Approaches on Supporting IOAM in IPv6

draft-song-ippm-ioam-ipv6-support-02

Haoyu Song, Robin Li, Shuping Peng, James Guichard

Key Issues

- IOAM Trace needs per-hop processing ￫ IPv6 HbH EH

- IPv6 HbH EH must be the first EH

- Two issues of IOAM Trace in HbH EH:
  - Header can be large
  - Header changes its size at every hop

- Make accessing subsequent EHs (e.g., RH) difficult or even impossible
  - E.g., SRv6 in RH

Possible Solutions

- IOAM Data Separate and Postpose
  - IOAM Instruction Header part in HbH EH, but IOAM data in an EH after RH
  - HbH is small and its size is fixed
  - Problem: where to put the data. New EH? TLV on existing EH?

- Segment IOAM Export
  - Fix the IOAM overhead in HbH EH
  - Two sub-approaches
    - Independent of SRv6 ￫ [I-D.song-ippm-segment-ioam]
    - In case of SRv6, IOAM data are exported at each SRv6 node

- IOAM Direct Export [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-direct-export]

Approach	Pros	Cons
IOAM Trace in HbH	Comply w/ IOAM Data Spec	Variable and long HbH header impeding access of later extension headers
IOAM Trace Data Separate and Postpose (Sec. 2)	Fix-size and short HbH header, good for later extension header access	Need extra extension header to hold trace data
Segment IOAM Data Export (Sec. 3.1)	Fix-size and controllable HbH header size	Need to enhance IOAM trace type data field spec.
Trace Export at SRv6 nodes (Sec. 3.2)	Can be done through configuration	Specific to SRv6; No better than PB & IOAM DEX in the worst case
IOAM Direct Export in HbH (Sec. 4)	Comply w/ IOAM DEX Spec; Fix-size and short HbH	Need export data correlation

Next Steps

- Reach consensus on proposal recommendation
- Develop the complete solution if any change/update to existing standard/draft is needed
- WG adoption