# Yang Data Model for OAM and Management of ALTO protocol

draft-zhang-alto-oam-yang

Jingxuan Zhang Dhruv Dhody Roland Schott Kai Gao

ALTO WG @ IETF 113

## Main Goal

Define a YANG data model for Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) & Management of ALTO Protocol.

Latest version: <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-zhang-alto-oam-yang-02</u>

Editor's copy on GitHub:

https://openalto.github.io/draft-alto-oam-yang/draft-zhang-alto-oam-yang.html

YANG modules: https://github.com/openalto/draft-alto-oam-yang/tree/main/yang

## Major Changes since -01

- Change document title: OAM -> O&M (OAM and Management)
  - Follow the guidelines of RFC6291
  - This document targets not only OAM but also Management
  - Thank comments from Adrian Farrel
- Revised scope and requirements to be exactly aligned with RFC7285 and RFC7971
- Make the initial YANG module code ready
  - Ready to be reviewed: <u>https://github.com/openalto/draft-alto-oam-yang/tree/main/yang</u>
  - Thank Qiufang Ma's contribution to the initial version

## **Basic Requirements**

Requ	irement	Reference
R1: T	he data model should support configuration for ALTO server setup.	Sec 16.1 of RFC7285
R2: T	he data model should provide logging management.	Sec 16.2.1 of RFC7285
R3: T	he data model should provide ALTO-related management information.	Sec 16.2.2 of RFC7285
R4: T	he data model should provide metrics for server failures.	Sec 16.2.3 of RFC7285, Sec 3.3 of RFC7971
R5	R5-1: The data model should support configuration for different data sources.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285, Sec 3.2 of RFC7971
	R5-2: The data model should support configuration for information resource generation algorithms.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285
	R5-3: The data model should support configuration for access control at information resource level.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285
R6: T metric	he data model should provide performance monitoring for ALTO-specific cs.	Sec 16.2.5 of RFC7285, Sec 3.4 of RFC7971
R7: T mana	he data model should support configuration for security policy gement.	Sec 16.2.6 of RFC7285

## **Additional Requirements**

R8: As the ALTO protocol is extensible, the data model for ALTO O&M should allow for augmentation to support potential future extensions.

## **Current Status**

Requirement		Reference
R1: Th	ne data model should support configuration for ALTO server setup.	Sec 16.1 of RFC7285
R2: Tł	ne data model should provide logging management.	Sec 16.2.1 of RFC7285
R3: Tł	ne data model should provide ALTO-related management information.	Sec 16.2.2 of RFC7285
R4: The data model should provide metrics for server failures.		Sec 16.2.3 of RFC7285, Sec 3.3 of RFC7971
R5	R5-1: The data model should support configuration for different data sources.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285, Sec 3.2 of RFC7971
	R5-2: The data model should support configuration for information resource generation algorithms.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285
	R5-3: The data model should support configuration for access control at information resource level.	Sec 16.2.4 of RFC7285
R6: The data model should provide performance monitoring for ALTO-specific metrics.		Sec 16.2.5 of RFC7285, Sec 3.4 of RFC7971
R7: Th manag	ne data model should support configuration for security policy gement.	Sec 16.2.6 of RFC7285
R8: Tł	ne data model should allow for augmentation to support future extensions.	N/A



## Data Model for Server Setup [R1]

Meta Information for Server-level O&M



### TODOs:

- HTTP server listen configuration
- DNS configuration (for server discovery [RFC7286] [RFC8686])



## Data Model for Information Resource Management [R5]



#### **Resource-Specific Parameter**

## Data Model for Server Monitoring [R6]

Measurement information suggested by RFC7971:

- Measurement of impact
  - Total amount and distribution of traffic
  - Application performance
- System and service performance
  - Requests and responses for each information resource
  - CPU and memory utilization
  - ALTO map updates
  - Number of PIDs
  - ALTO map sizes

Other useful measurement information for ALTO extensions:

- Number of other ALTO entities
- Statistics for update sessions and events
- Statistics for calendars

module: ietf-alto-stats

augment	/alto:alto-server/alto:resource:	
+ro	num-res-upd?	yang:counter32
+ro	res-mem-size?	yang:counter32
+ro	res-enc-size?	yang:counter32

+--ro num-event-avg? yang:counter32

## Extension of ALTO O&M Data Model [R8]

The following example shows how the developer augments the algorithm choice of alto-networkmap-params with a creation algorithm for the network map resource:

A referenced algorithm implementation: <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draf</u> <u>t-hzx-alto-network-topo-00</u>

YANG data node in /nw:networks/nw:network/	ALTO data object		
13t:13-topology-attributes/13t:na	l3t:l3-topology-attributes/l3t:name_network-map/resource-id		
nw:node/l3t:l3-node-attributes /l3t:name	network-map/PIDName		
/nw:node/13t:13-node-attributes /13t:flag	network-map/PIDName.flag		
/nw:node/13t:13-node-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/13t:router-id	/EndpointAddrGroup		
/nw:node/13t:13-node-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/13t:prefix/13t:prefix	/EndpointAddrGroup		
/nw:node/l3t:l3-node-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/l3t:prefix/l3t:metric	/EndpointAddrGroup.metric		
/nw:node/13t:13-node-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/13t:prefix/13t:flag	/EndpointAddrGroup.flag		
/nw:link/l3t:l3-link-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/l3t:name	/PIDName		
/nw:link/l3t:l3-link-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/l3t:metric1	/PIDName/DstCost		
/nw:link/13t:13-link-attributes	network-map/PIDName		
/13t:metric2	/PIDName/DstCost		
/nw:link/l3t:l3-link-attributes	network-map/PIDName 2		
//l3t:flag	/PIDName.flag		

## Discussions

Q1: This model references several identities and enumeration typedefs (e.g., **cost-metric**) which are managed by IANA registries. To make the model extensible, should we move them into a separated **iana-alto-types.yang**?

Current status: Asking yang-doctors about the best practice <a href="https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/yang-doctors/rVflF\_sVEv3fR4reZ6y93ikD2yE/">https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/yang-doctors/rVflF\_sVEv3fR4reZ6y93ikD2yE/</a>

Argument: Making this change will also make the future updates like draft-ietfalto-performance-metrics and draft-bw-alto-cost-mode easy to be supported without updating the basic YANG module.

## Discussions

Q2: Lots of parameters can be configured for specific ALTO information resources. Which ones should be defined in the standard basic module? Which ones should be delegated to algorithm extensions?

- Default two PIDs of cost map
- Granularity of network map and cost map
- Client-specific configuration

## Discussions

Q3: So far, all the requirements are for ALTO server. What will be requirements for ALTO client?

For our experience, ALTO clients do need O&M (e.g., caching mgmt, server discovery, multi-server mgmt). But how useful the YANG model will be for the client O&M?

## Update to Milestones

- Ready to be adopted as a WG item?
- Make the main structure of YANG modules stable by IETF 114
  - If possible, do some simple demo in IETF 114 Hackathon
- Make the document ready for IESG review by IETF 115

## **Further Feedback**

Email to ALTO WG mailing list: <u>alto@ietf.org</u> Or cc Authors: <u>draft-zhang-alto-oam-yang@ietf.org</u>

Open an issue in GitHub:

https://github.com/openalto/draft-alto-oam-yang/issues/new/choose

We are looking forward to receiving your feedback!