules

The network operator in these scenarios defines the number of rules in a context. The operator must be aware of the type of IP traffic that the device will carry out. Implying that the operator might use provision sets of rules compatible with the use case of the device. For devices acting as gateways of other devices, several rules may match the diversity of devices and protocols used by the devices associated with the gateway. Meanwhile, simpler devices (for example, an electricity meter) may have a predetermined set of fixed protocols and parameters. Additionally, the deployment of IPv4 addresses and IPv6 may force different rules to deal with each case.

5.3.3. Rule ID

There is a reasonable assumption of 9 bytes of radio protocol overhead for these transmission scenarios in NB-IoT, where PDCP uses 5 bytes due to header and integrity protection, and RLC and MAC use 4 bytes. The minimum physical Transport Blocks (TB) that can withhold this overhead value according to 3GPP Release 15 specifications are 88, 104, 120, and 144 bits. A transmission optimization may require only one physical layer transmission. SCHC overhead should not exceed the available number of effective bits of the smallest physical TB available. The packets handled by 3GPP networks are byte-aligned, and therefore the minimum payload possible (including padding) is 8 bits. Therefore in order to use the smallest TB, the maximum SCHC header is 12 bits. These 12 bits must include the Compression Residue in addition to the Rule ID. On the other hand, more complex NB-IoT devices (such as a capillarity gateway) might require additional bits to handle the variety and multiple parameters of higher-layer protocols deployed. In that sense, the operator may want to have flexibility on the number and type of rules supported by each device independently, and consequently, these scenarios require a configurable value. The configuration may be part of the operation profile agreed together with the content distribution. The Rule ID field size may range from 2 bits, resulting in 4 rules to an 8 bits value that would yield up to 256 rules that can be used together with the operators and seems quite a reasonable maximum limit even for a device acting as a NAT. More bits could be configured, but it should
consider the byte-alignment of the expected Compression Residue. In the minimum TB size case, 2 bits of Rule Id leave only 6 bits available for Compression Residue.

5.3.4. SCHC MAX_PACKET_SIZE

The Radio Link can handle the fragmentation of SCHC packets if needed, including reliability. Hence the packet size is limited by the MTU handled by the radio protocols that correspond to 1600 bytes for 3GPP Release 15.

5.3.5. Fragmentation

For these scenarios, the SCHC fragmentation functions are disabled. The RLC layer of NB-IoT can segment packets in suitable units that fit the selected transport blocks for transmissions of the physical layer. The blocks selection is made according to the link adaptation input function in the MAC layer and the quantity of data in the buffer. The link adaptation layer may produce different results at each Time Transmission Interval (TTI), resulting in varying physical transport blocks that depend on the network load, interference, number of bits transmitted, and QoS. Even if setting a value that allows the construction of data units following the SCHC tiles principle, the protocol overhead may be greater or equal than allowing the Radio link protocols to take care of the fragmentation natively.

5.3.5.1. Fragmentation in Transparent Mode

If RLC operates in Transparent Mode, there could be a case to activate a fragmentation function together with a light reliability function such as the ACK-Always mode. In practice, it is uncommon to transmit radio link data using this configuration. It mainly targets signaling transmissions. In those cases, the MAC layer mechanisms ensure reliability, such as repetitions or automatic retransmissions, and additional reliability might only generate protocol overhead.

SCHC may reduce radio network protocols overhead in future operations, support reliable transmissions, and transmit small data with fewer possible transmissions by using fixed or limited transport blocks compatible with the tiling SCHC fragmentation handling.

6. End-to-End Compression

The Non-IP Data Delivery (NIDD) services of 3GPP enable the transmission of SCHC packets compressed by the application layer. The packets can be delivered using IP-tunnels to the 3GPP network or NGW-SCEF functions (i.e., API calls). In both cases, as compression
occurs before transmission, the network will not understand the packet, and the network does not have context information of this compression. Therefore the network will treat the packet as Non-IP traffic and deliver it to the Dev without any other stack element, directly under the L2.

6.1. SCHC Entities Placing

In the two scenarios using End-to-End compression, SCHC entities are located almost on top of the stack. In the Dev, an application using the NB-IoT connectivity services may implement SCHC and the Application Server. The IP tunneling scenario requires that the Application Server send the compressed packet over an IP connection terminated by the 3GPP core network. If the transmission uses the NGW-SCEF services, it is possible to utilize an API call to transfer the SCHC packets between the core network and the Application Server. Also, an IP tunnel could be established by the Application Server if negotiated with the NGW-SCEF.

![Diagram of SCHC entities placed when using Non-IP Delivery (NIDD) 3GPP services](image)

Figure 4: SCHC entities placed when using Non-IP Delivery (NIDD) 3GPP services

6.2. Parameters for Static Context Header Compression

6.2.1. SCHC Context initialization

The application layer handles the static context; consequently, the context distribution must be according to the application’s capabilities, perhaps utilizing IP data transmissions up to context initialization. Also, the static contexts delivery may use the same IP tunneling or NGW-SCEF services used later for the SCHC packets transport.
6.2.2. SCHC Rules

Even when the transmission content is not visible for the 3GPP network, the same limitations as for IP-based data transmissions applies in these scenarios in terms of aiming to use the minimum number of transmission and minimize the protocol overhead.

6.2.3. Rule ID

Similar to the case of IP transmissions, the Rule ID size can be dynamically set before the context delivery. For example, negotiated between the applications when choosing a profile according to the type of traffic and application deployed. The same considerations related to the transport block size and performance mentioned for the IP type of traffic must be followed when choosing a size value for the Rule ID field.

6.2.4. SCHC MAX_PACKET_SIZE

In these scenarios, the maximum recommended MTU size that applies is 1358 Bytes since the SCHC packets (and fragments) are traversing the whole 3GPP network infrastructure (core and radio), not only the radio as the IP transmissions case.

6.3. Fragmentation

In principle, packets larger than 1358 bytes need the fragmentation function. Since the 3GPP uses reliability functions, the No-ACK fragmentation mode may be enough in point-to-point connections. Nevertheless, additional considerations are described below for more complex cases.

6.3.1. Fragmentation modes

A global service assigns a QoS to the packets depending on the billing. Packets with very low QoS may get lost before they arrive in the 3GPP radio network transmission, for example, in between the links of a capillarity gateway or due to buffer overflow handling in a backhaul connection. The use of SCHC fragmentation with the ACK-on-Error mode is recommended to secure additional reliability on the packets transmitted with a small trade-off on additional transmissions to signal the end-to-end arrival of the packets if no transport protocol takes care of retransmission. Also, the ACK-on-Error mode is even desirable to keep track of all the SCHC packets delivered. In that case, the fragmentation function could be active for all packets transmitted by the applications. SCHC ACK-on-Error fragmentation may be active for the transmission of non-IP packets on the NGW-MME. If these packets are considering to
use SCHC with the RuleID for non-compressing packets as {RFC8724} allows it.

6.3.2. Fragmentation Parameters

SCHC profile with the fragmentation mode will have specific Rules. SCHC defines the Rule ID according to the fragmentation mode; 2 bits could recognize all the fragmentation modes or another solution depending on the Rules implementation.

SCHC parametrization considers that NB-IoT aligns the bit and uses padding and the size of the Transfer Block. SCHC will try to reduce padding to optimize the compression of the information. The Header size needs to be multiple of 4, and the Tiles may keep a fixed value of 4 or 8 bits to avoid padding except for transfer block equals 16 bits where Tiles may be of 2 bits. For the other parameters, the transfer block size has a wide range that needs two configurations.

- For Transfer Blocks smaller than 300 bits: 8 bits-Header_size configuration, with the size of the header fields as follows: Rule ID 3 bits, DTag 1 bit, FCN 3 bits, W 1 bits.
- For Transfer Blocks bigger than 300 bits: 16 bits-Header_size configuration, with the size of the header fields as follows: Rules ID 8-10 bits, DTag 1 or 2 bits, FCN 3 bits, W 2 or 3 bits.

The IoT devices communicate with small data transfer and have a battery life of 10 years. These devices use the Power Save Mode and the Idle Mode DRX, which govern how often the device wakes up, stays up, and is reachable. Table 10.5.163a in {3GPP-TS_24.088} specifies a range for the radio timers as N to 3N in increments of one where the units of N can be 1 hour or 10 hours. To adapt SCHC to the NB-IoT activities, the Inactivity Timer and the Retransmission Timer may use these limits.

7. Padding

NB-IoT and 3GPP wireless access, in general, assume byte-aligned payload. Therefore the L2 word for NB-IoT MUST be considered 8 bits, and the padding treatment should use this value accordingly.

8. Security considerations

3GPP access security is specified in [TGPP33203].
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10. Appendix

10.1. NB-IoT User Plane protocol architecture

10.1.1. Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP)

Each of the Radio Bearers (RB) is associated with one PDCP entity. Moreover, a PDCP entity is associated with one or two RLC entities depending on the unidirectional or bi-directional characteristics of the RB and RLC mode used. A PDCP entity is associated with either a control plane or a user plane with independent configuration and functions. The maximum supported size for NB-IoT of a PDCP SDU is 1600 octets. The primary services and functions of the PDCP sublayer for NB-IoT for the user plane include:

- Header compression and decompression using ROHC (Robust Header Compression)
o Transfer of user and control data to higher and lower layers

o Duplicate detection of lower layer SDUs when re-establishing connection (when RLC with Acknowledge Mode in use for User Plane only)

o Ciphering and deciphering

o Timer-based SDU discard in uplink

10.1.2. Radio Link Protocol (RLC)

RLC is a layer-2 protocol that operates between the UE and the base station (eNB). It supports the packet delivery from higher layers to MAC, creating packets transmitted over the air, optimizing the Transport Block utilization. RLC flow of data packets is unidirectional, and it is composed of a transmitter located in the transmission device and a receiver located in the destination device. Therefore to configure bi-directional flows, two sets of entities, one in each direction (downlink and uplink), must be configured and effectively peered to each other. The peering allows the transmission of control packets (ex., status reports) between entities. RLC can be configured for data transfer in one of the following modes:

o Transparent Mode (TM). RLC does not segment or concatenate SDUs from higher layers in this mode and does not include any header to the payload. RLC receives SDUs from upper layers when acting as a transmitter and transmits directly to its flow RLC receiver via lower layers. Similarly, a TM RLC receiver would only deliver without processing the packets to higher layers upon reception.

o Unacknowledged Mode (UM). This mode provides support for segmentation and concatenation of payload. The RLC packet’s size depends on the indication given at a particular transmission opportunity by the lower layer (MAC) and is octets aligned. The packet delivery to the receiver does not include reliability support, and the loss of a segment from a packet means a complete packet loss. Also, in the case of lower layer retransmissions, there is no support for re-segmentation in case of change of the radio conditions triggering the selection of a smaller transport block. Additionally, it provides PDU duplication detection and discards, reordering of out-of-sequence, and loss detection.

o Acknowledged Mode (AM). In addition to the same functions supported by UM, this mode also adds a moving windows-based reliability service on top of the lower layer services. It also supports re-segmentation, and it requires bidirectional
communication to exchange acknowledgment reports called RLC Status Report and trigger retransmissions. This model also supports protocol error detection. The mode used depends on the operator configuration for the type of data to be transmitted. For example, data transmissions supporting mobility or requiring high reliability would be most likely configured using AM. Meanwhile, streaming and real-time data would be mapped to a UM configuration.

10.1.3. Medium Access Control (MAC)

MAC provides a mapping between the higher layers abstraction called Logical Channels comprised by the previously described protocols to the Physical layer channels (transport channels). Additionally, MAC may multiplex packets from different Logical Channels and prioritize what to fit into one Transport Block if there is data and space available to maximize data transmission efficiency. MAC also provides error correction and reliability support through HARQ, transport format selection, and scheduling information reporting from the terminal to the network. MAC also adds the necessary padding and piggyback control elements when possible and the higher layers data.
Figure 5: Example of User Plane packet encapsulation for two transport blocks

10.2. NB-IoT Data over NAS (DoNAS)

The Access Stratum (AS) protocol stack used by DoNAS is somehow particular. Since the security associations are not established yet in the radio network, to reduce the protocol overhead, PDCP (Packet Data Convergence Protocol) is bypassed until AS security is activated. RLC (Radio Link Control protocol) uses by default the AM mode, but depending on the network’s features and the terminal, it may change to other modes by the network operator. For example, the transparent mode does not add any header or process the payload to reduce the overhead, but the MTU would be limited by the transport block used to transmit the data, which is a couple of thousand bits maximum. If UM (only Release 15 compatible terminals) is used, the RLC mechanisms of reliability are disabled, and only the reliability provided by the MAC layer by Hybrid Automatic Repeat reQuest (HARQ) is available. In this case, the protocol overhead might be smaller than the AM case because of the lack of status reporting but with the...
same support for segmentation up to 16000 Bytes. NAS packets are encapsulated within an RRC (Radio Resource Control) TGPP36331 message.

Depending on the data type indication signaled (IP or non-IP data), the network allocates an IP address or establishes a direct forwarding path. DoNAS is regulated under rate control upon previous agreement, meaning that a maximum number of bits per unit of time is agreed upon per device subscription beforehand and configured in the device. The use of DoNAS is typically expected when a terminal in a power-saving state requires a short transmission and receiving an acknowledgment or short feedback from the network. Depending on the size of buffered data to transmit, the UE might be instructed to deploy the connected mode transmissions instead, limiting and controlling the DoNAS transmissions to predefined thresholds and a good resource optimization balance for the terminal the network. The support for mobility of DoNAS is present but produces additional overhead.
Figure 6: DoNAS transmission sequence from an Uplink initiated access
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Abstract

The Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation (SCHC) specification describes two mechanisms: i) an application header compression scheme, and ii) a frame fragmentation and loss recovery functionality. SCHC offers a great level of flexibility that can be tailored for different Low Power Wide Area Network (LPWAN) technologies.

The present document provides the optimal parameters and modes of operation when SCHC is implemented over a Sigfox LPWAN. This set of parameters are also known as a "SCHC over Sigfox profile."
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1. Introduction

The Generic Framework for Static Context Header Compression and Fragmentation (SCHC) specification [RFC8724] describes two mechanisms: i) a frame fragmentation and loss recovery functionality, and ii) an application header compression scheme. Either can be used on top of all the four LWPAN technologies defined in [RFC8376]. These LPWANs have similar characteristics such as star-oriented topologies, network architecture, connected devices with built-in applications, etc.

SCHC offers a great level of flexibility to accommodate all these LPWAN technologies. Even though there are a great number of similarities between them, some differences exist with respect to the transmission characteristics, payload sizes, etc. Hence, there are optimal parameters and modes of operation that can be used when SCHC is used on top of a specific LPWAN technology.

This document describes the recommended parameters, settings, and modes of operation to be used when SCHC is implemented over a Sigfox LPWAN. This set of parameters are also known as a "SCHC over Sigfox profile" or simply "SCHC/Sigfox."

2. Terminology

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the terms and mechanisms defined in [RFC8376] and in [RFC8724].

3. SCHC over Sigfox

The Generic SCHC Framework described in [RFC8724] takes advantage of the predictability of data flows existing in LPWAN applications to avoid context synchronization.

Contexts need to be stored and pre-configured on both ends. This can be done either by using a provisioning protocol, by out of band means, or by pre-provisioning them (e.g. at manufacturing time). The way contexts are configured and stored on both ends is out of the scope of this document.

3.1. Network Architecture

Figure 1 represents the architecture for compression/decompression (C/D) and fragmentation/reassembly (F/R) based on the terminology defined in [RFC8376], where the Radio Gateway (RG) is a Sigfox Base Station and the Network Gateway (NGW) is the Sigfox cloud-based Network.
In the case of the global Sigfox Network, RGs (or Base Stations) are distributed over multiple countries wherever the Sigfox LPWAN service is provided. The NGW (or cloud-based Sigfox Core Network) is a single entity that connects to all Sigfox base stations in the world, providing hence a global single star network topology.

The Device sends application flows that are compressed and/or fragmented by a SCHC Compressor/Decompressor (SCHC C/D + F/R) to reduce headers size and/or fragment the packet. The resulting SCHC Message is sent over a layer two (L2) Sigfox frame to the Sigfox Base Stations, which then forward the SCHC Message to the Network Gateway (NGW). The NGW then delivers the SCHC Message and associated gathered metadata to the Network SCHC C/D + F/R.

The Sigfox Network (NGW) communicates with the Network SCHC C/D + F/R for compression/decompression and/or for fragmentation/reassembly. The Network SCHC C/D + F/R shares the same set of rules as the Dev SCHC C/D + F/R. The Network SCHC C/D + F/R can be collocated with the NGW or it could be located in a different place, as long as a tunnel or secured communication is established between the NGW and the SCHC C/D + F/R functions. After decompression and/or reassembly, the packet can be forwarded over the Internet to one (or several) LPWAN Application Server(s) (App).

The SCHC C/D + F/R processes are bidirectional, so the same principles are applicable on both uplink (UL) and downlink (DL).
3.2. Uplink

Uplink Sigfox transmissions occur in repetitions over different times and frequencies. Besides time and frequency diversities, the Sigfox network also provides space diversity, as potentially an uplink message will be received by several base stations.

Since all messages are self-contained and base stations forward all these messages back to the same Sigfox Network, multiple input copies can be combined at the NGW providing for extra reliability based on the triple diversity (i.e., time, space and frequency).

A detailed description of the Sigfox Radio Protocol can be found in [sigfox-spec].

Messages sent from the Device to the Network are delivered by the Sigfox network (NGW) to the Network SCHC C/D + F/R through a callback/API with the following information:

- Device ID
- Message Sequence Number
- Message Payload
- Message Timestamp
- Device Geolocation (optional)
- RSSI (optional)
- Device Temperature (optional)
- Device Battery Voltage (optional)

The Device ID is a globally unique identifier assigned to the Device, which is included in the Sigfox header of every message. The Message Sequence Number is a monotonically increasing number identifying the specific transmission of this uplink message, and it is also part of the Sigfox header. The Message Payload corresponds to the payload that the Device has sent in the uplink transmission.

The Message Timestamp, Device Geolocation, RSSI, Device Temperature and Device Battery Voltage are metadata parameters provided by the Network.

A detailed description of the Sigfox callbacks/APIs can be found in [sigfox-callbacks].
Only messages that have passed the L2 Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) at network reception are delivered by the Sigfox Network to the Network SCHC C/D + F/R.

![Diagram](https://example.com/schc-sigfox-diagram)

Figure 2: SCHC Message in Sigfox

Figure 2 shows a SCHC Message sent over Sigfox, where the SCHC Message could be a full SCHC Packet (e.g. compressed) or a SCHC Fragment (e.g. a piece of a bigger SCHC Packet).

3.3. Downlink

Downlink transmissions are Device-driven and can only take place following an uplink communication that so indicates. Hence, a Device explicitly indicates its intention to receive a downlink message using a downlink request flag when sending the preceding uplink message to the network. After completing the uplink transmission, the Device opens a fixed window for downlink reception. The delay and duration of the reception opportunity window have fixed values. If there is a downlink message to be sent for this given Device (e.g. either a response to the uplink message or queued information waiting to be transmitted), the network transmits this message to the Device during the reception window. If no message is received by the Device after the reception opportunity window has elapsed, the Device closes the reception window opportunity and gets back to the normal mode (e.g., continue UL transmissions, sleep, stand-by, etc.)

When a downlink message is sent to a Device, a reception acknowledgement is generated by the Device and sent back to the Network through the Sigfox radio protocol and reported in the Sigfox Network backend.

A detailed description of the Sigfox Radio Protocol can be found in [sigfox-spec] and a detailed description of the Sigfox callbacks/APIs can be found in [sigfox-callbacks].
3.4. SCHC-ACK on Downlink

As explained previously, downlink transmissions are Device-driven and can only take place following a specific uplink transmission that indicates and allows a following downlink opportunity. For this reason, when SCHC bi-directional services are used (e.g. Ack-on-Error fragmentation mode) the SCHC protocol implementation needs to consider the times when a downlink message (e.g. SCHC-ACK) can be sent and/or received.

For the UL ACK-on-Error fragmentation mode, a DL opportunity MUST be indicated by the last fragment of every window (i.e. FCN = All-0, or FCN = All-1). The Device sends the fragments in sequence and, after transmitting the FCN = All-0 or FCN = All-1, it opens up a reception opportunity. The Network SCHC can then decide to respond at that opportunity (or wait for a further one) with a SCHC-ACK indicating in case there are missing fragments from the current or previous windows. If there is no SCHC-ACK to be sent, or if the network decides to wait for a further DL transmission opportunity, then no DL transmission takes place at that opportunity and after a timeout the UL transmissions continue. Intermediate SCHC fragments with FCN different from All-0 or All-1 MUST NOT use the DL request flag to request a SCHC-ACK.

3.5. SCHC Rules

The RuleID MUST be included in the SCHC header. The total number of rules to be used affects directly the Rule ID field size, and therefore the total size of the fragmentation header. For this reason, it is recommended to keep the number of rules that are defined for a specific device to the minimum possible.

RuleIDs can be used to differentiate data traffic classes (e.g. QoS, control vs. data, etc.), and data sessions. They can also be used to interleave simultaneous fragmentation sessions between a Device and the Network.

3.6. Fragmentation

The SCHC specification [RFC8724] defines a generic fragmentation functionality that allows sending data packets or files larger than the maximum size of a Sigfox payload. The functionality also defines a mechanism to send reliably multiple messages, by allowing to resend selectively any lost fragments.

The SCHC fragmentation supports several modes of operation. These modes have different advantages and disadvantages depending on the specifics of the underlying LPWAN technology and application Use
This section describes how the SCHC fragmentation functionality should optimally be implemented when used over a Sigfox LPWAN for the most typical Use Case applications.

As described in section 8.2.3 of [RFC8724], the integrity of the fragmentation-reassembly process of a SCHC Packet MUST be checked at the receive end. Since only UL messages/fragments that have passed the CRC-check are delivered to the Network SCHC C/D + F/R, and each one has an associated Sigfox Message Sequence Number (see Section 3.2), integrity can be guaranteed when no consecutive messages are missing from the sequence and all FCN bitmaps are complete. In order to support multiple flows/RuleIDs (potentially interleaved), the implementation of a central message sequence counter at the Network SCHC C/D + F/R is required. With this functionality and in order to save protocol overhead, the use of a dedicated Reassembly Check Sequence (RCS) is NOT RECOMMENDED.

The L2 Word Size used by Sigfox is 1 byte (8 bits).

3.6.1. Uplink Fragmentation

Sigfox uplink transmissions are completely asynchronous and take place in any random frequency of the allowed uplink bandwidth allocation. In addition, devices may go to deep sleep mode, and then wake up and transmit whenever there is a need to send information to the network. Data packets are self-contained (aka "message in a bottle") with all the required information for the network to process them accordingly. Hence, there is no need to perform any network attachment, synchronization, or other procedure before transmitting a data packet.

Since uplink transmissions are asynchronous, a SCHC fragment can be transmitted at any given time by the Device. Sigfox uplink messages are fixed in size, and as described in [RFC8376] they can carry 0-12 bytes payload. Hence, a single SCHC Tile size per fragmentation mode can be defined so that every Sigfox message always carries one SCHC Tile.

When the ACK-on-Error mode is used for uplink fragmentation, the SCHC Compound ACK defined in [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-compound-ack]) MUST be used in the downlink responses.

3.6.1.1. Uplink No-ACK Mode

No-ACK is RECOMMENDED to be used for transmitting short, non-critical packets that require fragmentation and do not require full reliability. This mode can be used by uplink-only devices that do
not support downlink communications, or by bidirectional devices when they send non-critical data.

Since there are no multiple windows in the No-ACK mode, the W bit is not present. However it is RECOMMENDED to use the FCN field to indicate the size of the data packet. In this sense, the data packet would need to be split into X fragments and, similarly to the other fragmentation modes, the first transmitted fragment would need to be marked with FCN = X-1. Consecutive fragments MUST be marked with decreasing FCN values, having the last fragment marked with FCN = (All-1). Hence, even though the No-ACK mode does not allow recovering missing fragments, it allows indicating implicitly the size of the expected packet to the Network and hence detect at the receiver side whether all fragments have been received or not.

The RECOMMENDED Fragmentation Header size is 8 bits, and it is composed as follows:

- RuleID size: 4 bits
- DTag size (T): 0 bits
- Fragment Compressed Number (FCN) size (N): 4 bits
- As per [RFC8724], in the No-ACK mode the W (window) field is not present.
- RCS size: 0 bits (Not used)

3.6.1.2. Uplink ACK-on-Error Mode: Single-byte SCHC Header

ACK-on-Error with single-byte header is RECOMMENDED for medium to large size packets that need to be sent reliably. ACK-on-Error is optimal for Sigfox transmissions, since it leads to a reduced number of ACKs in the lower capacity downlink channel. Also, downlink messages can be sent asynchronously and opportunistically.

Allowing transmission of packets/files up to 300 bytes long, the SCHC uplink Fragmentation Header size is RECOMMENDED to be 8 bits in size and is composed as follows:

- Rule ID size: 3 bits
- DTag size (T): 0 bits
- Window index (W) size (M): 2 bits
- Fragment Compressed Number (FCN) size (N): 3 bits
- MAX_ACK_REQUESTS: 5
- WINDOW_SIZE: 7 (with a maximum value of FCN=0b110)
- Tile size: 11 bytes
- Retransmission Timer: Application-dependent
- Inactivity Timer: Application-dependent
- RCS size: 0 bits (Not used)

The correspondent SCHC ACK in the downlink is 13 bits long, so padding is needed to complete the required 64 bits of Sigfox payload.

### 3.6.1.3. Uplink ACK-on-Error Mode: Two-byte SCHC Header

ACK-on-Error with two-byte header is RECOMMENDED for very large size packets that need to be sent reliably. ACK-on-Error is optimal for Sigfox transmissions, since it leads to a reduced number of ACKs in the lower capacity downlink channel. Also, downlink messages can be sent asynchronously and opportunistically.

In order to allow transmission of very large packets/files up to 2250 bytes long, the SCHC uplink Fragmentation Header size is RECOMMENDED to be 16 bits in size and composed as follows:

- Rule ID size is: 8 bits
- DTag size (T) is: 0 bits
- Window index (W) size (M): 3 bits
- Fragment Compressed Number (FCN) size (N): 5 bits.
- MAX_ACK_REQUESTS: 5
- WINDOW_SIZE: 31 (with a maximum value of FCN=0b11110)
- Tile size: 10 bytes
- Retransmission Timer: Application-dependent
- Inactivity Timer: Application-dependent
- RCS size: 0 bits (Not used)
The correspondent SCHC ACK in the downlink is 43 bits long, so padding is needed to complete the required 64 bits of Sigfox payload.

3.6.1.4. All-1 behaviour + Sigfox Sequence Number

For ACK-on-Error, as defined in [RFC8724], it is expected that the last SCHC fragment of the last window will always be delivered with an All-1 FCN. Since this last window may not be full (i.e. it may be comprised of less than WINDOW_SIZE fragments), an All-1 fragment may follow a value of FCN higher than 1 (0b01). In this case, the receiver could not derive from the FCN values alone whether there are any missing fragments right before the All-1 fragment or not.

However, since a Message Sequence Number is provided by the Sigfox protocol together with the Sigfox Payload, the receiver can detect if there are missing fragments before the All-1 and hence construct the corresponding SCHC ACK Bitmap accordingly.

3.6.2. Downlink Fragmentation

In some LPWAN technologies, as part of energy-saving techniques, downlink transmission is only possible immediately after an uplink transmission. This allows the device to go in a very deep sleep mode and preserve battery, without the need to listen to any information from the network. This is the case for Sigfox-enabled devices, which can only listen to downlink communications after performing an uplink transmission and requesting a downlink.

When there are fragments to be transmitted in the downlink, an uplink message is required to trigger the downlink communication. In order to avoid potentially high delay for fragmented datagram transmission in the downlink, the fragment receiver MAY perform an uplink transmission as soon as possible after reception of a downlink fragment that is not the last one. Such uplink transmission MAY be triggered by sending a SCHC message, such as a SCHC ACK. However, other data messages can equally be used to trigger DL communications.

Sigfox downlink messages are fixed in size, and as described in [RFC8376] they can carry up to 8 bytes payload. Hence, a single SCHC Tile size per mode can be defined so that every Sigfox message always carries one SCHC Tile.

For reliable downlink fragment transmission, the ACK-Always mode is RECOMMENDED.

The SCHC downlink Fragmentation Header size is RECOMMENDED to be 8 bits in size and is composed as follows:
3.7. SCHC-over-Sigfox F/R Message Formats

This section depicts the different formats of SCHC Fragment, SCHC ACK (including the SCHC Compound ACK defined in [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-compound-ack]), and SCHC Abort used in SCHC over Sigfox.

3.7.1. Uplink ACK-on-Error Mode: Single-byte SCHC Header

3.7.1.1. Regular SCHC Fragment

Figure 3 shows an example of a regular SCHC fragment for all fragments except the last one. As tiles are of 11 bytes, padding MUST NOT be added.

```
|-- SCHC Fragment Header --|   | ------------------- |   | --- + -- + -- + -- |
| RuleID |  W | FCN | Payload |
| 3 bits | 2 bits | 3 bits | 88 bits |
```

Figure 3: Regular SCHC Fragment format for all fragments except the last one

The use of SCHC ACK REQ is NOT RECOMMENDED, instead the All-1 SCHC Fragment SHOULD be used to request a SCHC ACK from the receiver.
(Network SCHC). As per [RFC8724], the All-0 message is distinguishable from the SCHC ACK REQ (All-1 message). The penultimate tile of a SCHC Packet is of regular size.

3.7.1.2. All-1 SCHC Fragment

Figure 4 shows an example of the All-1 message. The All-1 message MUST contain the last tile of the SCHC Packet. The last tile MUST be of at least 1 byte (one L2 word). Padding MUST NOT be added, as the resulting size is L2-word-multiple.

|--- SCHC Fragment Header ---|
+---------------------------+
| RuleID | W    | FCN=ALL-1 | Payload |
+ ------ + ------ + --------- + ------------ +
| 3 bits | 2 bits | 3 bits   | 8 to 88 bits |

Figure 4: All-1 SCHC Message format with last tile

As per [RFC8724] the All-1 must be distinguishable from a SCHC Sender-Abort message (with same Rule ID, M, and N values). The All-1 MUST have the last tile of the SCHC Packet, which MUST be of at least 1 byte. The SCHC Sender-Abort message header size is of 1 byte, with no padding bits.

For the All-1 message to be distinguishable from the Sender-Abort message, the Sender-Abort message MUST be of 1 byte (only header with no padding). This way, the minimum size of the All-1 is 2 bytes, and the Sender-Abort message is 1 byte.

3.7.1.3. SCHC ACK Format

Figure 5 shows the SCHC ACK format when all fragments have been correctly received (C=1). Padding MUST be added to complete the 64-bit Sigfox downlink frame payload size.

|---- SCHC ACK Header ----|
+-----------------------+
| RuleID | W    | C=b’1 | b’0-pad |
+ ------ + ------ + ----- + ------- +
| 3 bits | 2 bits | 1 bit | 58 bits |

Figure 5: SCHC Success ACK message format

In case SCHC fragment losses are found in any of the windows of the SCHC Packet (C=0), the SCHC Compound ACK defined in [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-compound-ack] MUST be used. The SCHC Compound ACK message format is shown in Figure 6. The window numbered 00, if present in the SCHC Compound ACK, MUST be placed between the Rule ID and the C bit to avoid confusion with padding bits. As padding is needed for the SCHC Compound ACK, padding bits MUST be 0 to make subsequent window numbers and bitmaps distinguishable.

Figure 6: SCHC Compound ACK message format

The following figures show examples of the SCHC Compound ACK message format, when used on SCHC over Sigfox.

Figure 7: SCHC Compound ACK example 1

Figure 8: SCHC Compound ACK example 2
Figure 9: SCHC Compound ACK example 3

Figure 10 shows the SCHC Compound ACK message format when losses are found in all windows. The window numbers and its corresponding bitmaps are ordered from window numbered 00 to 11, notifying all four possible windows.

Losses are found in windows 00 and 10.

Figure 10: SCHC Compound ACK example 4

Losses are found in windows 00, 01, 10 and 11.

Figure 11: SCHC Compound ACK example 5

Losses are found in windows 00, 01 and 10.
3.7.1.4. SCHC Sender-Abort Message format

<p>|---- Sender-Abort Header ----|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RuleID</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>FCN=ALL-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 bits</td>
<td>2 bits</td>
<td>3 bits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12: SCHC Sender-Abort message format

3.7.1.5. SCHC Receiver-Abort Message format

<p>| Receiver-Abort Header |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RuleID</th>
<th>W=b’11</th>
<th>C=b’1</th>
<th>b’1-pad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 bits</td>
<td>2 bits</td>
<td>1 bit</td>
<td>58 bits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: SCHC Receiver-Abort message format

3.7.2. Uplink ACK-on-Error Mode: Two-byte SCHC Header

3.7.2.1. Regular SCHC Fragment

Figure 14 shows an example of a regular SCHC fragment for all fragments except the last one. The penultimate tile of a SCHC Packet is of the regular size.

<p>|-- SCHC Fragment Header --|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RuleID</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>FCN</th>
<th>Payload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 bits</td>
<td>3 bits</td>
<td>5 bits</td>
<td>80 bits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Regular SCHC Fragment format for all fragments except the last one

The use of SCHC ACK is NOT RECOMMENDED, instead the All-1 SCHC Fragment SHOULD be used to request a SCHC ACK from the receiver (Network SCHC). As per [RFC8724], the All-0 message is distinguishable from the SCHC ACK REQ (All-1 message).
3.7.2.2. All-1 SCHC Fragment

Figure 15 shows an example of the All-1 message. The All-1 message MUST contain the last tile of the SCHC Packet.

```
|--- SCHC Fragment Header ---|
+--------------------------- + ------------ +
| RuleID |   W    | FCN=ALL-1 |    Payload   |
+ ------ + ------ + --------- + ------------ +
| 8 bits | 3 bits |  5 bits   | 8 to 80 bits |
```

Figure 15: All-1 SCHC message format with last tile

As per [RFC8724] the All-1 must be distinguishable from the a SCHC Sender-Abort message (with same Rule ID, M and N values). The All-1 MUST have the last tile of the SCHC Packet, that MUST be of at least 1 byte. The SCHC Sender-Abort message header size is of 2 byte, with no padding bits.

For the All-1 message to be distinguishable from the Sender-Abort message, the Sender-Abort message MUST be of 2 byte (only header with no padding). This way, the minimum size of the All-1 is 3 bytes, and the Sender-Abort message is 2 bytes.

3.7.2.3. SCHC ACK Format

Figure 16 shows the SCHC ACK format when all fragments have been correctly received (C=1). Padding MUST be added to complete the 64-bit Sigfox downlink frame payload size.

```
|----- SCHC ACK Header ----|
+------------------------ + ------ +
| RuleID |    W   | C=b'1 | b'0-pad |
+ ------ + ------ + ----- + ------- +
| 8 bits | 3 bits | 1 bit | 52 bits |
```

Figure 16: SCHC Success ACK message format

The SCHC Compound ACK message MUST be used in case SCHC fragment losses are found in any window of the SCHC Packet (C=0). The SCHC Compound ACK message format is shown in Figure 17. The SCHC Compound ACK can report up to 3 windows with losses. The window number (W) and its corresponding bitmap MUST be ordered from the lowest-numbered window number to the highest-numbered window. If window numbered 000
is present in the SCHC Compound ACK, the window number 000 MUST be
placed between the Rule ID and C bit to avoid confusion with padding
bits. The SCHC Compound ACK MUST be 0 padded (Padding bits must be
0).

| SCHC ACK Header - | W=b’x |...| --- W=b’x+i --- |
+-------------------+-------+...+-------+-------+-------+
| RuleID | W=b’x | C=b’0 | Bitmap | ... | W=b’x+i | Bitmap | b’0-pad |
+-------------------+-------+...+-------+-------+-------+
| 8 bits | 3 bits | 1 bit | 31 bits | | 3 bits | 31 bits |

On top are noted the window number
of the corresponding bitmap.
Losses are found in windows x,...,x+i.

Figure 17: SCHC Compound ACK message format

3.7.2.4. SCHC Sender-Abort Messages

|---- Sender-Abort Header ----|
+ --------------------------- +
| RuleID | W | FCN=ALL-1 |
+ ------ + ------ + --------- +
| 8 bits | 3 bits | 5 bits |

Figure 18: SCHC Sender-Abort message format

3.7.2.5. SCHC Receiver-Abort Message

|-- Receiver-Abort Header --|
+ ------------------------- +
| RuleID | W=b’111 | C=b’1 | b’1-pad |
+ ------ + ------ + ------ + ------ +
| 8 bits | 3 bits | 1 bit | 52 bits |

Figure 19: SCHC Receiver-Abort message format

3.8. SCHC-Sender Abort

- As defined in [RFC8724], a SCHC-Sender Abort can be triggered when
  the number of SCHC ACK REQ attempts is greater than or equal to
  MAX_ACK_REQUESTS. In the case of SCHC/Sigfox, a SCHC-Sender Abort
MUST be sent if the number of repeated All-1s sent in a row is greater than or equal to MAX_ACK_REQUESTS.

- The MAX_ACK_REQUEST counter MUST be reset when a SCHC ACK is successfully received.

### 3.9. SCHC-Receiver Abort

- As defined in [RFC8724], a SCHC-Receiver Abort is triggered when the receiver has no RuleID and DTag pairs available for a new session. In the case of SCHC/Sigfox a SCHC-Receiver Abort MUST be sent if, for a single device, all the RuleIDs are being processed by the receiver (i.e., have an active session) at a certain time, or if the RuleID of the fragment is not valid.

- A SCHC-Receiver Abort MUST be triggered when the Inactivity Timer expires.

- A SCHC-Receiver Abort can be triggered when the number of ACK attempts is not strictly less than MAX_ACK_REQUESTS. In the case of SCHC/Sigfox, a SCHC-Receiver Abort MUST be sent if the number of repeated SCHC ACKs sent in a row (i.e., synchronized with the ACK REQ case) is greater than or equal to MAX_ACK_REQUESTS.

- For SCHC/Sigfox implementations, the MAX_ACK_REQUESTS counter MUST be reset when a SCHC ACK is successfully received.

- Although a SCHC-Receiver Abort can be triggered at any point in time, a SCHC-Receiver Abort downlink message MUST only be sent when there is a downlink transmission opportunity.

### 3.10. Padding

The Sigfox payload fields have different characteristics in uplink and downlink.

Uplink frames can contain a payload size from 0 to 12 bytes. The Sigfox radio protocol allows sending zero bits, one single bit of information for binary applications (e.g. status), or an integer number of bytes. Therefore, for 2 or more bits of payload it is required to add padding to the next integer number of bytes. The reason for this flexibility is to optimize transmission time and hence save battery consumption at the device.

Downlink frames on the other hand have a fixed length. The payload length MUST be 64 bits (i.e. 8 bytes). Hence, if less information bits are to be transmitted, padding MUST be used with bits equal to 0.
4. Fragmentation Sequence Examples

In this section, some sequence diagrams depicting messages exchanges for different fragmentation modes and use cases are shown. In the examples, 'Seq' indicates the Sigfox Sequence Number of the frame carrying a fragment.

4.1. Uplink No-ACK Examples

The FCN field indicates the size of the data packet. The first fragment is marked with FCN = X-1, where X is the number of fragments the message is split into. All fragments are marked with decreasing FCN values. Last packet fragment is marked with the FCN = All-1 (1111).

Case No losses - All fragments are sent and received successfully.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>Receiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ------ | -----
| FCN=6 (0110), Seq=1 |--------> |
| FCN=5 (0101), Seq=2 |--------> |
| FCN=4 (0100), Seq=3 |--------> |
| FCN=3 (0011), Seq=4 |--------> |
| FCN=2 (0010), Seq=5 |--------> |
| FCN=1 (0001), Seq=6 |--------> |
| FCN=15 (1111), Seq=7 |--------> |

(End)

Figure 20: UL No-ACK No-Losses

When the first SCHC fragment is received, the Receiver can calculate the total number of SCHC fragments that the SCHC Packet is composed of. For example, if the first fragment is numbered with FCN=6, the receiver can expect six more messages/fragments (i.e., with FCN going from 5 downwards, and the last fragment with a FCN equal to 15).

Case losses on any fragment except the first.
4.2. Uplink ACK-on-Error Examples: Single-byte SCHC Header

The single-byte SCHC header ACK-on-Error mode allows sending up to 28 fragments and packet sizes up to 300 bytes. The SCHC fragments may be delivered asynchronously and DL ACK can be sent opportunistically.

Case No losses

The downlink flag must be enabled in the sender UL message to allow a DL message from the receiver. The DL Enable in the figures shows where the sender should enable the downlink, and wait for an ACK.

Figure 22: UL ACK-on-Error No-Losses
Case Fragment losses in first window

In this case, fragments are lost in the first window (W=0). After the first All-0 message arrives, the Receiver leverages the opportunity and sends a SCHC ACK with the corresponding bitmap and C=0.

After the loss fragments from the first window (W=0) are resent, the sender continues transmitting the fragments of the following window (W=1) without opening a reception opportunity. Finally, the All-1 fragment is sent, the downlink is enabled, and the SCHC ACK is received with C=1.

Sender                      Receiver
|-----W=0, FCN=6, Seq=1----->|<------ ACK, W=0, C=0 ------| Bitmap:1011011
|-----W=0, FCN=5, Seq=2--X-->|
|-----W=0, FCN=4, Seq=3------|
|-----W=0, FCN=3, Seq=4------|
|-----W=0, FCN=2, Seq=5--X-->|
|-----W=0, FCN=1, Seq=6------|
|-----W=0, FCN=0, Seq=7------>|
|-----W=0, FCN=6, Seq=10---->|
|-----W=0, FCN=5, Seq=11---->|
|-----W=0, FCN=4, Seq=12---->|
|-----W=0, FCN=3, Seq=13----->
|-----W=0, FCN=2, Seq=14-----|
|-----W=0, FCN=1, Seq=15-----|
<------- ACK, W=1, C=1 ------| C=1

Figure 23: UL ACK-on-Error Losses on First Window

Case Fragment All-0 lost in first window (W=0)

In this example, the All-0 of the first window (W=0) is lost. Therefore, the Receiver waits for the next All-0 message of intermediate windows, or All-1 message of last window to generate the corresponding SCHC ACK, notifying the absence of the All-0 of window 0.

The sender resends the missing All-0 messages (with any other missing fragment from window 0) without opening a reception opportunity.
In the following diagram, besides the All-0 there are other fragment losses in the first window (W=0).
In the next examples, there are fragment losses in both the first (W=0) and second (W=1) windows. The retransmission cycles after the All-1 is sent (i.e., not in intermediate windows) should always finish with an All-1, as it serves as an ACK Request message to confirm the correct reception of the retransmitted fragments.

Figure 25: UL ACK-on-Error All-0 and other Fragments Lost on First Window

Sender                      Receiver
-----W=0, FCN=6, Seq=1-----
-----W=0, FCN=5, Seq=2--X-->
-----W=0, FCN=4, Seq=3-----
-----W=0, FCN=3, Seq=4--X-->
-----W=0, FCN=2, Seq=5-----
-----W=0, FCN=1, Seq=6-----
DL Enable                (no ACK)

-----W=0, FCN=0, Seq=7--X-->

-----W=1, FCN=6, Seq=8-----
-----W=1, FCN=5, Seq=9-----
-----W=1, FCN=4, Seq=10-----
DL Enable                Missing Fragment W=0 => FCN= 5, 3 and 0
<-------- ACK, W=0, C=0 --------

Bitmap:1010110

-----W=0, FCN=5, Seq=13-----
-----W=0, FCN=3, Seq=14-----
-----W=0, FCN=0, Seq=15-----
DL Enable                 All fragments received
<-------- ACK, W=1, C=1 --------

C=1

(End)
Figure 26: UL ACK-on-Error All-0 and other Fragments Lost on First and Second Windows (1)

Similar case as above, but with less fragments in the second window (W=1)
SCHC over Sigfox does not implement the SCHC ACK REQ message. Instead it uses the SCHC All-1 message to request a SCHC ACK, when required.
Case SCHC Compound ACK at the end

In this example, SCHC Fragment losses are found in both windows 0 and 1. However, the sender does not send a SCHC ACK after the All-0 of window 0. Instead, it sends a SCHC Compound ACK notifying losses of both windows.
The number of times the same SCHC ACK message will be retransmitted is determined by the MAX_ACK_REQUESTS.

4.3. SCHC Abort Examples

Case SCHC Sender-Abort

The sender may need to send a Sender-Abort to stop the current communication. This may happen, for example, if the All-1 has been sent MAX_ACK_REQUESTS times.
### Figure 30: UL ACK-on-Error Sender-Abort

**Case Receiver-Abort**

The receiver may need to send a Receiver-Abort to stop the current communication. This message can only be sent after a DL enable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sender</th>
<th>Receiver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=6, Seq=1------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=5, Seq=2------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=4, Seq=3------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=3, Seq=4------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=2, Seq=5------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=1, Seq=6------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL Enable</td>
<td>-----W=0, FCN=0, Seq=7------&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(End)

All fragments received

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=11------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=14------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=15------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=16------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=17------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=18------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------W=1, FCN=7, Seq=19------>

<------ ACK, W=1, C=1 ---X-- C=1

DL Enable <------Sender-Abort, Seq=19------> exit with error condition

(End)
5. Security considerations

The radio protocol authenticates and ensures the integrity of each message. This is achieved by using a unique device ID and an AES-128 based message authentication code, ensuring that the message has been generated and sent by the device with the ID claimed in the message.

Application data can be encrypted at the application level or not, depending on the criticality of the use case. This flexibility allows providing a balance between cost and effort vs. risk. AES-128 in counter mode is used for encryption. Cryptographic keys are independent for each device. These keys are associated with the device ID and separate integrity and confidentiality keys are pre-provisioned. A confidentiality key is only provisioned if confidentiality is to be used.

The radio protocol has protections against reply attacks, and the cloud-based core network provides firewalling protection against undesired incoming communications.
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1. Introduction

2. SCHC rules

SCHC is a compression and fragmentation mechanism for constrained networks defined in [RFC8724]. It is based on a static context shared by two entities at the boundary this constrained network. Draft [RFC8724] provides a non formal representation of the rules used either for compression/decompression (or C/D) or fragmentation/reassembly (or F/R). The goal of this document is to formalize the description of the rules to offer:

* the same definition on both ends, even if the internal representation is different.
This document defines a YANG module to represent both compression and fragmentation rules, which leads to common representation for values for all the rules elements.

SCHC compression is generic, the main mechanism does not refer to a specific protocol. Any header field is abstracted through an ID, a position, and a value that can be a numerical value or a string. [RFC8724] and [RFC8824] specifies fields for IPv6, UDP, CoAP and OSCORE. [I-D.barthel-lpwan-oam-schc] describes ICMPv6 header compression and [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-compound-ack] includes a new fragmentation behavior.

SCHC fragmentation requires a set of common parameters that are included in a rule. These parameters are defined in [RFC8724].

2.1. Compression Rules

[RFC8724] proposes a non formal representation of the compression rule. A compression context for a device is composed of a set of rules. Each rule contains information to describe a specific field in the header to be compressed.

```
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
|                      Rule N                                     |
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+
|                    Rule i                                       ||
+-----------------------------------------------------------------+||
|  (FID)            Rule 1                                        |||
+-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+|||
||Field 1|FL|FP|DI|Target Value|Matching Operator|Comp/Decomp Act|||
+-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+|||
||Field 2|FL|FP|DI|Target Value|Matching Operator|Comp/Decomp Act|||
+-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+|||
||...    |..|..|..|   ...      | ...             | ...           |||
+-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+||/
||Field N|FL|FP|DI|Target Value|Matching Operator|Comp/Decomp Act|||
+-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+ |>Figure 1: Compression Decompression Context
```
2.2. Identifier generation

Identifier used in the SCHC YANG Data Model are from the identityref statement to ensure to be globally unique and be easily augmented if needed. The principle to define a new type based on a group of identityref is the following:

* define a main identity ending with the keyword base-type.
* derive all the identity used in the Data Model from this base type.
* create a typedef from this base type.

The example (Figure 2) shows how an identityref is created for RCS algorithms used during SCHC fragmentation.

```yang
// -- RCS algorithm types

identity rcs-algorithm-base-type {
  description
    "identify which algorithm is used to compute RSC.
    The algorithm also defines the size if the RSC field.";
}

identity rcs-RFC8724 {
  base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
  description
    "CRC 32 defined as default RCS in RFC8724.";
}

typedef rcs-algorithm-type {
  type identityref {
    base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
  }
  description
    "type used in rules";
}
```

Figure 2: Principle to define a type based on identityref.
2.3. Field Identifier

In the process of compression, the headers of the original packet are first parsed to create a list of fields. This list of fields is matched against the rules to find the appropriate one and apply compression. The link between the list given by the parsed fields and the rules is done through a field ID. [RFC8724] do not state how the field ID value can be constructed. In examples, identification is done through a string indexed by the protocol name (e.g. IPv6.version, CoAP.version,...).

The current YANG Data Model includes fields definitions found in [RFC8724], [RFC8824], and [I-D.barthel-lpwan-oam-schc].

Using the YANG model, each field MUST be identified through a global YANG identityref. A YANG field ID for the protocol always derives from the fid-base-type. Then an identity for each protocol is specified using the naming convention fid-<<protocol name>>-base-type. All possible fields for this protocol MUST derive from the protocol identity. The naming convention is "fid" followed by the protocol name and the field name. If a field has to be divided into sub-fields, the field identity serves as a base.

The full field-id definition is found in Section 7. The example Figure 3 gives the first field ID definitions. A type is defined for IPv6 protocol, and each field is based on it. Note that the DiffServ bits derives from the Traffic Class identity.
identity fid-base-type {
    description
        "Field ID base type for all fields";
}

identity fid-ipv6-base-type {
    base fid-base-type;
    description
        "Field IP base type for IPv6 headers described in RFC 8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-version {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "IPv6 version field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-trafficclass {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "IPv6 Traffic Class field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-trafficclass-ds {
    base fid-ipv6-trafficclass;
    description
        "IPv6 Traffic Class field from RFC8200,
         DiffServ field from RFC3168";
}

...

Figure 3: Definition of identityref for field IDs

The type associated to this identity is fid-type (cf. Figure 4)

typedef fid-type {
    type identityref {
    base fid-base-type;
    } description
        "Field ID generic type.";
}

Figure 4: Type definition for field IDs
2.4. Field length

Field length is either an integer giving the size of a field in bits or a specific function. [RFC8724] defines the "var" function which allows variable length fields in byte and [RFC8824] defines the "tkl" function for managing the CoAP Token length field.

The naming convention is "fl" followed by the function name.

```yang
identity fl-base-type {
    description
    "Used to extend field length functions";
}

identity fl-variable {
    base fl-base-type;
    description
    "Residue length in Byte is sent defined in
     for CoAP in RFC 8824 (cf. 5.3)";
}

identity fl-token-length {
    base fl-base-type;
    description
    "Residue length in Byte is sent defined in
     for CoAP in RFC 8824 (cf. 4.5)";
}
```

Figure 5: Definition of identityref for Field Length

As for field ID, field length function can be defined as an identityref as shown in Figure 5.

Therefore, the type for field length is a union between an integer giving in bits the size of the length and the identityref (cf. Figure 6).

```yang
typedef fl-type {
    type union {
        type int64; /* positive length in bits */
        type identityref { /* function */
            base fl-base-type;
        }
    }
    description
    "Field length either a positive integer giving the size in bits
     or a function defined through an identityref.";
}
```
2.5. Field position

Field position is a positive integer which gives the position of a field, the default value is 1, but if the field is repeated several times, the value is higher. Value 0 indicates that the position is not important and is not considered during the rule selection process.

Field position is a positive integer. The type is an uint8.

2.6. Direction Indicator

The Direction Indicator (di) is used to tell if a field appears in both direction (Bi) or only uplink (Up) or Downlink (Dw).

```yaml
identity di-base-type {
    description
        "Used to extend field length functions";
}

identity di-bidirectional {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of bi directionality in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}

identity di-up {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of upstream defined in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}

identity di-down {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of downstream defined in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}
```

Figure 7: Definition of identityref for direction indicators

Figure 7 gives the identityref for Direction Indicators. The naming convention is "di" followed by the Direction Indicator name.
The type is "direction-indicator-type" (cf. Figure 8).

typedef di-type {
  type identityref {
    base di-base-type;
  }
  description
  "Direction in LPWAN network, up when emitted by the device, down when received by the device, bi when emitted or received by the device.";
}

Figure 8: Type definition for direction indicators

2.7. Target Value

The Target Value is a list of binary sequences of any length, aligned on the left. Figure 9 gives the definition of a single element of a Target Value. In the rule, this will be used as a list, with position as a key. The highest position value is used to compute the size of the index sent in residue for LSB CDA. The position allows to specify several values:

* For Equal and LSB, a single value is used, such as for the equal or LSB CDA, the position is set to 0.

* For match-mapping, several of these values can be contained in a Target Value field. In the data model, this is generalized by adding a position, which orders the list of values. Position values must start from 0 and be contiguous.

grouping tv-struct {
  description
  "Define the target value element. Always a binary type, strings must be converted to binary. field-id allows the conversion to the appropriate type.";
  leaf value {
    type binary;
  }
  leaf position {
    type uint16;
    description
    "If only one element position is 0, otherwise position is the matching list.";
  }
}

Figure 9: Definition of target value
2.8. Matching Operator

Matching Operator (MO) is a function applied between a field value provided by the parsed header and the target value. [RFC8724] defines 4 MO as listed in Figure 10.

```yang
identity mo-base-type {
    description
        "Used to extend Matching Operators with SID values";
}

identity mo-equal {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "Equal MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-ignore {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "Ignore MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-msb {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "MSB MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-matching {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "match-mapping MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}
```

Figure 10: Definition of identityref for Matching Operator

The naming convention is "mo" followed by the MO name.

The type is "matching-operator-type" (cf. Figure 11)

```yang
typedef mo-type {
    type identityref {
        base mo-base-type;
    }
    description
        "Matching Operator (MO) to compare fields values with target values";
}
```
Figure 11: Type definition for Matching Operator

2.8.1. Matching Operator arguments

Some Matching Operator such as MSB can take some values. Even if currently LSB is the only MO takes only one argument, in the future some MO may require several arguments. They are viewed as a list of target-values-type.

2.9. Compression Decompression Actions

Compression Decompression Action (CDA) identified the function to use either for compression or decompression. [RFC8724] defines 6 CDA.

Figure 13 gives some CDA definition, the full definition is in Section 7.

```
identity cda-base-type {
    description
    "Compression Decompression Actions";
}

identity cda-not-sent {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
    "not-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-value-sent {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
    "value-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-lsb {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
    "LSB CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-mapping-sent {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
    "mapping-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

....
```
Figure 12: Definition of identityref for Compression Decompression Action

The naming convention is "cda" followed by the CDA name.

typedef cda-type {
  type identityref {
    base cda-base-type;
  }
  description
    "Compression Decompression Action to compression or decompress a field."
}

Figure 13: Type definition for Compression Decompression Action

2.9.1. Compression Decompression Action arguments

Currently no CDA requires arguments, but the future some CDA may require several arguments. They are viewed as a list of target-values-type.

2.10. Fragmentation rule

Fragmentation is optional in the data model and depends on the presence of the "fragmentation" feature.

Most of parameters for fragmentation are defined in Annex D of [RFC8724].

Since fragmentation rules work for a specific direction, they contain a mandatory direction. The type is the same as the one used in compression entries, but the use of bidirectional is forbidden.

2.10.1. Fragmentation mode

[RFC8724] defines 3 fragmentation modes:

* No Ack: this mode is unidirectional, no acknowledgment is sent back.

* Ack Always: each fragmentation window must be explicitly acknowledged before going to the next.

* Ack on Error: A window is acknowledged only when the receiver detects some missing fragments.

Figure 14 give the definition for identifiers from these three modes.
identity fragmentation-mode-base-type {
    description
    "Fragmentation mode";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-no-ack {
    base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
    description
    "No Ack of RFC 8724.";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-ack-always {
    base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
    description
    "Ack Always of RFC8724.";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error {
    base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
    description
    "Ack on Error of RFC8724.";
}

typedef fragmentation-mode-type {
    type identityref {
        base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
    }
    description
    "type used in rules";
}

Figure 14: Definition of fragmentation mode identifier

The naming convention is "fragmentation-mode" followed by the fragmentation mode name.

2.10.2. Fragmentation Header

A data fragment header, directly following the rule ID can be sent on the fragmentation direction. The direction is mandatory and must be up or down. bidirectional is forbidden. The SCHC header may be composed of (cf. Figure 15):

* a Datagram Tag (Dtag) identifying the datagram being fragmented if the fragmentation applies concurrently on several datagrams. This field in optional and its length is defined by the rule.
* a Window (W) used in Ack-Always and Ack-on-Error modes. In Ack-
Always, its size is 1 and depends on the rule in Ack-on-Error.
This field is not need in No-Ack mode.

* a Fragment Compressed Number (FCN) indicating the fragment/tile
position on the window. This field is mandatory on all modes
defined in [RFC8724], its size is defined by the rule.

--- SCHC Fragment Header ----
|-- T --|-- M-|-- N --|
+- ... +-- ... +--------+- ... +--------+- ... +--------+- ... +--------+-
| RuleID | DTag | W | FCN | Fragment Payload | padding (as needed)
+- ... +-- ... +--------+- ... +--------+- ... +--------+- ... +--------+-

Figure 15: Data fragment header from RFC8724

2.10.3. Last fragment format

The last fragment of a datagram is sent with an RCS (Reassembly Check
Sequence) field to detect residual transmission error and possible
losses in the last window. [RFC8724] defines a single algorithm
based on Ethernet CRC computation. The identity of the RCS algorithm
is shown in Figure 16.

// -- RCS algorithm types

identity rcs-algorithm-base-type {
  description
    "Identify which algorithm is used to compute RSC.
The algorithm defines also the size if the RSC field.";
}

identity rcs-RFC8724 {
  base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
  description
    "CRC 32 defined as default RCS in RFC8724.";
}

typedef rcs-algorithm-type {
  type identityref {
    base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
  }
  description
    "type used in rules";
}

Figure 16: type definition for RCS
The naming convention is "rcs" followed by the algorithm name.

For Ack-on-Error mode, the All-1 fragment may just contain the RCS or can include a tile. The parameters defined in Figure 17 allows to define the behavior:

* all1-data-no: the last fragment contains no data, just the RCS
* all1-data-yes: the last fragment includes a single tile and the RCS
* all1-data-sender-choice: the last fragment may or may not contain a single tile. The receiver can detect if a tile is present.

// -- All1 with data types

identity all1-data-base-type {  
  description  
    "Type to define when to send an Acknowledgment message";
}

identity all1-data-no {  
  base all1-data-base-type;  
  description  
    "All1 contains no tiles.";
}

identity all1-data-yes {  
  base all1-data-base-type;  
  description  
    "All1 MUST contain a tile";
}

identity all1-data-sender-choice {  
  base all1-data-base-type;  
  description  
    "Fragmentation process choose to send tiles or not in all1.";
}

typedef all1-data-type {  
  type identityref {  
    base all1-data-base-type;
  }  
  description  
    "Type used in rules";
}

Figure 17: type definition for RCS
The naming convention is "all1-data" followed by the behavior identifier.

2.10.4. Acknowledgment behavior

A cknowledge fragment header goes in the opposite direction of data. The header is composed of (see Figure 18):

* a Dtag (if present).
* a mandatory window as in the data fragment.
* a C bit giving the status of RCS validation. In case of failure, a bitmap follows, indicating received fragment/tile. The size of the bitmap is given by the FCN value.

NOTE: IN THE DATA MODEL THERE IS A max-window-size FIELD TO LIMIT THE BITMAP SIZE, BUT IS NO MORE IN RFC8724! DO WE KEEP IT?

```
|--- SCHC ACK Header ----|
|-- T --|--M--| 1 |
|-- T --|--M--| 1 |
| RuleID | DTag | W |C=1| padding as needed                (success)
| RuleID | DTag | W |C=0|Compressed Bitmap| pad. as needed (failure)
```

Figure 18: Acknowledgment fragment header for RFC8724

For Ack-on-Error, SCHC defined when acknowledgment can be sent. This can be at any time defined by the layer 2, at the end of a window (FCN All-0) or at the end of the fragment (FCN All-1). The following identifiers (cf. Figure 19) define the acknowledgment behavior.
// -- Ack behavior

identity ack-behavior-base-type {
   description
   "Define when to send an Acknowledgment message";
}

identity ack-behavior-after-All0 {
   base ack-behavior-base-type;
   description
   "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending All0 fragment.";
}

identity ack-behavior-after-All1 {
   base ack-behavior-base-type;
   description
   "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending All1 fragment.";
}

identity ack-behavior-always {
   base ack-behavior-base-type;
   description
   "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending every fragment.";
}

typedef ack-behavior-type {
   type identityref {
      base ack-behavior-base-type;
   }
   description
   "Type used in rules";
}

Figure 19: bitmap generation behavior

The naming convention is "ack-behavior" followed by the algorithm name.

For Ack-onError, [RFC8724] allows a single bitmap in an acknowledged fragment, and [I-D.ietf-lpwan-schc-compound-ack] proposes to acknowledge several windows on a single ack fragment. The following identifiers (cf. Figure 20) define the behavior.
identity bitmap-format-base-type {
    description
        "Define how the bitmap is defined in ACK messages.";
}

identity bitmap-RFC8724 {
    base bitmap-format-base-type;
    description
        "Bitmap as defined in RFC8724.";
}

identity bitmap-compound-ack {
    base bitmap-format-base-type;
    description
        "Compound Ack.";
}

typedef bitmap-format-type {
    type identityref {
        base bitmap-format-base-type;
    }
    description
        "type used in rules";
}

Figure 20: bitmap generation behavior

The naming convention is "bitmap" followed by the algorithm name.

2.10.5. Fragmentation Parameters

The state machine requires some common values to handle fragmentation:

* retransmission-timer gives in seconds the duration before sending an ack request (cf. section 8.2.2.4. of [RFC8724]). If specified, value must be higher or equal to 1.

* inactivity-timer gives in seconds the duration before aborting (cf. section 8.2.2.4. of [RFC8724]), value of 0 explicitly indicates that this timer is disabled.

* max-ack-requests gives the number of attempts before aborting (cf. section 8.2.2.4. of [RFC8724]).

* maximum-packet-size gives in bytes the larger packet size that can be reassembled.
2.10.6. Layer 2 parameters

The data model includes two parameters needed for fragmentation:

* 12-word-size: [RFC8724] base fragmentation on a layer 2 word which
can be of any length. The default value is 8 and correspond to
the default value for byte aligned layer 2. A value of 1 will
indicate that there is no alignment and no need for padding.

* maximum-packet-size: defines the maximum size of an uncompressed
datagram. By default, the value is set to 1280 bytes.

They are defined as unsigned integer, see Section 7.

3. Rule definition

A rule is either a C/D or an F/R rule. A rule is identified by the
rule ID value and its associated length. The YANG grouping rule-id-
type defines the structure used to represent a rule ID. Length of 0
is allowed to represent an implicit rule.

Three types of rules are defined in [RFC8724]:

* Compression: a compression rule is associated to the rule ID.
* No compression: nothing is associated to the rule ID.
* Fragmentation: fragmentation parameters are associated to the rule
  ID. Fragmentation is optional and feature "fragmentation" should
  be set.
grouping rule-id-type {
leaf rule-id-value {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Rule ID value, this value must be unique combined with the length";
}
leaf rule-id-length {
  type uint8 {
    range "0..32";
  }
  description
    "Rule ID length in bits, value 0 is for implicit rules";
} description
  "A rule ID is composed of a value and a length in bit";
}
// SCHC table for a specific device.

container schc {
  list rule {
    key "rule-id-value rule-id-length";
    uses rule-id-type;
    choice nature {
      case fragmentation {
        if-feature "fragmentation";
        uses fragmentation-content;
      }
      case compression {
        uses compression-content;
      }
      case no-compression {
        description
          "RFC8724 allows a rule for uncompressed headers";
      }
    }
    description
      "A rule is either for compression, no compression or fragmentation";
  }
  description
    "Set of rules compression, no compression or fragmentation rules
     identified by their rule-id";
  description
    "a SCHC set of rules is composed of a list of rule which are either
    compression or fragmentation";
}
To access to a specific rule, rule-id and its specific length is used as a key. The rule is either a compression or a fragmentation rule.

Each context can be identified though a version id.

### 3.1. Compression rule

A compression rule is composed of entries describing its processing (cf. Figure 22). An entry contains all the information defined in Figure 1 with the types defined above.

The compression rule described Figure 1 is defined by compression-content. It defines a list of compression-rule-entry, indexed by their field id, position and direction. The compression-rule-entry element represent a line of the table Figure 1. Their type reflects the identifier types defined in Section 2.1.

Some controls are made on the values:

* target value must be present for MO different from ignore.
* when MSB MO is specified, the matching-operator-value must be present.

```yang
grouping compression-rule-entry {
    description "These entries defines a compression entry (i.e. a line) as defined in RFC 8724 and fragmentation parameters.

    +-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+
    |Field 1|FL|FP|DI|Target Value|Matching Operator|Comp/Decomp Act|
    +-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+

    An entry in a compression rule is composed of 7 elements:
    - Field ID: The header field to be compressed. The content is a YANG identifier.
    - Field Length: either a positive integer of a function defined as a YANG identifier.
    - Field Position: a positive (and possibly equal to 0) integer.
    - Direction Indicator: a YANG identifier giving the direction.
    - Target value: a value against which the header Field is compared.
    - Matching Operator: a YANG id giving the operation, parameters may be associated to that operator.
    - Comp./Decomp. Action: A YANG id giving the compression or decompression action, parameters may be associated to that action."

    leaf field-id {
        type schc:fid-type;
    }
```
mandatory true;
description
"Field ID, identify a field in the header with a YANG referenceid."
);

leaf field-length {
  type schc:fl-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
  "Field Length in bit or through a function defined as a YANG referenceid";
}

leaf field-position {
  type uint8;
  mandatory true;
  description
  "Field position in the header is a integer. If the field is not repeated in the header the value is 1, and incremented for each repetition of the field. Position 0 means that the position is not important and order may change when decompressed";
}

leaf direction-indicator {
  type schc:di-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
  "Direction Indicator, a YANG referenceid to say if the packet is bidirectional, up or down";
}

list target-value {
  key "position";
  uses tv-struct;
  description
  "A list of value to compare with the header field value. If target value is a singleton, position must be 0. For matching-list, should be consecutive position values starting from 1."
}

leaf matching-operator {
  type schc:mo-type;
  must ".../target-value or derived-from-or-self(., 'mo-ignore')" {
    error-message "mo-equal, mo-msb and mo-match-mapping require target-value";
  }
  description
  "target-value is not required for mo-ignore"
}

must "not (derived-from-or-self(., 'mo-msb')) or ..//matching-operator-value" {
  error-message "mo-msb requires length value"
}

mandatory true;
description
"MO: Matching Operator"
)

list matching-operator-value {
key "position";
uses tv-struct;
description
 "Matching Operator Arguments, based on TV structure to allow several arguments. 
In RFC 8724, only MSB define a single argument: length in bits ";
}
leaf comp-decomp-action {
    type schc:cda-type;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "CDA: Compression Decompression Action"
}
list comp-decomp-action-value {
    key "position";
    uses tv-struct;
    description
    "CDA Arguments, based on TV structure to allow several arguments.
In RFC 8724, no argument is defined for CDA";
}
}
grouping compression-content {
    list entry {
        key "field-id field-position direction-indicator";
        uses compression-rule-entry;
        description
        "A compression rule is a list of rule entry describing each header field. An entry is identified through a field-id, its position in the packet and its direction";
    }
    description
    "Define a compression rule composed of a list of entries.";
}

Figure 22: Definition of a compression entry

3.2. Fragmentation rule

A Fragmentation rule is composed of entries describing the protocol behavior. Some on them are numerical entries, others are identifiers defined in Section 2.10.

The data model defines some relations between the entries:

* direction must be either up or down (not bidirectional).
* W size is only needed for Ack Always and Ack on Error modes.
grouping fragmentation-content {
  description
   "This grouping defines the fragmentation parameters for all the modes (No Ack, Ack Always and Ack on Error) specified in RFC 8724.";
  leaf 12-word-size {
    type uint8;
    default "8";
    description
     "Size in bit of the layer 2 word";
  }
  leaf direction {
    must "derived-from-or-self(., 'di-up') or derived-from-or-self(., 'di-down')"
    {
      error-message "direction for fragmentation rules is up or down";
    }
    type schc:direction-indicator-type;
    mandatory true;
    description
     "Should be up or down, bi directionnal is forbidden.";
  }
  leaf dtag-size {
    type uint8;
    default "0";
    description
     "Size in bit of the DTag field";
  }
  leaf w-size {
    when "not(derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-no-ack'))"
    {
      type uint8;
      description
       "Size in bit of the window field";
    }
  }
  leaf fcn-size {
    type uint8;
    mandatory true;
    description
     "Size in bit of the FCN field";
  }
  leaf rcs-algorithm {
    type rcs-algorithm-type;
    default "schc:rcs-RFC8724";
    description
     "Algorithm used for RCS";
  }
  leaf maximum-window-size {
    type uint16;
    description
     "By default 2^wsize - 1";
}
leaf retransmission-timer {
    type uint64 {
        range "1..max";
    }
    description
        "Duration in seconds of the retransmission timer"; // Check the units
}
leaf inactivity-timer {
    type uint64;
    description
        "Duration in seconds of the inactivity timer, 0 indicates the timer is
disabled"; // check units
}
leaf max-ack-requests {
    type uint8 {
        range "1..max";
    }
    description
        "The maximum number of retries for a specific SCHC ACK.";
}
leaf maximum-packet-size {
    type uint16;
    default "1280";
    description
        "When decompression is done, packet size must not strictly exceed this li
mit in Bytes";
}
leaf fragmentation-mode {
    type schc:fragmentation-mode-type;
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Which fragmentation mode is used (noAck, AckAlways, AckonError)";
}
choice mode {
    case no-ack;
    case ack-always;
    case ack-on-error {
        leaf tile-size {
            type uint8;
            when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-er
ror')";
            description
                "Size in bit of tiles, if not specified or set to 0: tile fills the f
ragment.";
        }
        leaf tile-in-All1 {
            type schc:all1-data-type;
            when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-er
ror')";
            description
                "When true, sender and receiver except a tile in All-1 frag";
        }
    }
}
leaf ack-behavior {
  type schc:ack-behavior-type;
  when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error')";
  description
    "Sender behavior to acknowledge, after All-0, All-1 or when the LPWAN allows it (Always)";
}
leaf bitmap-format {
  type schc:bitmap-format-type;
  when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error')";
  default "schc:bitmap-RFC8724";
  description
    "How the bitmaps are included in the Ack message.";
}

3.3. YANG Tree
module: ietf-schc
  +--rw schc
  |    +--rw rule* [rule-id-value rule-id-length]
  |    |    +--rw rule-id-value          uint32
  |    |    +--rw rule-id-length         uint8
  |    +--rw (nature)?
  |    |    +--:(fragmentation) (fragmentation)?
  |    |    |    +--rw l2-word-size?         uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw direction            schc:di-type
  |    |    |    +--rw dtag-size?           uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw w-size?              uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw fcn-size             uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw rcs-algorithm?     rcs-algorithm-type
  |    |    |    +--rw maximum-window-size? uint16
  |    |    |    +--rw retransmission-timer? uint64
  |    |    |    +--rw inactivity-timer?   uint64
  |    |    |    +--rw max-ack-requests?   uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw maximum-packet-size? uint16
  |    |    |    +--rw fragmentation-mode    schc:fragmentation-mode-type
  |    |    +--:(mode)?
  |    |    |    +--:(no-ack)
  |    |    |    +--:(ack-always)
  |    |    |    +--:(ack-on-error)
  |    |    |    |    +--rw tile-size?         uint8
  |    |    |    |    +--rw tile-in-All1?     schc:all1-data-type
  |    |    |    |    +--rw ack-behavior?     schc:ack-behavior-type
  |    |    |    |    +--rw bitmap-format?    schc:bitmap-format-type
  |    +--:(compression)
  |    |    +--rw entry* [field-id field-position direction-indicator]
  |    |    |    +--rw field-id                schc:fid-type
  |    |    |    +--rw field-length            schc:fl-type
  |    |    |    +--rw field-position          uint8
  |    |    |    +--rw direction-indicator    schc:di-type
  |    |    |    +--rw target-value* [position]
  |    |    |    |    +--rw value?           binary
  |    |    |    |    +--rw position          uint16
  |    |    |    +--rw matching-operator     schc:mo-type
  |    |    |    +--rw matching-operator-value* [position]
  |    |    |    |    +--rw value?           binary
  |    |    |    |    +--rw position          uint16
  |    |    |    +--rw comp-decomp-action    schc:cda-type
  |    |    |    +--rw comp-decomp-action-value* [position]
  |    |    |    |    +--rw value?           binary
  |    |    |    |    +--rw position          uint16
  |    |    +--:(no-compression)

Figure 23
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7. YANG Module

<code begins> file ietf-schc@2021-08-17.yang
module ietf-schc {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-schc";
  prefix schc;

  organization
    "IETF IPv6 over Low Power Wide-Area Networks (lpwan) working group";
  contact
    "WG Web: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/lpwan/about/>"
    "WG List: <mailto:p-wan@ietf.org>
    "Editor: Laurent Toutain
    "<mailto:laurent.toutain@imt-atlantique.fr>
    "Editor: Ana Minaburo
    "<mailto:ana@ackl.io>"

  description
    "Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX); see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

    The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL

This module is a YANG model for SCHC rules (RFC 8724).
RFC 8724 describes a rule in a abstract way through a table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(FID)</th>
<th>Rule 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field 1</td>
<td>Field 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Value</td>
<td>Target Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching Operator</td>
<td>Matching Operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp/Decomp Act</td>
<td>Comp/Decomp Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This module proposes a global data model that can be used for rule exchanges or modification. It proposes both the data model format and the global identifiers used to describes some operations in fields. This data model applies both to compression and fragmentation.

revision 2021-08-17 {
    description
    "Initial version from RFC XXXX ";
    reference
    "RFC XXX: Data Model for Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)";
}

feature fragmentation {
    description
    "Fragmentation is usually required only at the transportation level.";
}

// -------------------------
//  Field ID type definition
//--------------------------
// generic value TV definition
identity fid-base-type {
    description
    "Field ID base type for all fields";
}

identity fid-ipv6-base-type {
    base fid-base-type;
    description
    "Field IP base type for IPv6 headers described in RFC 8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-version {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
    "IPv6 version field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-trafficclass {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
    "IPv6 Traffic Class field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-trafficclass-ds {
    base fid-ipv6-trafficclass;
    description
    "IPv6 Traffic Class field from RFC8200,
    DiffServ field from RFC3168";
}

identity fid-ipv6-trafficclass-ecn {
    base fid-ipv6-trafficclass;
    description
    "IPv6 Traffic Class field from RFC8200,
    ECN field from RFC3168";
}

identity fid-ipv6-flowlabel {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
    "IPv6 Flow Label field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-payloadlength {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
    "IPv6 Payload Length field from RFC8200";
}
identity fid-ipv6-nextheader {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "IPv6 Next Header field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-hoplimit {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "IPv6 Next Header field from RFC8200";
}

identity fid-ipv6-devprefix {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "correspond either to the source address or the destination
        address prefix of RFC 8200. Depending if it is respectively
        a uplink or an downklink message.";
}

identity fid-ipv6-deviid {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "correspond either to the source address or the destination
        address prefix of RFC 8200. Depending if it is respectively
        a uplink or an downklink message.";
}

identity fid-ipv6-appprefix {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "correspond either to the source address or the destination
        address prefix of RFC 768. Depending if it is respectively
        a downlink or an uplink message.";
}

identity fid-ipv6-appiid {
    base fid-ipv6-base-type;
    description
        "correspond either to the source address or the destination
        address prefix of RFC 768. Depending if it is respectively
        a downlink or an uplink message.";
}

identity fid-udp-base-type {
    base fid-base-type;
    description
        "Field IP base type for UDP headers described in RFC 768";
}
identity fid-udp-dev-port {
    base fid-udp-base-type;
    description
    "UDP length from RFC 768";
}

identity fid-udp-app-port {
    base fid-udp-base-type;
    description
    "UDP length from RFC 768";
}

identity fid-udp-length {
    base fid-udp-base-type;
    description
    "UDP length from RFC 768";
}

identity fid-udp-checksum {
    base fid-udp-base-type;
    description
    "UDP length from RFC 768";
}

identity fid-coap-base-type {
    base fid-base-type;
    description
    "Field IP base type for UDP headers described in RFC 768";
}

identity fid-coap-version {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
    "CoAP version from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-type {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
    "CoAP type from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-tkl {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
    "CoAP token length from RFC 7252";
identity fid-coap-code {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP code from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-code-class {
  base fid-coap-code;
  description
    "CoAP code class from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-code-detail {
  base fid-coap-code;
  description
    "CoAP code detail from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-mid {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP message ID from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-token {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP token from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-if-match {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option If-Match from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-uri-host {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option URI-Host from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-etag {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Etag from RFC 7252";
identity fid-coap-option-if-none-match {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option if-none-match from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-observe {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Observe from RFC 7641";
}

identity fid-coap-option-uri-port {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Uri-Port from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-location-path {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Location-Path from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-uri-path {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Uri-Path from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-content-format {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Content Format from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-max-age {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Max-Age from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-uri-query {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description
    "CoAP option Uri-Query from RFC 7252";
}
identity fid-coap-option-accept {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Max-Age from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-location-query {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Location-Query from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-block2 {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Block2 from RFC 7959";
}

identity fid-coap-option-block1 {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Block1 from RFC 7959";
}

identity fid-coap-option-size2 {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option size2 from RFC 7959";
}

identity fid-coap-option-proxy-uri {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Proxy-Uri from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-proxy-scheme {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Proxy-scheme from RFC 7252";
}

identity fid-coap-option-size1 {
  base fid-coap-base-type;
  description  
    "CoAP option Size1 from RFC 7252";
identity fid-coap-option-no-response {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
        "CoAP option No response from RFC 7967";
}

identity fid-coap-option-oscore-flags {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
        "CoAP option oscore flags (see draft schc coap, section 6.4)";
}

identity fid-coap-option-oscore-piv {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
        "CoAP option oscore flags (see draft schc coap, section 6.4)";
}

identity fid-coap-option-oscore-kid {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
        "CoAP option oscore flags (see draft schc coap, section 6.4)";
}

identity fid-coap-option-oscore-kidctx {
    base fid-coap-base-type;
    description
        "CoAP option oscore flags (see draft schc coap, section 6.4)";
}

identity fid-icmpv6-base-type {
    base fid-base-type;
    description
        "Field IP base type for UDP headers described in RFC 768";
}

identity fid-icmpv6-type {
    base fid-icmpv6-base-type;
    description
        "ICMPv6 field (see draft OAM)";
}

identity fid-icmpv6-code {
    base fid-icmpv6-base-type;
    description
        "ICMPv6 field (see draft OAM)";
identity fid-icmpv6-checksum {
  base fid-icmpv6-base-type;
  description
    "ICMPv6 field (see draft OAM)";
}

identity fid-icmpv6-identifier {
  base fid-icmpv6-base-type;
  description
    "ICMPv6 field (see draft OAM)";
}

identity fid-icmpv6-sequence {
  base fid-icmpv6-base-type;
  description
    "ICMPv6 field (see draft OAM)";
}

identity fl-base-type {
  description
    "Used to extend field length functions";
}

identity fl-variable {
  base fl-base-type;
  description
    "Residue length in Byte is sent defined in
     for CoAP in RFC 8824 (cf. 5.3)";
}

identity fl-token-length {
  base fl-base-type;
  description
    "Residue length in Byte is sent defined in
     for CoAP in RFC 8824 (cf. 4.5)";
}

identity di-base-type {
  //-------------------------------
  // Direction Indicator type
  //-------------------------------
description
    "Used to extend field length functions";
}

identity di-bidirectional {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of bi directionality in
        RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}

identity di-up {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of upstream defined in
        RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}

identity di-down {
    base di-base-type;
    description
        "Direction Indication of downstream defined in
        RFC 8724 (cf. 7.1)";
}

//-----------------------------
// Matching Operator type definition
//-----------------------------

identity mo-base-type {
    description
        "Used to extend Matching Operators with SID values";
}

identity mo-equal {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "Equal MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-ignore {
    base mo-base-type;
    description
        "Ignore MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-msb {
    base mo-base-type;
}
description
   "MSB MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

identity mo-matching {
   base mo-base-type;
   description
     "match-mapping MO as defined RFC 8724 (cf. 7.3)";
}

//----------------------------
// CDA type definition
//----------------------------

identity cda-base-type {
   description
     "Compression Decompression Actions";
}

identity cda-not-sent {
   base cda-base-type;
   description
     "not-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-value-sent {
   base cda-base-type;
   description
     "value-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-lsb {
   base cda-base-type;
   description
     "LSB CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-mapping-sent {
   base cda-base-type;
   description
     "mapping-sent CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-compute-length {
   base cda-base-type;
   description
     "compute-length CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}
identity cda-compute-checksum {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
        "compute-checksum CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-deviid {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
        "deviid CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

identity cda-appiid {
    base cda-base-type;
    description
        "appiid CDA as defines in RFC 8724 (cf. 7.4)";
}

// -- type definition

typedef fid-type {
    type identityref {
        base fid-base-type;
    }
    description
        "Field ID generic type.";
}

typedef fl-type {
    type union {
        type int64; /* positive length in bits */
        type identityref { /* function */
            base fl-base-type;
        }
    }
    description
        "Field length either a positive integer giving the size in bits
         or a function defined through an identityref.";
}

typedef di-type {
    type identityref {
        base di-base-type;
    }
    description
        "Direction in LPWAN network, up when emitted by the device,
         down when received by the device, bi when emitted or received by the device.";
}
typedef motype {
  type identityref {
    base mobase-type;
  }
  description
    "Matching Operator (MO) to compare fields values with target values";
}

typedef cda-type {
  type identityref {
    base cda-base-type;
  }
  description
    "Compression Decompression Action to compression or decompress a field.";
}

// -- FRAGMENTATION TYPE
// -- fragmentation modes

identity fragmentation-mode-base-type {
  description
    "fragmentation mode";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-no-ack {
  base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
  description
    "No Ack of RFC 8724.";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-ack-always {
  base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
  description
    "Ack Always of RFC8724.";
}

identity fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error {
  base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
  description
    "Ack on Error of RFC8724.";
}

typedef fragmentation-mode-type {
  type identityref {
    base fragmentation-mode-base-type;
  }
  description
    "type used in rules";
// -- Ack behavior

identity ack-behavior-base-type {
    description
    "Define when to send an Acknowledgment message";
}

identity ack-behavior-after-All0 {
    base ack-behavior-base-type;
    description
    "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending All0 fragment.";
}

identity ack-behavior-after-All1 {
    base ack-behavior-base-type;
    description
    "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending All1 fragment.";
}

identity ack-behavior-always {
    base ack-behavior-base-type;
    description
    "Fragmentation expects Ack after sending every fragment.";
}

typedef ack-behavior-type {
    type identityref {
        base ack-behavior-base-type;
    }
    description
    "Type used in rules";
}

// -- All1 with data types

identity all1-data-base-type {
    description
    "Type to define when to send an Acknowledgment message";
}

identity all1-data-no {
    base all1-data-base-type;
    description
    "All1 contains no tiles.";
}
identity all1-data-yes {
    base all1-data-base-type;
    description
        "All1 MUST contain a tile";
}

identity all1-data-sender-choice {
    base all1-data-base-type;
    description
        "Fragmentation process choose to send tiles or not in all1.";
}

typedef all1-data-type {
    type identityref {
        base all1-data-base-type;
    }
    description
        "Type used in rules";
}

// -- RCS algorithm types

identity rcs-algorithm-base-type {
    description
        "Identify which algorithm is used to compute RSC.
        The algorithm also defines the size if the RSC field.";
}

identity rcs-RFC8724 {
    base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
    description
        "CRC 32 defined as default RCS in RFC8724.";
}

typedef rcs-algorithm-type {
    type identityref {
        base rcs-algorithm-base-type;
    }
    description
        "Type used in rules";
}

// --- Bitmap format

identity bitmap-format-base-type {
    description
        "Define how the bitmap is defined in ACK messages.";
}
identity bitmap-RFC8724 {
  base bitmap-format-base-type;
  description
    "Bitmap as defined in RFC8724.";
}

identity bitmap-compound-ack {
  base bitmap-format-base-type;
  description
    "Compound Ack.";
}

typedef bitmap-format-type {
  type identityref {
    base bitmap-format-base-type;
  }
  description
    "type used in rules";
}

// -------- RULE ENTRY DEFINITION ----------

grouping tv-struct {
  description
    "Define the target value element. Always a binary type, strings
    must be converted to binary. field-id allows the conversion to the appropr
    iate type.";
  leaf value {
    type binary;
  }
  leaf position {
    type uint16;
    description
      "If only one element position is 0, otherwise position is the
      matching list.";
  }
}

grouping compression-rule-entry {
  description
    "These entries defines a compression entry (i.e. a line)
    as defined in RFC 8724 and fragmentation parameters.

    +-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+
    |Field 1|FL|FP|DI|Target Value|Matching Operator|Comp/Decomp Act|
    +-------+--+--+--+------------+-----------------+---------------+

    An entry in a compression rule is composed of 7 elements:
- Field ID: The header field to be compressed. The content is a YANG identifier.
- Field Length: either a positive integer of a function defined as a YANG identifier.
- Field Position: a positive (and possibly equal to 0) integer.
- Direction Indicator: a YANG identifier giving the direction.
- Target value: a value against which the header Field is compared.
- Matching Operator: a YANG id giving the operation, parameters may be associated to that operator.
- Comp./Decomp. Action: A YANG id giving the compression or decompression action, parameters may be associated to that action.

";

leaf field-id {
  type schc:fid-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "Field ID, identify a field in the header with a YANG referenceid.";
}

leaf field-length {
  type schc:fl-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "Field Length in bit or through a function defined as a YANG referenceid"
};

leaf field-position {
  type uint8;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "Field position in the header is a integer. If the field is not repeated in the header the value is 1, and incremented for each repetition of the field. Position 0 means that the position is not important and order may change when decompressed"
};

leaf direction-indicator {
  type schc:di-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "Direction Indicator, a YANG referenceid to say if the packet is bidirectional, up or down"
};

list target-value {
  key "position";
  uses tv-struct;
  description
    "A list of value to compare with the header field value. If target value is a singleton, position must be 0. For matching-list, should be consecutive position values starting from 1.";
}

leaf matching-operator {
  type schc:mo-type;
  must ".../target-value or derived-from-or-self(., 'mo-ignore')" {
error-message "mo-equal, mo-msb and mo-match-mapping require target-value"
};
description
"target-value is not required for mo-ignore";
} must "not (derived-from-or-self(.,'mo-msb')) or ..//matching-operator-value"
{  
  error-message "mo-msb requires length value"
  }  
mandatory true;
description
"MO: Matching Operator";
}  
list matching-operator-value {
  key "position";
  uses tv-struct;
  description
  "Matching Operator Arguments, based on TV structure to allow several arguments.
   In RFC 8724, only MSB define a single argument: length in bits ";
}  
leaf comp-decomp-action {
  type schc:cda-type;
  mandatory true;
  description
  "CDA: Compression Decompression Action";
}  
list comp-decomp-action-value {
  key "position";
  uses tv-struct;
  description
  "CDA Arguments, based on TV structure to allow several arguments.
   In RFC 8724, no argument is defined for CDA"
}  

grouping compression-content {
  list entry {
    key "field-id field-position direction-indicator";
    uses compression-rule-entry;
    description
    "A compression rule is a list of rule entry describing
     each header field. An entry is identified through a field-id, its position
     in the packet and its direction";
  }  
  description
  "Define a compression rule composed of a list of entries.";
}

grouping fragmentation-content {
  description

"This grouping defines the fragmentation parameters for all the modes (No Ack, Ack Always and Ack on Error) specified in RFC 8724."

leaf l2-word-size {
  type uint8;
  default "8";
  description "Size in bit of the layer 2 word";
}

leaf direction {
  must "derived-from-or-self(., 'di-up') or derived-from-or-self(., 'di-down')" {
    error-message "direction for fragmentation rules are up or down";
  }
  type schc:di-type;
  mandatory true;
  description "Should be up or down, bi directionnal is forbiden.";
}

leaf dtag-size {
  type uint8;
  default "0";
  description "Size in bit of the DTag field";
}

leaf w-size {
  when "not(derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-no-ack'))";
  type uint8;
  description "Size in bit of the window field";
}

leaf fcn-size {
  type uint8;
  mandatory true;
  description "Size in bit of the FCN field";
}

leaf rcs-algorithm {
  type rcs-algorithm-type;
  default "schc:rcs-RFC8724";
  description "Algorithm used for RCS";
}

leaf maximum-window-size {
  type uint16;
  description "By default 2^wsize - 1";
}

leaf retransmission-timer {


type uint64 {
    range "1..max";
}  
description  
    "Duration in seconds of the retransmission timer"; // Check the units
}
leaf inactivity-timer {
    type uint64;
    description  
        "Duration is seconds of the inactivity timer, 0 indicates the timer is di- 
        disabled"; // check units
}
leaf max-ack-requests {
    type uint8 {
        range "1..max";
    }  
description  
        "The maximum number of retries for a specific SCHC ACK.";
}
leaf maximum-packet-size {
    type uint16;
    default "1280";
    description  
        "When decompression is done, packet size must not strictly exceed this li- 
        mit in Bytes";
}
leaf fragmentation-mode {
    type schc:fragmentation-mode-type;
    mandatory true;
    description  
        "which fragmentation mode is used (noAck, AckAlways, AckonError)";
}
choice mode {
    case no-ack;
    case ack-always;
    case ack-on-error {
        leaf tile-size {
            type uint8;
            when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-er- 
            ror')";
            description  
                "Size in bit of tiles, if not specified or set to 0: tile fills the f- 
                ragment.";
        }
        leaf tile-in-All1 {
            type schc:all1-data-type;
            when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-er- 
            ror')";
            description  
                "When true, sender and receiver except a tile in All-1 frag";
        }
        leaf ack-behavior {
            type schc:ack-behavior-type;
when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error')";

description
"Sender behavior to acknowledge, after All-0, All-1 or when the
LPWAN allows it (Always)";
}
leaf bitmap-format {
  type schc:bitmap-format-type;
  when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error')";
  default "schc:bitmap-RFC8724";
  description
  "How the bitmaps are included in the Ack message.";
}

description
"RFC 8724 defines 3 fragmentation modes";
}

// Define rule ID. Rule ID is composed of a RuleID value and a Rule ID Length
grouping rule-id-type {
  leaf rule-id-value {
    type uint32;
    description
    "Rule ID value, this value must be unique combined with the length";
  }
  leaf rule-id-length {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..32";
    }
    description
    "Rule ID length in bits, value 0 is for implicit rules";
  }
  description
  "A rule ID is composed of a value and a length in bit";
}

// SCHC table for a specific device.
container schc {
  list rule {
    key "rule-id-value rule-id-length";
    uses rule-id-type;
    choice nature {
      case fragmentation {
        if-feature "fragmentation";
        uses fragmentation-content;
      }
      case bitmap-format {
        if-feature "bitmap-format";
        uses bitmap-format;
      }
      case order {
        if-feature "order";
        uses order;
      }
    }
  }
}

when "derived-from(../fragmentation-mode, 'fragmentation-mode-ack-on-error')";

description
"Sender behavior to acknowledge, after All-0, All-1 or when the
LPWAN allows it (Always)";
}
case compression {
    uses compression-content;
}

case no-compression {
    description
    "RFC8724 allows a rule for uncompressed headers";
    description
    "A rule is either for compression, no compression or fragmentation";
    description
    "Set of rules compression, no compression or fragmentation rules identified by their rule-id ";
    description
    "a SCHC set of rules is composed of a list of rule which are either compression or fragmentation";
}

Figure 24
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1. Introduction

The Point-to-Point Protocol (PPP) [RFC5172] provides a standard method of encapsulating network-layer protocol information over serial (point-to-point and bus) links. "A Method for Transmitting PPP Over Ethernet (PPPoE)" [RFC2516] transports PPP over Ethernet between a pair of nodes. It is compatible with a translating bridge to Wi-Fi, and therefore enables PPP over Wi-Fi as well.

PPP also proposes an extensible Link Control Protocol and a family of Network Control Protocols (NCPs) for establishing and configuring different network-layer protocols. "IP Version 6 over PPP" [RFC5072] specifies the IPv6 Control Protocol (IPV6CP), which is an NCP for a PPP link, and allows for the negotiation of desirable parameters for an IPv6 interface over PPP. "Negotiation for IPv6 Datagram Compression Using IPv6 Control Protocol" [RFC5172] defines the IPv6 datagram compression option that can be negotiated by a node on the link through the IPV6CP.

PPP is not commonly used in Low-Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) but the extreme compression techniques that are defined for use in LPWAN may be applicable to more traditional links where PPP applies.

The "Static Context Header Compression (SCHC) and fragmentation for LPWAN, application to UDP/IPv6" [SCHC] is a new technology that can provide an extreme compression performance but requires a same state to be provisioned on both ends before it can be operated.
The "SCHC Architecture" [I-D.pelov-lpwan-architecture] enables a peer to peer SCHC operation in addition to the classical device to network LPWAN paradigm, e.g., over a PPP connection. To enable SCHC over PPP and therefore Ethernet and Wi-Fi, this specification extends [RFC5172] to signal SCHC as an additional compression method for use over PPP.

An example use case for SCHC over PPP over Ethernet (SCHCoPPPoE) is to apply SCHC to periodic flows and maintain them at a protocol-independant size and rate. The constant size may be too small for a particular flow or protocol. The SCHC fragmentation can then be used to transport a protocol data unit (PDU) as N compressed SCHC fragments, in which case the effective PDU rate is the TSN frame rate divided by N.

This can be useful to streamline the frames and simplifies the scheduling of Deterministic Networking [DetNet] and Operational Technology (OT) control flows over IEEE Std 802.1 Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) [IEEE802.1TSNTG] or one of the RAW Technologies [RAW Technologies].

2. BCP 14

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119][RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Extending RFC 5172

With this specification, a PPP session defines a virtual link where a SCHC context is established with a particular set of Rules, which is indicated at the set up of the PPP session as follows:

[RFC5172] defines an IPV6CP option called the IPv6-Compression-Protocol Configuration option with a type of 2. The option contains an IPv6-Compression-Protocol field value that indicates a compression protocol and an optional data field as shown in Figure 1:

```
+-------+-------+-------+-------+
| Type | Length | IPv6-Compression-Protocol |
+-------+-------+---------------------------+
| Data ... |
```
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This specification indicates a new IPv6-Compression-Protocol field value for [SCHC] (see Section 5), and enables to transport a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) [RFC3986] of the set of rules in the optional data. The default format for the set of rules is YANG using the "Data Model for SCHC" [SCHC_DATA_MODEL] encoded in JSON as specified in [RFC7951]. The size of the URL is computed based on the Length of the option as Length-4. If the encoding is asymetrical, the initiator of the session is considered downstream, playing the role of the device in an LPWAN network.

4. Profiling SCHC for high speed links

Appendix D of [SCHC] specifies the profile information that technology specifications such as this must provide. The following section address this requirement.

4.1. Mapping the SCHC Architecture

This specification leverages SCHC between an end point that is an IP Host and possibly a serial DTE (Data Terminal Equipment), and another that is an IP Node (either another IP Host or a Router) and possibly a serial DCE (Data Control Equipment), or a more modern physical or emulated endpoint, e.g., Ethernet devices that exchange IP packets over PPPoE.

Both endpoints MUST support the function of SCHC Compressor/Decompressor (C/D) as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Typical Deployment](image)

The SCHC Fragmenter/Reassembler (F/R) is generally not needed, because the maximum transmission unit (MTU) is expected to be large enough and SCHC only reduces the frame size vs. native IP. But it may be used to obtain a small protocol-independent frame size for the compressed packets, possibly way smaller than MTU.
A context may be generated for a particular upper layer application, such as a control loop using an industrial automation protocol, to protect the particular flow with a DetNet service. The context can be asymmetric, e.g., when connecting a primary and a secondary endpoints, a client and a server, or a programmable logic controller with a sensor or an actuator.

4.2. SCHC Parameters

Compared to typical LPWANs, most serial links and emulations such as PPPoE are very fast and most of the constraints can be alleviated. For this reason, the SCHC profile for PPP is defined as follows:

RuleID numbering scheme: The RuleID for a compression rule is expressed as 2 bytes. The first (leftmost) 2 bits of that RuleID MUST be set to 0. This leaves 14 bits to index the rule. A SCHC compressed packet is always in the form:

```
+---------------+----------...--------+˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜
|0 0  RuleID   | Compression Residue | Payload
+---------------+----------...--------+˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜
|------- Compressed Header (byte aligned) ------------|
```

Figure 3: SCHC Compressed Packet

This specification only supports the No-ACK Mode of SCHC fragmentation as specified in section 8.4.1 of [SCHC]. The SCHC Fragment Header is 2 bytes long.

The RuleID for a fragmentation rule is expressed as 4 bits. The bits MUST all set to 1 for a fragmentation rule in No-ACK Mode. The DTag field is 11 bits long (T=11) and the FCN field is one bit (N=1), which is set to 1 on the last fragment as illustrated in Appendix B of [SCHC] and to 0 otherwise. There is no W field (M=0).

```
+---------------+--------...-------+˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜
|1 1 1 1|  DTag   |F| Fragment Payload |  padding
+---------------+--------...-------+˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜˜
|---- SCHH Fragment Header  ----|
```
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The No-ACK mode has been designed under the assumption that data unit out-of-sequence delivery does not occur between the entity performing fragmentation and the entity performing reassembly and a DetNet PREOF function might be needed to reorder the fragments.

Maximum packet size:  MAX_PACKET_SIZE is aligned to the PPP Link MTU.

Padding:  The Compression Residue MUST be aligned to the L2 word. For Ethernet, the L2 word is one byte, so padding is needed up to the next byte boundary. If a compression rule produces a residue that is not byte aligned, then it is implicitly terminated with a statement that indicates padding till the next byte boundary. The padding bit is 0.

4.2.1. Resulting Packet Format

In the case of PPPoE, the sequence of compression and encapsulation is as follows:
A packet (e.g., an IPv6 packet) ^ (padding bits dropped)
|                                           |
\v                                           |
\+------------------+                      +--------------------+
\| SCHC Compression |                      | SCHC Decompression |
\+------------------+                      +--------------------+
\|                   |   ^                                     |
\v                   |   |                                     |
\+--------------------+       |   |         +-------------------+
\| SCHC Fragmentation |       |   |         |  SCHC Reassembly  |
\+--------------------+       |   |         +-------------------+
\|                   |   |                                     |
\v                   |   |                                     |
\+--------------------+                    +--------------------+
\| PPP Session encaps |                    | PPP Session decaps |
\+------------------+                    +------------------+
\|                   |   ^                                     |
\v                   |   |                                     |
\+--------------------+                    +--------------------+
\| PPPoE(oE) encaps  |                    | PPPoE(oE) encaps  |
\+------------------+                    +------------------+
\|                   |   ^                                     |
\v                   |   |                                     |
\+--------------------+                    +--------------------+

Sender                                           Receiver

Figure 5: Stack Operation (no fragment)

In the case of PPPoE, a frame that transports an IPv6 packet compressed with SCHC with no fragmentation shows as follows:
4.3. Security Considerations

This draft enables to use the SCHC compression and fragmentation over PPP and therefore Ethernet and Wi-Fi with PPPoE. It inherits the possible threats against SCHC listed in the "Security considerations" section of [SCHC].

5. IANA Considerations

This document requests the allocation of a new value in the registry "IPv6-Compression-Protocol Types" for "SCHC". A suggested value is proposed in Table 1:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Static Context Header Compression (SCHC)</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: IP Header Compression Configuration Option Suboption Types
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