

# Header Protection

IETF 113 / LAMPS

March 2022

Daniel Kahn Gillmor

Bernie Hoeneisen

Alexey Melnikov

# Recap: two schemes

## Wrapped Message

Cryptographic Payload

```
Content-Type: message/rfc822;
  forwarded=no

Subject: Thursday dinner plans
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 00:29:38 -0400
From: Alice <alice@example.net>
To: Bob <bob@example.org>
Message-Id: <826235@example.net>
Content-Type: text/plain

Let's meet at Rama's Roti Shop at 7pm
and go to the park from there.
```

*(RFC 3851, S/MIME 3.1)*

## Injected Headers

Cryptographic Payload

```
Subject: Thursday dinner plans
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 00:29:38 -0400
From: Alice <alice@example.net>
To: Bob <bob@example.org>
Message-Id: <826235@example.net>
Content-Type: text/plain

Let's meet at Rama's Roti Shop at 7pm
and go to the park from there.
```

*(deployed in Enigmail, etc)*

2/14

# Recap: legacy problems

## Wrapped Message

Looks like a forwarded message to legacy clients

Strange UI/UX "click to see attachment", or save message to read

In some cases, totally unreadable

## Injected Headers

Obscured header fields invisible to legacy clients

Fix: decorative "Legacy Display" MIME part inserted

But "Legacy Display" MIME part makes the whole message unreadable in Outlook

# Update: Legacy Display Evolved

Instead of inserting a MIME part, modify the content of the main text/plain part...

The inserted text fragment is a  
**"Legacy Display Element"**

## External Header

Subject: [...]

## Cryptographic Payload

```
Subject: Thursday dinner plans
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 00:29:38 -0400
From: Alice <alice@example.net>
To: Bob <bob@example.org>
Message-Id: <826235@example.net>
Content-Type: text/plain
```

**Subject: Thursday dinner plans**

Let's meet at Rama's Roti Shop at 7pm  
and go to the park from there.

# Update: Legacy Display Evolved

... or main text/html part:

## External Header

Subject: [...]

## Cryptographic Payload

```
Subject: Thursday dinner plans
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 00:29:38 -0400
From: Alice <alice@example.net>
To: Bob <bob@example.org>
Message-Id: <826235@example.net>
Content-Type: text/html
```

```
<html><head><title></title></head><body>
<div class="header-protection-legacy-display">
<pre>Subject: Thursday dinner plans</pre>
</div>
<p>Let's meet at Rama's Roti Shop at 7pm
and go to the park from there.</p>
</body></html>
```

The inserted html fragment is also a "Legacy Display Element"

# Update: Choice of Scheme

For backward compatibility.

Conformant MUAs **MUST** be able to generate **Injected Headers**.

Conformant MUAs **MAY** generate **Wrapped Message**.

Conformant MUAs **MUST** be able to consume and render both schemes.

# Design Team Process

**Voice check-in about every 2 weeks**

<https://gitlab.com/dkg/lamps-header-protection>

- draft authors (Alexey, Bernie, dkg)  
plus Hernâni from p≡p
- We welcome additional parties, especially any implementer of a major MUA (Outlook, Mail.app, etc)

# More Help Needed

## Test vectors

- Test other MUAs
- Send sample messages through MTAs
- Test automated systems
- Route messages through mailing lists

<https://header-protection.cmrg.net/>



# Recommended Default HCP

```
hcp_minimal(name, val_in)
    if name is 'Subject':
        return ' [...]'
    else:
        return val_in
```

```
hcp_strong(name, val_in):
    if name in ['From', 'To',
               'Cc', 'Date']:
        return val_in
    else if name is 'Subject':
        return ' [...]'
    else if name is 'Message-ID':
        return gen_new_message_id()
    else:
        return null
```

Design team leans toward **hcp\_minimal**  
for deliverability and threading

# Signalling for Legacy Display?

We would like to eventually abandon **Legacy Display** elements.

When composing an encrypted message with **Injected Headers**, how does a MUA infer the need for **Legacy Display**?

- General ecosystem survey?
- Signalling from recipients that the recipient can render obscured injected headers?

Signalling is challenging!

<https://gitlab.com/dkg/lamps-header-protection/-/issues/20>

# Automated Mail Systems

How are systems that use e-mail as a control channel affected by either scheme?

Do **Legacy Display Elements** interfere with command processing?

- Mailing lists (e.g., Schleuder)
- Bug trackers (e.g., RT, Debbugs)
- DNS control (e.g., Joker.com)

# Legacy Display in text/html

Is this easy enough for clients to insert?

What kind of problems might this change to html cause?

## External Header

```
Subject: [...]
```

## Cryptographic Payload

```
Subject: Thursday dinner plans
Date: Mon, 14 Mar 2022 00:29:38 -0400
From: Alice <alice@example.net>
To: Bob <bob@example.org>
Message-Id: <826235@example.net>
Content-Type: text/html
```

```
<html><head><title></title></head><body>
<div class="header-protection-legacy-display">
<pre>Subject: Thursday dinner plans</pre>
</div>
<p>Let's meet at Rama's Roti Shop at 7pm
and go to the park from there.</p>
</body></html>
```

# Header Field Cryptographic Status for Encrypted Messages

When should a rendering MUA indicate that a header field is **encrypted**?

*When the protected copy of the field doesn't match the unprotected copy.*



**Date:** Monday March 14  
**Subject:** Thursday dinner plans  
**From:** Alice  
**To:** Bob

Let's meet at Rama's Roti shop at 7pm and go to the park from there.

But unprotected copy can change in transit.

[gitlab issues 25 & 26](#)

- What is a "match"?
- This calculation uses **untrusted data**.

# Questions?

<https://gitlab.com/dkg/lamps-header-protection>