

# draft-ietf-cdni-interfaces- https-delegation

IETF 112 - CDNI WG

Frederic Fieau, Emile Stephan – November 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021

# Last changes in -07

- **Done:** Split the document into 2 drafts:
  - -ietf-cdni-interfaces-https-delegation
  - -fieau-interfaces-https-delegation-subcerts
- **Fixed:** « *Section 5.1: Both MI.HostMetatada and MI.PathMetadata objects have a property (metadata) that refers to a list (an array) of generic metadata objects (MI.GenericMetadata).* »
- **Removed:** « *The periodicity property could be defined as an integer in the generic metadata object definition.* » : Periodicity is no more needed.
- **Done:** « you must also register a new FCI payload type as well as a new CDNI Supported Delegation Methods. »
- **Added:** Privacy section

# ToDo

- **Add hints on the following questions:**
  - **Security:** « *For the security considerations, is there anything in the metadata itself that needs to be discussed? This is passing around metadata for managing creds and configuring a security feature. Are there concerns about using this incorrectly or limitations on how this can safely be used? »*
  - **Privacy:** « *Are there any concerns with sharing the information that is in the metadata? Is the metadata safe to redistribute, or is it something that is only valid between adjacent CDNs? »*
- **To be fixed:** refer to *[RFC9115]* instead of *[RFC8739]*
- **Remove** STAR/ACME delegation methods properties: acme-server, credentials-location-uri, CSR-template
- **Sync** with SVA proposals on CDNI interfaces

# What's next?

- WGLC

Thank you