

# DNSSEC Deployment Metrics Research


Moritz Müller

2022-03-23 - IETF 113, MAPRG


# 1. Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field




# 1. Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



# 1. Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



# 1. Challenge: DNSSEC deployment is a wide field



## 2. Challenge: One metric, different measurement techniques

Passive		<i>vs</i>		Active
End user	<i>vs</i>	Recursive Resolver	<i>vs</i>	Authoritative Name Server
Measurement platform		<i>vs</i>		“Hack”
Raw		<i>vs</i>		Aggregated
Full control		<i>vs</i>		Reliant on third party
Transparent		<i>vs</i>		Opaque

# Our Approach

1. Study a broad range of research
  - from academia
  - presented at conferences by the industry (RIPE, ICANN DNSSEC workshop, ...)
2. Gap analysis with identified metrics
3. Develop assessment framework to assess measurement techniques

# Technique Assessment

- Measurement technique has large impact on each metric
- Assess each technique based on:
  - **Coverage** – which parts of the ecosystem can be measured theoretically and with what bias?
  - **Reproducibility** – are all measurement parameters known and is the setup transparent?
  - **Feasibility** – does the technique rely on 3<sup>rd</sup> parties e.g., data or access to vantage points?



# Measurements in the interest of the community

*When you could name one DNSSEC metric that should be measured, what would that be?*

# Measurements in the interest of the community

*When you could name one DNSSEC metric that should be measured, what would that be?*

*When you could name one aspect that is most important when measuring a metric, what would that be?*

# Measurements in the interest of the community

*When you could name one DNSSEC metric that should be measured, what would that be?*

*When you could name one aspect that is most important when measuring a metric, what would that be?*

---

Moritz Müller  
moritz.muller@sidn.nl

*Thank you*