CFRG Small Hashes and KMAC

Guidelines for small hashes And for KMAC usage November 11, 2021 Robert Moskowitz

Small hashes

- Hopefully a design compromise
 - Acceptable risk within a constrained environment
- Over cleartext or keyed?
 - Small hashes add risk to key attacks
- Modern hashing hardware has changed the game
 - Math for collision probability is no longer sufficient

Small hashes

- A need for understandable guidelines for designers
 - How to measure risk to hash compromise
 - What is to exposure to attack
- Particular attention to keyed hashes
 - MAVlink 2 for UAS Command and Control has a 6 byte keyed hash for message authentication
 - https://mavlink.io/en/guide/message_signing.html

Small hashes

CFRG hash guidelines draft/RFC?

KMAC usage as a keyed hash

- Sadly overlook function
 - ½ the processing cost of HMAC
 - 1 Keccak function vs 2 SHA functions
 - Standardized hash length
 - No discussion on how to truncate hash
- Is there a usage question as FIPS 202 kind of distinguishes between a hash and XOF?

- Of interest here is use with ECDH
- NIST SP800-56Cr1 does NOT recommend KMAC as a 2-step KDF until...
 - Revision of SP800-108, when?
 - But when you look at HKDF and KMAC what is the difference?
 - Need analysis beyond Team Keccak

- KMAC as a KDF is at least ¼ the cost of HKDF
 - 1 Keccak function to 2 HMAC or more
- How to use KMAC for multiple shared secret generation
 - Need 2 128 bit keys, can KMAC (K,X,256,S) split in half yield 2 unique keys of 128 bit strength?
 - Breaking one does not break other?
 - Though 2 KMAC cheaper than doing this with HKDF
 - And how to do key hierarchies

- CFRG led with EdDSA, can it lead with KMAC for broader usage?
 - Note that "once" NIST lightweight crypto competition completes, a LWC equivalent to KMAC is available.
 - Especially if Xoodyak is one of the selected LWC
- CFRG producing guidelines
 - Encouraging KMAC usage

- Less likely bad designs elsewhere
 - Again MAVlink 2:
 - sha256_48(secret_key|(message pieces)|timestamp)
 - We "learned" not to do it that way during the HMAC discussions mid-90s! But it is not in any guidelines.

Thank you for your time

Questions/Comments?