

Hybrid key exchange in TLS 1.3

draft-ietf-tls-hybrid-design-04

Douglas Stebila, Scott Fluhrer, Shay Gueron



Motivation

- **Permit simultaneous use of traditional and post-quantum key exchange**
 - Enable early adopters to get post-quantum security without discarding security of existing algorithms
- Reduce risk from break of one algorithm
- Maintain standards compliance during transition

Goals

Define data structures for negotiation, communication, and shared secret calculation for hybrid* key exchange

Non-goals

- Hybrid/composite certificates or digital signatures
 - (LAMPS working group)
- Selecting which post-quantum algorithms to use in TLS
 - (NIST, CFRG)

* Some people use the word “composite” instead of “hybrid”.

Mechanism

Idea: Each desired combination of traditional + post-quantum algorithm & parameter set will be a new (opaque) key exchange “group”

- **Negotiation:** new named groups for each desired combination will need to be standardized
- **Key shares:** concatenate key shares for each constituent algorithm
- **Shared secret calculation:** concatenate shared secrets for each constituent algorithm and use as input to key schedule
 - Concatenation is a NIST-approved combiner [1]

Is it safe to use concatenation? $ss = H(k1 \parallel k2)$

Aviram et al.:

If:

- a) H is not collision-resistant
 - (and H -collisions can be found within lifetime of TLS session)
- b) k_1 is adversary-controlled and variable length
- c) ephemeral keys are reused

then it possible to learn k_2 .

- Based on attack on APOP (MD5-based challenge response protocol); similar to CRIME attack.

- Possible but significant assumptions:
 - Need long session timeout
 - Ephemeral key reuse

- Assumption (b) not satisfied:
 - k_1 is fixed-length for all standardized TLS 1.3 DH groups

- => No changes made to this draft

- Worthwhile exercise: given long-lived hard-to-upgrade implementations, how robust should our protocol designs be to algorithm failure?

Next steps

- No known pending tasks for this draft
- Several interoperable implementations:
 - Open Quantum Safe OpenSSL and BoringSSL forks [1]
 - wolfSSL [2]
 - s2n-tls [3]
- Specific PQ algorithms to be identified outside of this document
 - NIST Round 3 conclusion → CFRG → TLS
- Could move to Working Group Last Call?

[1] <https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/openssl> • <https://github.com/open-quantum-safe/boringssl>

[2] <https://www.wolfssl.com/hybrid-post-quantum-groups-tls-1-3/>

[3] <https://github.com/aws/s2n-tls/blob/main/pq-crypto/README.md>