

Transport Protocol Issues of In-Network Computing Systems

draft-kunze-coinrg-transport-issues-05

I. Kunze, K. Wehrle, D. Trossen

RECAP: Premise of the Draft

“[E2E], however, does consider that *"sometimes an incomplete version of the function provided by the communication system may be useful as a performance enhancement"*. We link this consideration to the field of computing in the network (COIN), which encourages explicit computations in the network, introducing an intertwined complexity as the computations on the end-hosts depend on the functionality deployed in the network.

Such thinking, to some extent, **challenges traditional "end-to-end" transport protocols** as they are not designed to address in-network computation entities or to include more than two devices into a communication, even for inherent functionalities provided by the transport protocol. Some of the resulting problems when considering in-network computation in the context of an overall E2E problem are already presented in [I-D.draft-kutscher-coinrg-dir-02].

This draft focusses on the potential opportunities and research questions for the design of transport protocols that may assume the availability of in-network computing capabilities."

Intention of this Draft

- Provide insights into (transport) technology areas
 - Research questions and challenges
 - Ongoing efforts and concepts under study
 - Outline possible future work (in COIN and elsewhere)
 - Gap analysis
- > Goal is to contribute to the objectives of COIN to foster “*Research on potential new transport protocol, ...required or enabled by in-network compute.*” [COIN charter, scope #4]

General Structure

1. Introduction	3
2. Terminology	3
3. Technology Areas	3
3.1. Addressing	4
3.2. Flow granularity	6
3.3. Collective Communication	8
3.4. Authentication	9
3.5. Security	10
3.6. Transport Features	11
4. Summary of related research and standardization efforts ...	15
5. Gap Analysis	16
5.1. Addressing	17
5.2. Flow granularity	17
5.3. Collective Communication	17
5.4. Authentication	17
5.5. Security	17
5.6. Transport Features	17
6. Summary of Issues Identified	17

Restructured around *technology areas*

Added for **overview** purposes

Added here for future extension to move beyond pure overview to the identification of gaps to support the possible **formulation of future needed work**

Section 3: Technology Areas

- Regrouped here to then link into gap analysis in Section 5
 - Updates to
 - Section 3.1 by linking to ongoing INT-area WG work on Internet Addressing
 - Section 3.3 by adding ongoing work in ICN RG but also BIER WG
- > ***What research questions and related concepts & ongoing efforts are missing?***

Section 5: Gap Analysis

- Added this with same sub-section structure as Section 3 to mirror technology overview with gap analysis
- Only added introductory paragraph outlining the intentions, no content in individual sections yet

-> Do we want such section or not for COIN?

Future Plans

- Clearer *linkage to various use cases* in revised/future use case draft
- More *existing work* (such as MTP work presented at HotNet2021 as new transport work)
- Possibly turn research questions into *requirements language* at later stage
- *Gap analysis* -> really need help here
- *Adopt as RG draft towards one key output towards scope #4 in COIN charter?*

Contributors needed!!

(gap analysis, related work, new work, ...)