

# Complaint Feedback Loop Address Header

draft-benecke-cfbl-address-header

Dispatch IETF 113

# PROBLEM STATEMENT

- > Repetitive manual work when setting up new feedback loops
- > Revalidating existing feedback loops is time-consuming
- > Feedback loops are more and more DKIM based - makes it harder to maintain it for customers
- > Not suitable for smaller mailbox provider, e.g. development of setup platform

# EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

- > Two new experimental email header
  - > CFBL-Address itself
  - > Header for ID when Message-ID can't be used
- > Requires DKIM signing and header coverage
- > Nevertheless requires reputation data to assess trustworthiness

# CFBL-ADDRESS HEADER

Return-Path: <sender@super-saas-platform.com>  
From: Awesome Newsletter <newsletter@example.com>  
To: me@example.net  
Subject: Super awesome news for you  
Message-ID: <a37e51bf-3050-2aab-1234-543a0828d14a@example.com>

**CFBL-Address: fbl@super-saas-platform.com; report=arf**

**DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; d=example.com;  
h=Subject:From:To:Message-ID:CFBL-Address;**

**DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; d=super-saas-platform.com;  
h=Subject:From:To:Message-ID:CFBL-Address;**

# HOW TO DISPATCH?

- > No obvious WG (emailcore is busy updating the core specs)
- > Not worth its own WG for now (experimental status)
- > AD Sponsoring
- > Otherwise ISE

# Questions & Discussion

draft-benecke-cfbl-address-header