

Implementation Report: WHIP in Galene

Juliusz Chroboczek
IRIF, University of Paris

12 November 2021

Galene

Galene is a WebRTC SFU.

`https://galene.org`

Implemented during first French lockdown,
to meet **my teaching needs**.

Goals:

- **easy to install** (15 minutes);
- **easy to administer** (no specialist needed);
- **moderate server-side resources** (400 flow/core);
- **scales** up to 16 cores.

(Development supported by `https://nexedi.com`.)

WHIP in Galene: goals

In September 2021, I implemented **WHIP in Galene**.

First-class implementation, not a translation into Galene's native signalling protocol.

Goals :

- verify that **Galene's internals are flexible enough** to implement multiple signalling protocols;
- get access to **third-party clients** (notably for mobile);
- play with a **cool new protocol**.

Good news

Implementing WHIP in Galene was **easy**:

- **one night** of work;
- **427 lines** specific to WHIP;
- **50 lines of patches** to Galene's core
(mostly **exporting internal data structures**).

The need to **export internal data structures** indicates that Galene's package structure is **not granular enough**. Useful guidance for future development.

Thanks to **Lorenzo Miniero** for `simple-whip-client`.

Try it at home

Just **five shell commands**:

```
git clone -b whip \  
    https://github.com/jech/galene  
cd galene  
mkdir groups  
echo '{"op":[{}],"allow-anonymous":true}' \  
    > groups/test.json  
go run galene.go -public-server
```

Then **point your browser and your WHIP client** at

```
https://localhost:8443/group/test/
```

Difficulties

Minor difficulties. Two main reasons:

- I am incompetent;
- WHIP and Galene use **different models**.

If WHIP is successful, I will no longer be the only incompetent WHIP implementer.

The draft must be aimed at incompetents.

Difficulties:

- CORS;
- URL multiplexing;
- Authentication;
- distinguishing candidates from restarts.

CORS Preflight

WHIP in Galene was developed against Lorenzo's `simple-whip-client`, which is a **native client**.

Web clients perform a **CORS preflight**, which apparently mitigates some web security issues. They **fail** if the server doesn't handle CORS preflight.

This was explained to me by Lorenzo. Not all implementers have access to Lorenzo.

Suggestion: CORS requirements should be explained in the draft.

URL multiplexing

Galene uses **the same URL** for the native protocol and WHIP:

- GET request → native JS client;
- POST request → WHIP request.

Is this **too fragile**? **Not extensible** enough?

Suggestion: add `?protocol=whip` to initial WHIP requests.

(Or use a custom HTTP header?)

Authorisation

Galene's native client has **two ways to login**:

- simple deployments use **username/password**;
- more complex deployments use a **cryptographic token** provided by a **third-party server** (signed JWT).

WHIP only supports token authorisation, with no standard way to map user/password to token.

Suggestion: require that all WHIP clients implement **HTTP Basic** auth. (Or define a mapping from username/password to token.)

ICE restarts

In WHIP, trickled ICE candidates and ICE restarts use the same MIME type.

Must be distinguished by ufrag. Issues:

- explicit is better than implicit;
- fragile in the presence of reordering.

Suggestion: add `?type=restart` to ICE restarts, or use a custom HTTP header.

Conclusion

WHIP is easy to implement on the server side
(Assuming you already have a WebRTC stack) :

- one night;
- < 500 lines of code.

Some minor nits remain, should be easy to fix.

There are now multiple WHIP servers:

- Janus;
- Millicast;
- Galene.

It is time to write useful WHIP clients.

(Screensharing on mobile, please.)