

draft-AuthKEM

IETF 113 update



Presentation at IETF 111

- Introduction of the draft
- Not a lot of space in RFC draft for “why?”
- Some questions on the mailing list and in chat during the meeting

This presentation

1. What do we need from you?
2. CHANGELOG
3. AuthKEM Abridged

What do we need from you?

What we would like help with

1. Feedback on draft and open problems
2. RFC-ness still need some work
3. NIST PQ finalists announced soon
 - a. Investigate if they fit in your TLS usage
 - b. Could AuthKEM solve problems for you?

CHANGELOG

CHANGELOG

(github: [claucece/draft-celi-wiggers-tls-authkem](https://github.com/claucece/draft-celi-wiggers-tls-authkem))

- Restructure of the draft
 - Splits protocol diagrams from implementation details
 - Hopefully much more readable

CHANGELOG

(github: [claucece/draft-celi-wiggers-tls-authkem](https://github.com/claucece/draft-celi-wiggers-tls-authkem))

- Use HPKE context separation (PR#18)
- Added cert_req_ctxt to KemEncapsulation messages (PR#14)

AuthKEM abridged

AuthKEM Abridged

- Feedback very welcome!
- Goals:
 - Provide intuition
 - Prevent confusion
 - Answer questions
 - Don't shy away from open questions

<https://wggrs.nl/docs/authkem-abridged/>

Why KEMs for auth?

- Single algorithm for KEX / Auth
- Soon™ (EoM): PQ Signature schemes; they are:
 - Huge (Dilithium / ~~Rainbow~~), or
 - Require special hardware support for reasonable performance (Falcon)
 - NIST has announced it expects to standardize at most one of Dilithium / Falcon.
- PQ KEMs are generally fast AND small (relatively)
- Bonus: Offline Deniability

Why not draft-semistatic?

- (EC)DH semi-static is *beautiful*
 - But not available with PQ KEMs
 - Generally, any protocol that does $((g^x)y)^s$ doesn't work with KEMs/HPKE

PQ commutative group actions (e.g. CSIDH) are not a solved problem yet.

Why now?

- Standardizing new auth is very hard
- Good opportunity to reconsider authentication mechanisms
- Last meeting already identified rough edges
 - [#16 No explicit CertificateRequest authentication](#)
- Filing down all the rough edges before this is put in production will take time

Is this finished enough for TLSWG?

- Research on security & performance on-going
 - Pen-and-paper proof
 - Various experiments both done and still planned
 - Working on Tamarin proof
- Research has a hard time identifying practical or deployment problems
- Draft & discussion help drive both forward

Other things to read in AuthKEM Abridged

- Why we added a new handshake secret
- Why we think the extra half round-trip doesn't matter for performance
- Why we extend `signature_algorithms` with KEMs
- Why we think sending Client Data to the server “early” is fine.

We would like to hear from you

If you...

- ... want to help with / have feedback on the draft
- ... want to comment on the open issues
- ... have any questions that are unanswered
- ... have an interesting (embedded?) use case that we should investigate