

COSE and JOSE Registrations for Post Quantum Signatures

**draft-prorock-cose-
post-quantum-signatures**



Mike Prorock
IETF 113, Viena
March 21, 2022

What's the deal with PQC?



- Why introduce new forms of cryptography?
 - [Shor's Algorithm](#)
- Why support existing standards / formats?
 - Easier path to developer adoption
 - Creates an upgrade path for standards compliant software
- What Algorithms and Why?
 - Signature and Key Representations are the building blocks for secure identifiers and credentials.
 - Stronger agility from supporting multiple primitives
 - Lattice schemes have the best security/size tradeoff
 - Hash schemes have well established security properties
- But NIST hasn't standardized yet....

What are our goals?



- Intuitive upgrade path for post quantum
 - Enable leapfrogging from RSA to PQ
- Minimum cryptographic agility
 - Anticipate potential exploits in emerging tech
- IANA Registrations
 - Mitigate ambiguity / parameterization related faults

What is new with PQC?



- Reliance on 'alg' as a MUST parameter
- Larger number of parameters for algorithms - we should reduce optionality based on expert feedback
- As security is often determined by parameterization we need to be very clear about what parameters are in use with which signature schemes

Next Steps



- Improve algorithm descriptions
- Refine the details regarding core cryptographic operations
 - Ascii art?
 - Pseudo code
 - Just reference the papers
- Additional Hash Based Sigs? (XMSS / LMS)
- Test Vectors
 - Example Serializations of JWK and JWS

Resources



Work Item Repository (Issues, PRs, Details):

<https://github.com/mesur-io/post-quantum-signatures>

Datatracker:

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-prorock-cose-post-quantum-signatures/>

NIST PQC:

<https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography>

Relevant Signature Schemes:

<https://pq-crystals.org/dilithium/>

<https://falcon-sign.info/>

<https://sphincs.org/>