# **QUIC Version Negotiation** draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation IETF 113 – Vienna – 2022-03-22 <u>David Schinazi – dschinazi.ietf@gmail.com</u> Eric Rescorla – ekr@rtfm.com





2021-05: draft-04 published with simplified design 2021-10: draft-05 published with editorial changes 2022-03: draft-06 published with (mostly) editorial changes draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation – IETF 113 – Vienna – 2022-03-22

A brief history of QUIC Version Negotiation 2013: GoogleQUIC adds version negotiation and downgrade protection 2016-07: IETF QUIC initially had it too

2018-09: issues found with incremental server deployments <a href="https://www.server.com">lssue#1810</a> 2019-02: removed VN from the base drafts to unblock them via PR#2313 2019-03: published draft-schinazi-quic-version-negotiation-00 2020-02: adopted as draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation-00

2021-04: QUIC WG interim dedicated to version negotiation Consensus to keep compatible and incompatible but try to simplify

## <u>#90</u>: What does "compatible VN" mean When the server transparently "upgrades" from the client's original version to a

different version, that's definitely "compatible VN"

But when the server negotiates the client's original version, is that "compatible VN"?



draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation – IETF 113 – Vienna – 2022-03-22

## Next Steps This draft is close to done Do we tie timeline to QUICv2 draft?



## draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation – IETF 113 – Vienna – 2022-03-22

4

# **QUIC Version Negotiation** draft-ietf-quic-version-negotiation IETF 113 – Vienna – 2022-03-22 <u>David Schinazi – dschinazi.ietf@gmail.com</u> Eric Rescorla – ekr@rtfm.com



